APPENDIX 2

PROPOSALS ARISING OUT OF THE MEMBERS WORKSHOP ON THE PREFERRED OPTIONS CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

PROPOSALS AT THE WORKSHOP	OFFICER RESPONSE
Development Strategy	
More positive language regarding engagement with the community in commenting/amending the settlements list	Now included in the preferred approach text relating to community consultation on the settlements list. Also included clear set of criteria to guide community's on the basis for commenting on the settlement lists
Clarity on how the settlements list is reviewed during the plan period	 Clarified the basis in GSP4b on which the different A and B categories are made and that the settlement list will be reviewed in the context of detailed assessments of villages during the plan period.
Need flexibility in finding uses for buildings in the open countryside	Now included in policy GSP4a
Consider concept of "clusters" in settlement list ie grouping dispersed settlements to form a viable settlement	The cascade mechanism in the Authority's SPG on "Meeting the Local Need for Affordable Housing"can be amended to make affordable housing provision more directed to areas where there are dispersed settlements
 Consider combining Policies GPS1 & 4a 	This can be done in the final policy document
Minerals Need to confirm whether we can restrict use of building stone & slate to within the park	Discussions taking place with other Minerals planning Authoritess to compare practices
Affordable Housing HC2 needs to be clearer and less negative in style.	HC2 amended
More cross referencing in the policies	 Additional cross references added to paras 10.11 and 10.18 and preferred approaches HC1 and HC4b
 Make it more obvious what the change in policy is from previous development plan 	Already exists in the document.

- Need to express more strongly that the Authority is trying to help communities in the provision of affordable housing
- Need to reflect a repeated concern about the need to convey that we are in danger of putting too much housing in a national park if we do not more strongly address the question of environmental limits.
- Added text in para 10.29:, "but enables us to be as helpful as possible to accommodate the needs of local communities".
- New text in para 1.31 provides a better link between statistics and the remainder of the discussion in that section: "Some open market dwellings were permitted before, but built after, the Structure Plan was adopted. Most involved changing the use of buildings rather than building new. The result is a surprisingly high but unsustainable increase (10%) in the stock of housing".

PROPOSALS AT THE WORKSHOP

Climate Change

- Need to reconsider the ordering of the policies between General Spatial Policies and Core Policies to focus key principles at the beginning and detail in core policies
- Emphasise adaptation more
- Establish hooks for development management policies on Anaerobic Digesters and Hydro

OFFICER RESPONSE

- Sustainable design and construction moved from General Spatial Policy to 'Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change' as CC1. Further altersations to be included following discussion with Lead member for Climate Change
- Greater explanation now included in preferred approach to CC1
- CC3 gives generic hook for all renewables development. Agriculutral waste dealt with in detail at CC6, with cross ref also given in CC1

General points for further refinement before consultation

- Use plain English which is legally robust
- Peer review of work within Policy
- Standardisation of preferred approach wording and styles
- Critical friends to read through and comment
- Work with lead members
- Removal of duplication of GSP preferred option wording in other options