Family Indicator Tables

NPA C	OMPARISO	DN				ACHIEVED				TARGET	
2008/09	Top 25%	Range	Ref	Indicator	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Target Met	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Natural Enviro	nment										
			NE2(a)	Number of external consultations completed	521	434	429	Externally of	dependent	Discor	ntinued
			(b)	Percentage completed within the required period	100%	100%	100%	✓	100%	Discor	ntinued
Cultural Herita	ge										
				Number of Listed Buildings indicated as 'at risk' as a percentage of the number of Listed buildings in the Park (Becomes new Family Indicator CH2)	7.1%	7.0%	6.6%	✓ (Good performance is Ψ)	7.1%	6.8%	
			CH3(a)	Number of Listed Buildings at risk rescued through National Park Authority action during the year (Becomes new Family Indicator CH2)	Data unavailable	4	7	~	Set baseline		
			CH3(b)	Number of Listed Buildings 'at risk' rescued through Authority action during the year as a percentage of the total number of Listed Buildings 'at risk' in the National Park (Becomes new Family Indicator CH2)	2.0%	2.0%	3.4%	✓	2.0%	2.0%	
			CH4(a)	Number of cultural heritage consultations completed	9,171	9,574	10,207	Externally dependent	Externally dependent	Discor	ntinued
			(b)	Percentage completed within the required period	100%	98%	98%	X	100%		
			BV219(a)	Number of conservation areas (Becomes new Family Indicator CH1)	Data unavailable	Data unavailable	109	✓	Set baseline		
			BV219(b)	Percentage of conservation areas in the local authority area with an up-to-date character appraisal (Becomes new Family Indicator CH1)	1.83%	3.67%*	%	√	Set baseline		
ecreation Ma	nagement			,		'			·		
			RM1(BV178)/D2.1	Percentage of total length of footpaths and other rights of way, that were easy to use by the general public even though they may NOT follow the exact definitive line (Becomes new Family Indicator RM1)	94.7%	94.0%	90.1%	X	95%	90%	90%
			RM2	Percentage of public rights of way signposted where they leave a road	90%	94%	96%	✓	94%	Disco	ntinued
romoting Unc	derstanding a	nd Enjoym									
			PU1	National Park Visitor Centre effectiveness rating	Data unavailable	75%	99%	*	Set baseline	Discor	ntinued
			PU2	Number of individual contacts (for promoting understanding and enjoyment) during the year		available	481,127	√	Set baseline	Discor	ntinued
raffic and Tra	nsport			,				•			
			TT2	Percentage of NPA public meetings, events, walks & talks that are accessible by means of public transport	92%	95%	90%	✓	90%	Discor	ntinued

Family Indicator Tables

NPA C	COMPARISO	DN				ACHIEVED				TARGET	
2008/09	Top 25%	Range	Ref	Indicator	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Target Met	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Development	Control										
			NI 157	Percentage of planning applications by type determined in a timely manner:							
			(a) (b) (c) (d)	% of Major applications within 13 wks % of Minor applications within 8 wks % of Other applications within 8 wks % of County Matter applications within 13 wks	50.00% 72.50% 84.60% Data unavailable	0.00% 76.60% 82.30% Data unavailable	100.00% 77.11% 81.89% 38.46%	* * *	60% 65% 80% Set baseline	60% 77% 84% 45%	65% 80% 86% 50%
			BV111	Percentage of applicants very / fairly satisfied with service received (Becomes new Family Indicator DC2)	61%	No data. 3-yearly survey	No data	-	-		
Corporate and	d Democratic	Core									
			BV12/K3.2	Number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness absence per full time equivalent employee (Becomes new Family Indicator CD1)	6.68 days	5.39 days	7.93 days	X	6.5 days	6.5 days	6.5 days
			BV2(a)	The Level of the 'Equality Standard for Local Government' to which the Authority conforms	Level 2 Achieved	Level 2 Achieved	Working Towards Level 3	✓	Working Towards Level 3	Discor	tinued
			BV8	The percentage of undisputed invoices for commercial goods & services that were paid by the Authority within 30 days of such invoices being received by the Authority	94.50%	91.00%	94.27%	Х	95%	Discor	tinued
			BV16(a)	Percentage of local authority employees declaring that they meet the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 disability definition	3.61%	3.51%	3.91%	✓	Not less than 3.00%	Discor	tinued
			BV204	The number of planning appeal decisions allowed against the Authority's decision to refuse planning applications, as a percentage of the total number of planning appeals against refusal of planning applications	46.2%	41.7%	37%	_	<40%	Discor	tinued

KEY:

Our performance in comparison with the target we set ourselves:					
✓	We met or exceeded our target				
Х	We did not meet our target				

Our performance in comparison with all National Park Authorities:						
☺	We are in the top 25%					
8	We are in the bottom 25%					
⊜	Our performance is average compared with other Authorities					

FOOTNOTES

* Data amended......