



PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY
Climate Change Member Task Group
Tuesday 26th January 2021
Webex videoconference

Attended by:

Members

Janet Haddock-Fraser (JH-F), Chris Furness (CFu), David Chapman (DC), Charlotte Farrell (CFa), Lydia Slack (LS), Ken Smith (KS), Andrew McCloy (AM)

Officers

Matt Mardling (MM), Emily Fox (EF)

Agenda item		Action
1.	<u>Apologies for absence</u> David Hickman (DH)	
2.	<u>Minutes of the last meeting and matters arising</u> Minutes agreed all actions completed	
3.	<u>The future purpose and direction of the task group</u> Andrew McCloy (AM) summary of introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none">• National Parks should be exemplars of best practice in combating climate change.• We need better data and a base line trajectory to compare our progress against.• Addressing climate change requires collective action, which goes beyond the Authority, including through a strengthened National Park Management Plan.• This group should take a strategic approach to the problems we face.	

<p>3</p>	<p><i>Continued...</i></p> <p><u>Summary of discussion themes</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• We need to be strategic and consider scope 3 emissions.• We need to work beyond the limits of the Authority to reach net zero as a National Park area.• We should play an advocacy role and use our external networks in Districts and communities; meeting up to support and share ideas with similar climate groups from councils, organisations and community groups.• Communication and climate change messaging is an issue, which needs addressing in all of its forms. Including internal, partner, community, visitor and stakeholders.• The National Park England climate plan should be our blue print for action.• Look for a more consistent approach to benchmarking between National Parks. <p>JHF – Summing up, we need a steering group, it is not a task and finish group. We need to understand scope three to understand the park as a totality.</p> <p>The National Park England climate plan should be a blue print for what we are doing in the park. We can bring skills to bear in partnership with officers. We need an outward face to other similar groups in the National Park. We need to take the budget forward to implement the consultancy.</p>	<p>EF/MM</p>
-----------------	---	--------------

<p>4</p>	<p>An update and discussion on member participation by theme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Agriculture, forestry, Landscape – Lydia and Ken• Moorland – David and Ken• Cement works – Chris• Transport – Charlotte and Janet <p>KS - BBC internet news highlights a report on global change biology with a ten point advisory plan for tree planting. Maybe helpful to have a look at. It confirms our previous discussions in this group.</p> <p>DC – December’s Moorlife programme should have been received by now. Work is carrying on. Some issues with Covid but sphagnum is still going in.</p> <p>AM - Regarding the DDDC Climate Officer will it be an external and internal facing post and do we expect to have a relationship with them?</p> <p>DC - Yes the remit includes external liaison and looking at funding sources plus putting the consultants’ proposals into practice.</p> <p>CFa - Nothing to report.</p> <p>DC - The recent Europarcs webinar regarding peat was mostly about carbon credits but still interesting and worth watching.</p> <p>JHF - Rural Community Environment Fund is interesting.</p> <p>MM - Yes and some funds are still available for groups who may be interested. The Belper community car-charging example is relevant to National Park communities and also the Cromford Mill water wheel.</p> <p>AM - Yes the Great North Bog funding bid has been met very favourably. So cautiously optimistic. I am keen to see more for community based work.</p> <p>CFu - Cement works is still the elephant in the room. Any changes will be incredibly expensive and needs to link with other works. The next meeting is March 6th.</p>	
----------	---	--

	<p><i>Continued...</i></p> <p>LS - With ELMS it appears that funding is going to be available via the National Park but the timing is very short as they want to start in April this year. So we need to be very careful because if it does not go well it will reflect badly on us. Also I attended a workshop on the Manchester nature recovery networks so it will be interesting to see how it comes together.</p> <p>MM - Officers are meeting in Feb regarding COP26. This follows a cancelled call for National Park engagement before Christmas. But to be aware that last time it was quite short notice for a response.</p> <p>AM - Would like to underline the importance of this group. Let's find out how we are going to get to 2050.</p>	
5	<p><u>AOB</u></p> <p>CFa - I am coordinating a Derbyshire wide campaign for 'twenty's plenty' so please get involved.</p> <p>DC - The moorland restoration is largely within High Peak would it help Charlotte's group to have a presentation from MFTF.</p> <p>CFa - It is other areas than moorland that we need progress with.</p> <p>JHF - I need to meet up next week with EF/MM to discuss how we take this forward.</p>	JHF

Summary of Actions

EF/MM - Implement the Small World benchmarking consultancy

JHF - To meet up with EF/MM to discuss how we take this discussion forward

Future Meetings:

TBA late March to mid-April

Distribution:

***All invitees
Andrew McCloy
James Berresford
Senior Leadership Team***