

Family Indicator Tables

NPA Comparison			Ref	Indicator	Achieved			Target Met	Target		
2009/10	Top 25%	Range			2007/08	2008/09	2009/10		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
Conservation of Natural Environment											
			Cxt1	a) Area of SSSI Land in the National Park (ha) b) Area of SSSI in NPA management (ha)	Data unavailable	a) 49875.88ha b) 4903.69ha	a) 49744.51ha b) 4892.28ha	a) X b) X	a) 49875.88ha b) 4903.69ha	a) 49744.51ha b) 4892.28ha	a) 49744.51ha b) 4892.28ha
			NE1/1.2a/1.2b	Percentage of SSSI Land in 'favourable or recovering' condition in: a) NPA Management b) the National Park as a whole	a) 91.0% b) Data unavailable	a) 97.6% b) 90.2%	a) 99.3% b) 94.6%	a) ✓ b) ✓	a) 97.6% b) 92.7%	a) 99.3% b) 95.0%	a) 99.3% b) 95.0%
Conservation of Cultural Heritage											
			CH1	a) Number of conservation areas b) Percentage of conservation areas with up-to-date character appraisals	a) 109 b) 10.00%	a) 109 b) 11.01%	a) 109 b) 14.68%	a) ✓ b) ✓	a) 109 b) 15.00%	a) 109 b) 18.00%	a) 109 b) 18.00%
			Cxt2	a) Number of listed buildings b) Number of listed buildings 'at risk'	a) 2901 b) 205	a) 2901 b) 192	a) 2903 b) 193	a) ✓ b) ✓	a) 2901 b) 193	a) 2903 b) 193	a) 2903 b) 193
			CH2	a) Number of listed buildings 'at risk' rescued during the year b) Percentage of listed buildings 'at risk' rescued during the year	a) 4 b) 1.93%	a) 7 b) 3.41%	a) 6 b) 3.13%	a) ✓ b) ✓	a) 5 b) 2.59%	a) 5 b) 2.59%	a) 5 b) 2.59%
			Cxt3	a) Number of scheduled monuments b) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk'	NEW INDICATOR		a) 458* b) 82	a) - b) -	Externally dependent	Externally dependent	Externally dependent
			CH3	a) Number of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the year b) Percentage of scheduled monuments 'at risk' rescued during the year	NEW INDICATOR		a) 1 b) Calculation dependent on data for previous year	a) ✓ b) -	a) Set baseline b) N/A	a) 1 b) Set baseline	a) 1 b) Dependent on baseline
Recreation Management											
			Cxt4	Total length of footpaths and other rights of way	2459.00km	2459.13km	2459.13km	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
			RM1/RM2	Percentage of total length of footpaths and other rights of way, that were easy to use by the general public: a) and follow the exact DEFINITIVE line. b) even though they may NOT follow the exact definitive line.	a) NEW INDICATOR b) 95.0%	a) 87.1% b) 90.1%	a) 90.9% b) 94.7%	✓ ✓	a) 90.0% b) 90.0%	a) 90.0% b) 90.0%	a) 90.0% b) 90.0%

Family Indicator Tables

NPA Comparison			Ref	Indicator	Achieved			Target Met	Target		
2009/10	Top 25%	Range			2007/08	2008/09	2009/10		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			RM3	a) Total number of volunteer days organised or supported by the NPA b) Number of those days attended by 'under represented' groups	NEW INDICATOR		a) 9020 b) 1672**	a) ✓ b) X	Set baseline	a) 9020 b) Set new baseline	a) 9020 b) Dependent on baseline
Promoting Understanding											
			PU Cxt	Number of users of 'promoting understanding' services	524,740 (excl. website users)	491,243 (excl. website users)	1,015,404	✓	Set baseline	1,019,451	1,019,451
			PU1	Percentage satisfaction rating for users of 'promoting understanding' services	NEW INDICATOR		91%	✓	Set baseline	Service User Survey to be reviewed prior to targets being set	
			PU2	Percentage increased understanding of what is special about National Parks for users of 'promoting understanding' services	NEW INDICATOR		74%	✓	Set baseline	Service User Survey to be reviewed prior to targets being set	
			PU3	Percentage of users of 'promoting understanding' services from under-represented groups	NEW INDICATOR		49%***	✓	Set baseline	Service User Survey to be reviewed prior to targets being set	
Development Control											
			Cxt6	Number of planning applications received	Data unavailable	746	768	-	Externally dependent	Externally dependent	Externally dependent
			DC1/11.1b/NI 157	Percentage of planning applications by type determined in a timely manner: A timely manner is defined as a) within 13 weeks for Major applications; b) within 8 weeks for Minor applications; c) within 8 weeks for Other applications; d) within 13 weeks for all County Matter applications (NI 157 only)	a) 0.00% b) 76.60% c) 82.30% d) Data unavailable	a) 100.00% b) 77.11% c) 81.89% d) 38.46%	a) 28.57% b) 72.27% c) 80.19% d) Awaiting data	a) X b) X c) X d) -	a) 60% b) 77% c) 84% d) 45%	a) 60% b) 75% c) 84% d) 50%	a) 60% b) 75% c) 82% d) 50%
			DC2/11.3a	Percentage of planning applicants satisfied with the service received	No data (3-yearly survey)	No data (3-yearly survey)	70% (annual survey henceforth)	✓	65%	68%	74%

Family Indicator Tables

NPA Comparison			Ref	Indicator	Achieved			Target Met	Target		
2009/10	Top 25%	Range			2007/08	2008/09	2009/10		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
Corporate and Democratic											
			CD3/4.1a/NI 185	CO ₂ reduction from National Park Authority operations	994.68 tonnes CO ₂ ****(baseline year)	906.58 tonnes CO ₂ **** (8.85% decrease against baseline)	834.50 tonnes CO ₂ (16.11% decrease against baseline; 7.96% decrease from previous year)	✓	10% decrease against baseline	25% decrease against baseline	28% decrease against baseline (tbc)
			CD1/11.6b	Number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness absence per full time equivalent employee	5.39 days	7.93 days	5.88 days	✓	Below 6.5 days	Below 6.5 days	Below 6.5 days
			CD2/11.6h	Member participation in attending committees	75%	70%	75%	✓	75%	75%	75%

KEY:

Our performance in comparison with the target we set ourselves:	
✓	We met or exceeded our target
X	We did not meet our target

Our performance in comparison with all National Park Authorities:	
☺	We are in the top 25%
☹	We are in the bottom 25%
☹	Our performance is average compared with other Authorities

FOOTNOTES

- * There is a problem with the dataset, which was created in around 2000 by English Heritage and has not been up-dated since then. We await an indication from EH staff as to whether they propose to update it in a piecemeal way and supply us with the data.
- ** Peak Park Conservation Volunteer data only. Breakdown for 'under represented' groups not available for other providers of volunteer days.
- *** PU3 does not take into account persons who belong to multiple groups, for example a person who has both a disability and is aged between 16 and 24. This leads to double counting and possibly even triple counting. The family definition of PU3 does not allow for different sample sizes from each service; it is difficult to ensure an exact sample size due to resource implications. The issue can be rectified through statistical means. We are informed that the Joint Improvement Group will be further discussing PU3.
- **** Outturns amended because we now include more sources of emissions (equipment fuel use and member travel for example), and data has been backdated.