Family Indicator Tables

Compariso	n				Achieved				Target	
Тор 25%	Range	Ref	Indicator	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Target Met	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
on of Natur	al Environ	ment						•		
		Cxt1	National Park (ha) b) Area of SSSI in NPA	Data unavailable	a) 49875.88ha b) 4903.69ha	a) 49744.51ha b) 4892.28ha	a) X b) X	a) 49875.88ha b) 4903.69ha	a) 49744.51ha b) 4892.28ha	a) 49744.51ha b) 4892.28ha
		NE1/1.2a/1.2b	Percentage of SSSI Land in 'favourable or recovering' condition in: a) NPA Management b) the National Park as a whole	a) 91.0% b) Data unavailable	a) 97.6% b) 90.2%	a) 99.3% b) 94.6%	a) ✓ b) ✓	a) 97.6% b) 92.7%	a) 99.3% b) 95.0%	a) 99.3% b) 95.0%
on of Cultu	ral Heritag					F	-1		1	r
		CH1	areas b) Percentage of conservation areas with up-to-date	a) 109 b) 10.00%	a) 109 b) 11.01%	a) 109 b) 14.68%	a) ✓ b) ✓	a) 109 b) 15.00%	a) 109 b) 18.00%	a) 109 b) 18.00%
		Cxt2	a) Number of listed buildings b) Number of listed buildings	a) 2901 b) 205	a) 2901 b) 192	a) 2903 b) 193	a) ✓ b) ✓	a) 2901 b) 193	a) 2903 b) 193	a) 2903 b) 193
		CH2	a) Number of listed buildings 'at risk' rescued during the year b) Percentage of listed buildings 'at risk' rescued during the year	a) 4 b) 1.93%	a) 7 b) 3.41%	a) 6 b) 3.13%	a) ✓ b) ✓	a) 5 b) 2.59%	a) 5 b) 2.59%	a) 5 b) 2.59%
		Cxt3	 a) Number of scheduled monuments b) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' 	NEW IND	ICATOR	a) 458* b) 82	a) - b) -	Externally dependent	Externally dependent	Externally dependent
		CH3	a) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the year b) Percentage of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the year	NEW IND	ICATOR	a) 1 b) Calculation dependent on data for previous year	a) ✓ b) -	a) Set baseline b) N/A	a) 1 b) Set baseline	a) 1 b) Dependent on baseline
Manageme	ent									•
		Cxt4	Total length of footpaths and other rights of way	2459.00km	2459.13km	2459.13km	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
		RM1/RM2	Percentage of total length of footpaths and other rights of way, that were easy to use by the general public: a) and follow the exact DEFINITIVE line. b) even though they may NOT follow the exact definitive line.	a) NEW INDICATOR b) 95.0%	a) 87.1% b) 90.1%	a) 90.9% b) 94.7%	*	a) 90.0% b) 90.0%	a) 90.0% b) 90.0%	a) 90.0% b) 90.0%
	Top 25% on of Natur	Top Range 25% on of Natural Environ	Top 25%RangeRefon of Natural EnvironmentCxt1Cxt1Cxt1Cxt1NE1/1.2a/1.2bon of Cultural HeritageCH1Cxt2Cxt2Cxt3Cxt3Cxt3Cxt3Cxt3CH3ManagementCxt4	Top 25%RangeRefIndicatoron of Natural EnvironmentCxt1a) Area of SSSI Land in the National Park (ha) b) Area of SSSI in NPA management (ha)NE1/1.2a/1.2bPercentage of SSSI Land in 'favourable or recovering' condition in: a) NPA Management b) the National Park as a wholeon of Cultural HeritageCH1a) Number of conservation areas b) Percentage of conservation areas with up-to-date character appraisalson of Cultural HeritageCH1a) Number of listed buildings 'at risk'on of Cultural HeritageCH1a) Number of listed buildings 'at risk'on of Cultural HeritageCH1a) Number of listed buildings 'at risk'on of Cultural HeritageCH2a) Number of listed buildings 'at risk'on of Cultural HeritageCK12a) Number of listed buildings 'at risk'on of Cultural HeritageCK12a) Number of scheduled monuments fisted buildings 'at risk' rescued during the year b) Number of scheduled monuments b) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the yearon of Cultural HeritageCX13a) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the yearon of Cultural HeritageCX13a) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the yearon of Cultural HeritageCX13a) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the yearon of Cultural HeritageCX13a) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the yearon of Cultural HeritageCX13a) Number of scheduled monuments 'a	Top 25% Range on of Natural Environment Ref Indicator 2007/08 an of Natural Environment Cxt1 a) Area of SSSI Land in the National Park (ha) b) Area of SSSI Land in the National Park (ha) b) Area of SSSI Land in favourable or recovering' condition in: a) NPA Management b) the National Park as a whole Data unavailable an of Cultural Heritage CH1 a) Number of conservation areas with up-to-date b) Percentage of conservation areas with up-to-date b) Number of listed buildings 'at risk' rescued during the year a) 2901 b) 205 a) 2901 b) 205 CH2 a) Number of listed buildings 'at risk' rescued during the year a) 4 b) Percentage of scheduled monuments' at risk' rescued during the year a) 4 b) 1.93% CH3 a) Number of scheduled monuments' at risk' rescued during the year NEW IND CH3 a) Number of fotpaths and other rights of way NEW IND NEW IND CH3 a) Number of scheduled monuments' at risk' rescued during the year NEW IND NEW IND CH3 a) Number of scheduled monuments' at risk' rescued during the year NEW IND Management Cxt4 Total length of footpaths and other rights of way. 2459.00km Management Derentage of total length of footpaths and other rights of way, that were easy to use by the generenal public: a) and follow t	Top 25% Range Ref Indicator 2007/08 2008/09 n of Natural Environment a) Area of SSSI Land in the National Park (ha) b) Area of SSSI In NPA management (ha) Data unavailable a) 49875.88ha b) 4903.69ha NE1/1.2a/1.2b Percentage of SSSI Land in 'favourable or recovering' condition in: a) NPA Management b) the National Park as a whole a) 91.0% b) Data unavailable a) 97.6% b) 90.2% on of Cultural Heritage CH1 a) Number of conservation areas whole a) 109 b) Percentage of conservation areas with up-to-date character appraisals a) 109 b) 10.00% a) 109 b) 11.01% CK12 a) Number of listed buildings b) Number of listed buildings duildings 'at risk' rescued during the year b) Percentage of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the year a) 4 b) 1.93% a) 7 b) 3.41% CK13 a) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the year NEW INDICATOR Management CX13 a) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the year NEW INDICATOR NEW INDICATOR Percentage of total length of footpaths and other rights of way, that were easy to use by the general public: a) and follow the exact DEFINITIVE line. b) even though they may NOT a) NEW INDICATOR a) 87.1% b) 90.1%	Top 25% Range Ref Indicator 2007/08 2008/09 2009/10 on of Natural Environment a) Area of SSSI Land in the National Park (ha) b) Area of SSSI in NPA magagement (ha) a) 49744.51ha b) 4903.69ha a) 497744.51ha b) 4903.69ha b) 492.28ha no of Cultural Heritage NE1/1.2a/1.2b Percentage of SSSI Land in 'avourable or recovering' condition in: a) NPA Management b) her National Park as a whole a) 91.0% b) Data unavailable a) 97.6% b) 90.2% a) 99.3% b) 94.6% on of Cultural Heritage CH1 a) Number of conservation areas b) Percentage of conservation areas with up-to-date character appraisals a) 109 b) 10.00% b) 100.00% a) 109 b) 110.00% b) 110.00% a) 2901 b) 100.00% b) 192 a) 2903 b) 14.68% a CH2 a) Number of listed buildings 't risk' rescued during the year during the year a) 2001 b) 120 a) 2901 b) 120 a) 2903 b) 193 b) 3.13% a CH2 a) Number of scheduled monuments 't risk' rescued during the year a) 4 b) 3.41% b) 3.13% b) 3.13% b) Auditor of scheduled monuments 't risk' rescued during the year NEW INDICATOR a) 458* b) 82 b) Area risk rescued during the year NEW INDICATOR a) 458* b) 62.00km b) 4259.13km 2459.13km	Top 25%Range 25%RefIndicator2007/082008/092009/10Target Metan of Natural Environment $= 0.4$ Area of SSSI Land in the National Park (ha) b) Area of SSSI in NPA management (ha) $= 0.4$ Area of SSSI hand in the Area of SSSI hand	Top 25%Range 25%RefIndicator2009/092009/09Target Met2009/10on of Natural Environment $nn of Natural EnvironmentCxt1a) Area of SSSI Land in theb, Nere of SSSI Land inTavourable or recoveringcondition in:a) NPA Management (ha)a) 4975, 88naanaragement (ha)a) 4972, 88nab) 4992, 28haa) 497, 6\%b) 492, 28haa) 497, 6\%b) 492, 28haa) 497, 6\%b) 492, 28haa) 497, 6\%b) 40, 6\%a) 497, 6\%b) 40, 6\%a) 497, 6\%b) 92, 27\%a) 492, 28haa) 49, 22, 28haIn Clutural HeritageCH1a) Number of cluded buildingsat risk' rescued during theyeara) 490, 26, 28haa) 49, 22, 28haa) 49, 22, 28haa) 49, 25, 28haa) 49, 25, 28ha$	Top 25% 25% 25% an of Natural EnvironmentRefIndicator2007/082008/092009/10Target Met2009/102010/11an of Natural Environment

Family Indicator Tables

	Compariso					Achieved				Target	
2009/10	Top 25%	Range	Ref	Indicator	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Target Met	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			RM3	a) Total number of volunteer days organised or supported by the NPA b) Number of those days attended by 'under	NEW IND	ICATOR	a) 9020 b) 1672**	a) ✓ b) X	Set baseline	a) 9020 b) Set new baseline	a) 9020 b) Dependent on baseline
				represented' groups							
Promoting	Understan	ding									
			PU Cxt	Number of users of 'promoting understanding' services	524,740 (excl. website users)	491,243 (excl. website users)	1,015,404	✓	Set baseline	1,019,451	1,019,451
			PU1	Percentage satisfaction rating for users of 'promoting understanding' services	NEW IND	ICATOR	91%	✓	Set baseline		urvey to be reviewed rgets being set
			PU2	Percentage increased understanding of what is special about National Parks for users of 'promoting understanding' services	NEW IND	ICATOR	74%	~	Set baseline		urvey to be reviewed rgets being set
			PU3	Percentage of users of 'promoting understanding' services from under- represented groups	NEW IND	ICATOR	49%***	*	Set baseline	Service User Si prior to ta	urvey to be reviewed rgets being set
Developme	nt Control		1				•				
			Cxt6	Number of planning applications received	Data unavailable	746	768	-	Externally dependent	Externally dependent	Externally dependent
			DC1/11.1b/NI 157	Percentage of planning applications by type determined in a timely manner: A timely manner is defined as a) within 13 weeks for Major	a) 0.00%	a) 100.00%	a) 28.57%	a) X	a) 60%	a) 60%	a) 60%
				applications;	b) 76.60%	b) 77.11%	b) 72.27%	b) X	b) 77%	b) 75%	b) 75%
				b) within 8 weeks for Minor applications;	c) 82.30%	c) 81.89%	c) 80.19%	c) X	c) 84%	c) 84%	c) 82%
				c) within 8 weeks for Other applications;	d) Data unavailable	d) 38.46%	d) Awaiting data	d) -	d) 45%	d) 50%	d) 50%
				d) within 13 weeks for all County Matter applications (NI 157 only)							
			DC2/11.3a	Percentage of planning applicants satisfied with the service received	No data (3- yearly survey)	No data (3- yearly survey)	70% (annual survey henceforth)		65%	68%	74%

Family Indicator Tables

NPA	NPA Comparison				Achieved				Target		
2009/10	Тор 25%	Range	Ref	Indicator	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Target Met	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
Corporate a	Corporate and Democratic										
			CD3/4.1a/NI 185	CO ₂ reduction from National Park Authority operations	994.68 tonnes CO ₂ ****(baseline year)	906.58 tonnes CO ₂ **** (8.85% decrease against baseline)	834.50 tonnes CO ₂ (16.11% decrease against baseline; 7.96% decrease from previous year)	~	10% decrease against baseline	25% decrease against baseline	28% decrease against baseline (tbc)
			CD1/11.6b	Number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness absence per full time equivalent employee	5.39 days	7.93 days	5.88 days	*	Below 6.5 days	Below 6.5 days	Below 6.5 days
			CD2/11.6h	Member participation in attending committees	75%	70%	75%	✓	75%	75%	75%

KEY:

Our performance in comparison with the target we set ourselves:				
✓ We met or exceeded of	bur target			
X We did not meet our ta	arget			

Our performance in comparison with all National Park Authorities:					
0	We are in the top 25%				
8	We are in the bottom 25%				
	Our performance is average compared with other Authorities				

FOOTNOTES

- * There is a problem with the dataset, which was created in around 2000 by English Heritage and has not been up-dated since then. We await an indication from EH staff as to whether they propose to update it in a piecemeal way and supply us with the data.
- ** Peak Park Conservation Volunteer data only. Breakdown for 'under represented' groups not available for other providers of volunteer days.
- *** PU3 does not take into account persons who belong to multiple groups, for example a person who has both a disability and is aged between 16 and 24. This leads to double counting and possibly even triple counting. The family definition of PU3 does not allow for different sample sizes from each service; it is difficult to ensure an exact sample size due to resource implications. The issue can be rectified through statistical means. We are informed that the Joint Improvement Group will be further discussing PU3.
- **** Outturns amended because we now include more sources of emissions (equipment fuel use and member travel for example), and data has been backdated.