

Public Document Pack

Peak District National Park Authority**Tel: 01629 816200**E-mail: customer.service@peakdistrict.gov.ukWeb: www.peakdistrict.gov.uk

Aldern House, Baslow Road, Bakewell, Derbyshire. DE45 1AE



Our Ref: A.1142/2737

Date: 25 February 2021



NOTICE OF MEETING

Meeting: **Programmes and Resources Committee**Date: **Friday 5 March 2021**Time: **10.00 am**Venue: **WebEx Virtual Meeting****(Joining instructions will be sent to Authority Members separately)**SARAH FOWLER
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

In response to the Coronavirus (Covid -19) emergency restrictions, all meetings of the Authority and its Committees will take place using video conferencing technology.

You can watch our meetings live on YouTube using the following link:

<https://www.youtube.com/user/peakdistrictnpa/live>

Members of the public who have given notice may still participate at this meeting for three minutes. Please call 01629 816352 for more information.

Link to meeting papers:

<https://democracy.peakdistrict.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?MId=2393>

AGENDA

- 1 **Roll Call of Members Present, Apologies for Absence and Members Declarations of Interest**
- 2 **Minutes of Previous Meeting held on 4th December 2020** *(Pages 5 - 8)* 5 mins
- 3 **Urgent Business**
- 4 **Public Participation**
To note any questions or to receive any statements, representations, deputations and petitions which relate to the published reports on Part A of the Agenda.

FOR INFORMATION

- 5 **Notes of the Meetings of the Member Climate Change Task Group held on 26 November 2020 and 26 January 2021** *(Pages 9 - 18)* 5 mins

FOR DECISION

- 6 **Cleaning Tender for Toilets and Visitor Centres** *(Pages 19 - 22)* 10 mins
- 7 **Exempt Information S100 (A) Local Government Act 1972**
The Committee is asked to consider, in respect of the exempt item, whether the public should be excluded from the meeting to avoid the disclosure of Exempt Information.

Draft motion:

That the public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of agenda item Nos 8 and 9 to avoid the disclosure of Exempt Information under S100 (A) (4) Local Government Act 1972, Schedule 12A, paragraph 3 'information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the Authority holding that information).'

PART B

- 8 **Confidential Minutes of Previous Meeting held on 4th December 2020** *(Pages 23 - 24)* 5 mins

FOR DECISION

- 9 **Extension to Castleton Visitor Centre Cafe Lease Term** *(Pages 25 - 28)* 10 mins

Duration of Meeting

In the event of not completing its business within 3 hours of the start of the meeting, in accordance with the Authority's Standing Orders, the Committee will decide whether or not to continue the meeting. If the Authority decides not to continue the meeting it will be adjourned and the remaining business considered at the next scheduled meeting.

If the Committee has not completed its business by 1.00pm and decides to continue the meeting the Chair will exercise discretion to adjourn the meeting at a suitable point for a 30 minute lunch break after which the committee will re-convene.

ACCESS TO INFORMATION - LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 (as amended)

Agendas and reports

Copies of the Agenda and Part A reports are available for members of the public before and during the meeting on the website <http://democracy.peakdistrict.gov.uk>

Background Papers

The Local Government Act 1972 requires that the Authority shall list any unpublished Background Papers necessarily used in the preparation of the Reports. The Background Papers referred to in each report, PART A, excluding those papers that contain Exempt or Confidential Information, PART B, can be inspected on the Authority's website.

Public Participation and Other Representations from third parties

In response to the Coronavirus (Covid -19) emergency our head office at Aldern House in Bakewell has been closed. Therefore all meetings of the Authority and its Committees will take place using video conferencing technology. Public participation is still available and anyone wishing to participate at the meeting under the Authority's Public Participation Scheme is required to give notice to the Director of Corporate Strategy and Development to be received not later than 12.00 noon on the Wednesday preceding the Friday meeting. The Scheme is available on the website <http://www.peakdistrict.gov.uk/looking-after/about-us/have-your-say> or on request from the Democratic and Legal Support Team 01629 816362, email address: democraticandlegalsupport@peakdistrict.gov.uk.

Written Representations

Other written representations on items on the agenda, except those from formal consultees, will not be reported to the meeting if received after 12 noon on the Wednesday preceding the Friday meeting.

Recording of Meetings

In accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 members of the public may record and report on our open meetings using sound, video, film, photograph or any other means this includes blogging or tweeting, posts on social media sites or publishing on video sharing sites. If you intend to record or report on one of our meetings you are asked to contact the Democratic and Legal Support Team in advance of the meeting so we can make sure it will not disrupt the meeting and is carried out in accordance with any published protocols and guidance.

The Authority will make a digital sound recording available after the meeting which will be retained for three years after the date of the meeting.

General Information for Members of the Public Attending Meetings

In response to the Coronavirus (Covid -19) emergency our head office at Aldern House in Bakewell has been closed. Therefore all meetings of the Authority and its Committees will take place using video conferencing technology.

You can still watch our meetings live on YouTube using the following link:

<https://www.youtube.com/user/peakdistrictnpa/live>

To: Members of Programmes and Resources Committee:

Chair: Mr Z Hamid
Vice Chair: Prof J Haddock-Fraser

Cllr J Atkin	Mr J W Berresford
Cllr C Farrell	Cllr C Furness
Cllr Mrs G Heath	Cllr B Lewis
Cllr A McCloy	Cllr C McLaren
Cllr V Priestley	Cllr P Tapping
Cllr R Walker	Mrs C Waller
Ms Y Witter	Cllr B Woods

Other invited Members: (May speak but not vote)

Mr R Helliwell

Constituent Authorities
Secretary of State for the Environment
Natural England

Peak District National Park Authority
Tel: 01629 816200
 E-mail: customer.service@peakdistrict.gov.uk
 Web: www.peakdistrict.gov.uk
 Aldern House, Baslow Road, Bakewell, Derbyshire. DE45 1AE



MINUTES

Meeting: **Programmes and Resources Committee**

Date: Friday 4 December 2020 at 11.15 am

Venue: WebEx Virtual Meeting

Chair: Mr Z Hamid

Present: Prof J Haddock-Fraser, Cllr J Atkin, Mr J W Berresford, Cllr C Farrell, Cllr C Furness, Cllr Mrs G Heath, Cllr A McCloy, Cllr C McLaren, Cllr V Priestley, Cllr P Tapping, Cllr R Walker, Mrs C Waller and Ms Y Witter.

Apologies for absence: Cllr B Lewis and Cllr B Woods.

28/20 ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS PRESENT, APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND MEMBERS DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Item 6

The Chair, Mr Zahid Hamid, reported that he had received correspondence regarding this item.

29/20 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING HELD ON 17 JULY 2020

The minutes of the previous meeting held on 17 July 2020 were approved as a correct record.

30/20 URGENT BUSINESS

There were no items of urgent business.

31/20 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

There was no public participation.

32/20 ADOPTION OF PEAK DISTRICT CLIMATE CHANGE VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT (EF)

The Head of Strategy and Performance introduced the report which requested Members to adopt the Peak District Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment (CCVA). It was noted that if adopted the CCVA would be used as part of the evidence base for the Authority's policies and strategies.

Prof Janet Haddock-Fraser, Chair of the Member led Climate Change Task Group, stated that the Task Group had been involved in producing the CCVA and moved the recommendation.

Members welcomed the report and the CCVA as an important achievement which needed to be shared with partners and stakeholders; the motion was seconded. It was noted that the next steps were to refresh the presentation of the CCVA document to enable it to be shared more easily and to raise its profile both internally and externally. Officers will also ensure that the CCVA fits into the next steps for policies and strategies eg the Corporate Strategy, the National Park Management Plan and the Local Plan.

In response to Members' queries it was noted that it was understood this was the first such CCVA to be produced by a National Park Authority with regard to special qualities and the CCVA would be shared with the National Parks England Climate Change Group.

The motion for the recommendation as set out in the report was voted on and carried.

RESOLVED:

- 1. To adopt the Peak District Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment provided via web link at Appendix 1 of the report.**
- 2. That the Peak District Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment becomes part of our evidence base for the National Park Management Plan, Corporate Strategy, Local Plan, Landscape Strategy and all our work programmes.**
- 3. That any changes needed to the Peak District Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment, as a result of the Programmes and Resources meeting, are delegated to the Chief Executive.**

33/20 VISITOR MANAGEMENT AND RECREATION HUBS PROGRAMME (DEC 20 / AKB)

The Director of Commercial Development and Engagement introduced the report which gave details of the development of the visitor management and recreation hub approach and asked Members to confirm the strategic direction to enable officers to develop a delivery plan. The Director acknowledged the work of colleagues in developing the proposals, particularly the Head of Policy and Communities, Head of Visitor Experience and the Director of Conservation and Planning.

Members expressed concerns about public transport links and resources and emphasised the benefits of working with partners and constituent councils. Officers noted the concerns and stated that discussions with partners were happening including regarding subsidies, reconsidering transport service cuts and looking at visitor-focussed services like the Hope Valley Explorer.

The recommendation as set out in the report was moved and seconded.

The possibility of looking at specific areas for traffic management was suggested as an issue for consideration.

The motion was voted on and carried.

RESOLVED:

1. To confirm the programme brief, vision and definitions (set out in Appendix 1 of the report).
2. To confirm the sustainable transport plan is part of the visitor management and recreation hub delivery plan.

34/20 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DUE DILLIGENCEDILIGENCE PANEL 2019/20 (RC)

The Monitoring Officer introduced the report which gave details of the 3 items considered by the Due Diligence Panel during the last 12 months. Mr James Berresford supported the report as the appointed Member representative on the Panel. He stated that the due diligence process was good and allowed for a proper and considered approach to funding offers.

The recommendation as set out in the report was moved, seconded, voted on and carried.

RESOLVED:

1. To note the items considered by the Due Diligence Panel over the last 12 months, as set out in paragraphs 9 and 10 of the report.
2. To note that the Panel carried out the six monthly review of the financial register of sponsorships and donations, the in-kind register of sponsorships and donations and the staff benefits register in March and October 2020.

35/20 NOTES OF MEETINGS OF MEMBER CLIMATE CHANGE TASK GROUP HELD ON 7 JULY, 2 SEPTEMBER AND 15 OCTOBER 2020

RESOLVED:

To note the notes of the meetings of the Climate Change Member Task Group held on 7 July, 2 September and 15 October 2020.

36/20 EXEMPT INFORMATION S100 (A) LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972

RESOLVED:

That the public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of agenda item 10 to avoid the disclosure of Exempt Information under S100 (A) (4) Local Government Act 1972, Schedule 12A, paragraph 3 'information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the Authority holding that information).

The meeting adjourned for a short break to allow for the public broadcasting to be stopped and then the meeting reconvened.

SUMMARY

The following items was considered under Part B:

37/16 PDNPA Infrastructure as a Service Contract Authorisation (DB)

The meeting ended at 1.40 pm

This page is intentionally left blank



PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY
Climate Change Member Task Group
Wednesday 26th November 2020
Webex videoconference

Attended by:

Members

Janet Haddock-Fraser (JH-F), David Chapman (DC), Charlotte Farrell (CFa), Lydia Slack (LS), Ken Smith (KS)

Officers

Matt Mardling (MM), Brian Taylor (BT)

Agenda item		Action
1.	<u>Apologies for absence</u> David Hickman (DH), Emily Fox (EF),	
2.	<u>Minutes of the last meeting and matters arising</u> Minutes agreed all actions completed	

3	<p><u>The planning process including home efficiency, retro-fitting and sustainable design</u></p> <p>Tension exists due to the role of building control being separate from Planning enforcement. Government have been pushing the view that building control should drive building standards and not planning authorities. Building control sits with the District Councils not the NPA. This creates an element of tension particularly linked to the desire to be exemplars of best practice. 2011 core strategy contains policy areas which referred to codes on sustainability which allowed greater efficiency to be required. However these codes have been taken away. Anaerobic digestion has been permitted but only for local waste and not as a destination for external waste. Our view on enhancement is developing to consider that enhancement should be necessary and not just incidental to the development. SPD provides guidance on renewable technologies to show how they can be incorporated. Especially in the farm environment.</p>	
----------	---	--

<p>3</p>	<p><i>Continued...</i></p> <p>Two large turbines have been permitted at Parwich. The approach has been that the features of the NP should be the dominant feature of the landscape. This example was controversial and difficult for the NP. Best practice case studies are available to guide future applications. Validation lists include guidance notes on climate change. We are now monitoring the difference between initial proposal and final scheme to see if the intervention is working. We need a better understanding of embedded carbon from new materials verses reused. Spatial strategy is intended to ensure the need for transport is minimised.</p> <p><u>Q&A</u></p> <p>DC – Can SPD be more specific to individual building styles?</p> <p>BT – SPD must supplement to the policy but happy to relook at that plus the general design guide which is more about the building tradition.</p> <p>KS- Can planning agents be included in the debate?</p> <p>BT – Yes meetings with planning agents occur twice a year normally as workshops.</p> <p>DC – Can we make the SPD firm enough to ensure compliance?</p> <p>BT – It's a good point. In the past sustainability has not been the breaking point for applications. The recent tone of guidance has been towards encouraging rather than insisting. At times we need to adopt much harder clearer language.</p> <p>JHF – Planning seems a rather impenetrable subject to the lay person. How would you go about finding out what to do?</p> <p>BT – Comprehensive guidance is available on the web site. We are looking to streamline the route to accessing the info online.</p> <p>JHF – What is the trade-off between insulation and listed buildings etc?</p> <p>BT – Full guidance is available.</p> <p>DC – Retro-fitting historic buildings is a real challenge.</p> <p>BT – Housing at DDDC is promoting potential options around rendered properties which could be helpful.</p> <p>JHF – What's the progress with the planning review?</p> <p>BT – We should have our early findings in February and then we will identify the main issues for the plan. This will be contrasted with current policy to determine what needs to change from late Spring.</p>	
-----------------	---	--

<p>3.</p>	<p><i>Continued...</i></p> <p>KS – I note the energy hierarchy with renewables last.</p> <p>JHF – It's the standard approach with reduce first then replace.</p> <p>JHF – What is the role of agriculture in delivering renewable energy?</p> <p>BT – Yes we have pushed this sector more than any other due to the opportunity on larger sites with large buildings, but is must work for their business.</p> <p>DC – In the dairy sector the carbon foot printing requirements of the retailers is stringent and pushes the sector quite hard to improve.</p> <p>JHF- How joined up is the building sector and the ELMS work. Is the business level carbon footprint assessed?</p> <p>BT – Farm Advisory have run sustainability audits of farms in the past but not sure that capacity is still there.</p> <p>DC – Buildings need to be fit for purpose for animal husbandry.</p> <p>LS – Not sure how much buildings are covered by ELMS but sustainability audits do cover all aspects of the business. Can encourage farmers but not sure how much you can do once it's allowed under policy.</p> <p>BT – This could be improved with requirement to enhance in future.</p> <p>KS – The issue is the distinction between encouragement to voluntary improvement and requirements under policy.</p> <p>DC – We should look to go beyond sustainable policies to improvement and enhancement.</p>	
------------------	---	--

4	<p>An update and discussion on member participation by theme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agriculture, forestry, Landscape – Lydia and Ken • Moorland – David and Ken • Cement works – Chris • Transport – Charlotte and Janet <p>JHF/CFa Reviewed Dave Marsden's papers and our main observation is that we cannot do this in isolation. There is a paper to P&R next week regarding Recreation Hubs</p> <p>CFa – In an ideal world we would have a congestion charge. There is concern that the Manchester congestion charge will push traffic onto smaller roads.</p>	
4.	<p><i>Continued...</i></p> <p>CFa – Still waiting for confirmation about the Breedon liaison group.</p> <p>KS – A Historic England project document on contribution of cultural heritage to agri-environment schemes based on natural capital accounting/ecosystem services, using the Lake District NP as a study area, will soon be available and can be circulated to the group.</p> <p>DC – MFTF have tendered for the green challenge grant £1.4m plus Europe have invited MFTF to bid for the next Life Fund but not sure if they will apply given alternative funding opportunities and work load.</p> <p>JHF – NPs have written to BEIS minister regarding funding for the audit of carbon emissions at national park level. I would like to invite Andrew McCloy and James Berresford to discuss the group's role in the review of the corporate / NPMP and wider purpose of the group. The week beginning the 25th is favoured. JHF- to liaise with AMC to fix a date.</p>	JHF
5	<p><u>AOB</u></p> <p>Next meeting agenda to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The future purpose and direction of the task group • Group communications • Any other business 	JHF

Future Meetings:

26th Jan 9 -11am

Distribution:

***All invitees
Andrew McCloy
James Berresford
Senior Leadership Team***



PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY
Climate Change Member Task Group
Tuesday 26th January 2021
Webex videoconference

<

3	<p><i>Continued...</i></p> <p><u>Summary of discussion themes</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We need to be strategic and consider scope 3 emissions. • We need to work beyond the limits of the Authority to reach net zero as a National Park area. • We should play an advocacy role and use our external networks in Districts and communities; meeting up to support and share ideas with similar climate groups from councils, organisations and community groups. • Communication and climate change messaging is an issue, which needs addressing in all of its forms. Including internal, partner, community, visitor and stakeholders. • The National Park England climate plan should be our blue print for action. • Look for a more consistent approach to benchmarking between National Parks. <p>JHF – Summing up, we need a steering group, it is not a task and finish group. We need to understand scope three to understand the park as a totality.</p> <p>The National Park England climate plan should be a blue print for what we are doing in the park. We can bring skills to bear in partnership with officers. We need an outward face to other similar groups in the National Park. We need to take the budget forward to implement the consultancy.</p>	EF/MM
---	--	-------

4	<p>An update and discussion on member participation by theme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agriculture, forestry, Landscape – Lydia and Ken • Moorland – David and Ken • Cement works – Chris • Transport – Charlotte and Janet <p>KS - BBC internet news highlights a report on global change biology with a ten point advisory plan for tree planting. Maybe helpful to have a look at. It confirms our previous discussions in this group.</p> <p>DC – December’s Moorlife programme should have been received by now. Work is carrying on. Some issues with Covid but sphagnum is still going in.</p> <p>AM - Regarding the DDDC Climate Officer will it be an external and internal facing post and do we expect to have a relationship with them?</p> <p>DC - Yes the remit includes external liaison and looking at funding sources plus putting the consultants’ proposals into practice.</p> <p>CF - Nothing to report.</p> <p>DC - The recent Europarcs webinar regarding peat was mostly about carbon credits but still interesting and worth watching.</p> <p>JHF - Rural Community Environment Fund is interesting.</p> <p>MM - Yes and some funds are still available for groups who may be interested. The Belper community car-charging example is relevant to National Park communities and also the Cromford Mill water wheel.</p> <p>AM - Yes the Great North Bog funding bid has been met very favourably. So cautiously optimistic. I am keen to see more for community based work.</p> <p>CF - Cement works is still the elephant in the room. Any changes will be incredibly expensive and needs to link with other works. The next meeting is March 6th.</p>	
---	--	--

	<p><i>Continued...</i></p> <p>LS - With ELMS it appears that funding is going to be available via the National Park but the timing is very short as they want to start in April this year. So we need to be very careful because if it does not go well it will reflect badly on us. Also I attended a workshop on the Manchester nature recovery networks so it will be interesting to see how it comes together.</p> <p>MM - Officers are meeting in Feb regarding COP26. This follows a cancelled call for National Park engagement before Christmas. But to be aware that last time it was quite short notice for a response.</p> <p>AM - Would like to underline the importance of this group. Let's find out how we are going to get to 2050.</p>	
5	<p><u>AOB</u></p> <p>CF - I am coordinating a Derbyshire wide campaign for 'twenty's plenty' so please get involved.</p> <p>DC - The moorland restoration is largely within High Peak would it help Charlotte's group to have a presentation from MFTF.</p> <p>CF - It is other areas than moorland that we need progress with.</p> <p>JHF - I need to meet up next week with EF/MM to discuss how we take this forward.</p>	JHF

Summary of Actions

EF/MM - Implement the Small World benchmarking consultancy

JHF - To meet up with EF/MM to discuss how we take this discussion forward

Future Meetings:

TBA late March to mid-April

Distribution:

***All invitees
Andrew McCloy
James Berresford
Senior Leadership Team***

6. CLEANING TENDER FOR TOILETS AND VISITOR CENTRES

1. Purpose of the report

To seek approval to enter into a contract in excess of £150,000 over three years to provide cleaning services at three of its visitor centres, six of its public toilets and its campsite. A number of sites have been added to this contract since the previous tender due to cleaning staff vacancies arising.

The contract comprised Bakewell, Castleton and Edale visitor centres and six public toilet blocks. The contract will also provide relief cover for the remaining PDNPA toilets cleaned by directly employed staff and for North Lees campsite.

An open tender process was run in Dec'20/Jan'21, with four responses submitted for consideration.

Key Issues

- **A contract is currently operating to cover the provision of cleaning at the Visitor Centres and a number of toilet sites**
- **The period covered by the previous contract has now ceased but we have continued with the same provider on a temporary basis.**
- **The new contract exceeds £150,000 for all the sites over three years and therefore required approval of the Programmes and Resources Committee in accordance with the Authority's Standing Orders (Part 3 C-3).**

2. Recommendation

- 1. That expenditure on a contract for the provision of cleaning services in excess of £150,000 (being £206,397 for 3 years) is approved.**

3. How does this contribute to our policies and legal obligations?

The provision of clean visitor facilities supports the PDNPA in providing a sustainable, welcoming and inspiring place for all.

The need for clean visitor facilities, especially public toilets has been highlighted through the COVID-19 pandemic.

4. Background

In November 2016 approval was given to disestablish vacant cleaner posts and include the sites within a cleaning contract which, through a tender process had been shown to provide savings to the Authority.

The 2016 contract has continued to operate through the COVID-19 restrictions. The tender has been successful and well managed to provide a good service to users of the facilities. During the contract additional sites have been added on a temporary basis when cleaners have left sites, or had long term absences. The use of a contract cleaning company has provided flexibility, whilst maintaining the standards expected of Authority visitor facilities.

A new specification, including more sites was prepared and re-tendered at the end of 2020. Additional cleaning sites have been included where vacancies existed in the

establishment. The tender has been advertised on a one plus two year basis. It is intended to commence on 1st April 2021, and will end on 5th May 2024 to enable any future tender process to be aligned with the renewal of the Aldern House cleaning contract, if it is felt that this will achieve further efficiencies.

Following tender return evaluation, the tender evaluation report has been prepared by the responsible officer and approved by the Chief Executive Officer, in consultation with the Chair of Programmes and Resources Committee, in accordance with Standing Orders Part 2 section 7.8.5. The tender evaluation report recommends that the contract be awarded to Solutions 2 (Contract Cleaning) Limited on a one year plus two year basis. The value for the three years being £206,397

5. Proposals

The proposal is to spend £206,397 on cleaning services for the period 1 April 2021 to 5 May 2024.

Are there any corporate implications members should be concerned about?

6. Financial:

The Head of Finance has been consulted regarding financial checks for the selected tenderer.

In respect of those sites included in the 2016 contract the new price represents an increase of 18% in year 1. This is a result of both inflation and increased cleaning standards required to help limit transmission of Covid-19 at PDNPA facilities.

In respect of the sites that have been added to the contract the price represents an increase of approximately 11% compared with the direct cost of employing cleaning staff. However, the direct cost does not represent the full cost of managing those staff. Part of this increase is again due to increased cleaning standards as a result of Covid-19. A management time saving equating to £2,000 p.a. approx. will be achieved by employing a contractor rather than recruiting cleaners to individual sites, meaning that the overall cost difference to the Authority is negligible.

All payments will be made retrospectively.

The costs will be met through existing property revenue budgets.

There is provision within the tender for sites to be withdrawn without financial penalties for the Authority with a specified notice period. There is also provision for unforeseen closures such as future COVID-19 lockdown requirements.

7. Risk Management:

The Authority has experience of managing an external contractor to provide cleaning services in many of the identified locations. The standards required have been achieved so far.

The tender sets out the standards expected of the contractor and the checks that will be undertaken to ensure the standards are met. There is also the route for investigation should complaints be received.

The tender requires cleaners to be clearly identified. The tenderer provides uniform, hi-viz vests and ID badges as well as marked vehicles for the main cleaners.

8. Sustainability:

The contractor is required to use environmental sustainable cleaning products.

9. Equality:

The tender and responses have been prepared, run and evaluated within the standard procedures to ensure equality.

10. Climate Change

The proposal does not directly contribute to the Authority's role in climate change or the carbon net zero targets.

Indirectly the services provided under this contract contribute to the Authority's role in climate change. Visitor Centres will be cleaned as part of the contract, they are one of the mechanisms for the Authority to engage with visitors to the National Park regarding issues associated with climate change.

11. Background papers (not previously published)

None

Appendices

None

Report Author, Job Title and Publication Date

Emma Stone, Head of Asset Management, 24 February 2021
emma.stone@peakdistrict.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank