

16. PLANNING APPEALS (A.1536/AMC)

1. APPEALS LODGED

The following appeals have been lodged during this month.

<u>Reference</u>	<u>Details</u>	<u>Method of Appeal</u>	<u>Committee/ Delegated</u>
NP/DDD/0214/0131 3004872	Construction of saw shed for two stone cutting wires saws, crane and water recycling system (part retrospective) at Dale View Quarry, Lees Road, Stanton-in-Peak, Derbyshire	Informal Hearing	Committee

2. APPEALS WITHDRAWN

There have been no appeals withdrawn during this month.

3. APPEALS DECIDED

The following appeals have been decided during this month.

<u>Reference</u>	<u>Details</u>	<u>Method of Appeal</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Committee/ Delegated</u>
NP/DDD/1014/1051 2229724	Ground floor and first floor extension at The Sycamores, Main Street, Winster	Householder appeal	Dismissed	Delegated

It was considered that the main issue was the effect of the proposed development on the character and appearance of the host property and the surrounding area. The Inspector concluded that this appeal scheme should fail because the proposed development would adversely harm the character and appearance of the host property and the surrounding area and, as such, it would conflict with CS Policy GSP3, LP Policies LC4, LC8 and LH4, the Design Guide and the Framework.

NP/HPK/0214/0204 2219873	Demolition of 2 storey side extension and erection of 2 storey side extension. Replacement of porch on south elevation. Change of Use of barn to domestic accommodation to east of property at Glebe Farm, Wormhill, SK17 8SL	Written Representations	Dismissed	Delegated
-----------------------------	--	-------------------------	-----------	-----------

It was considered that although the proposed development would not cause unacceptable harm to the living conditions of the occupiers of the neighbouring property, by reason of overlooking or loss of privacy, it would fail to preserve the character and appearance of the Wormhill and Hargatewall Conservation Area, and as such, it conflicted with CS Policies GSP1, GSP3 and L3 and LP Policies LC4, LC5, LC8 and LH4 and the Design Guidance, so therefore the Inspector concluded that the Appeal should fail.

4. RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received.