

## Conservation of Cultural Heritage

NPA COMPARISON					ACHIEVED				TARGET		
2007/08	Top 25%	Range	Ref	Indicator	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Target Met	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
			F1.1	Number of major projects with National Park Authority involvement that are engaged in delivering the Cultural Heritage Strategy	NEW INDICATOR			n/a	-	5	5
			F2.1	Number & percentage of targets in the Cultural Heritage Strategy completed / on target	NEW INDICATOR		81 90%	n/a	83 94%	90 100%	86 95%
			BV219 (b)	Percentage of conservation areas in the local authority area with an up-to-date character appraisal (Family)	28.00%	28.00%	10%*	✗	35.00%	Discontinued nationally	
			CH1	Number of new archaeological sites or substantial revisions recommended for addition to the county based Sites and Monuments Records	1,330	246	217**	✗	500	Monitor at service level	
			CH2	Number of archaeological research & conservation projects by (or supported by) the Authority	9	133	105***	✗	130	Monitor at service level	
			CH3 (a)	Number of Listed Buildings indicated as 'at risk' as a percentage of the number of Listed buildings in the Park (Family)	7.1%	7.1%	7.0%	✓	7.0%	7.1%	7.1%
			CH3 (b)	Number of Listed Buildings 'at risk' rescued by Authority action during the year as a percentage of the total number of Listed Buildings 'at risk' in the National Park (Family)	4.2%	2.0%	2.0%	✓	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
			CH3 (c)	Percentage of Listed Buildings surveyed	20%	20%	17%	✗	20%	Monitor at service level	
			CH4 (a)	Number of cultural heritage consultations completed Percentage completed within the required period (Family)	9,125 100%	9,171 100%	9,574 97.9%	n/a ✗	n/a 100%	n/a 100%	n/a 100%
			NB2	Overall percentage of the Park surveyed for archaeological content	44%	44%	44.5%	✗	46%	Superseded by F2.1	
			LB15 (a)	Number of non-listed Farm/Estate Buildings restored	12	9	10	✓	10	Monitor at service level	

### FOOTNOTES:

\* Outturn figure low as many appraisals are now more than 5 years old, and so cannot be considered current. The 4 proposed for completion by 2007/08 are complete, with 8 more substantially underway

\*\* Due to reprioritisation of work

\*\*\* Decrease mainly as a result of fewer Farm Environment Plans (21 less) and historic building grants awarded (5 less)