Medium       1. Four Principal financial risks within the Moorlife 2020       2. Area of NP find safes         High       1. Four Principal financial risks within the Moorlife 2020       2. Area of NP find safes         High       2. Area of NP find safes       ancentainty and Country for the total project budget; the contractual treatment of partner contributions; and the possibility of expenditure being found incligible       incentainty and Country feading to the potential babitats         High       3. Failure to achieve sustainable gross revenue income targets (£140k) for the PDNP       4. Failure to develop nature recovery networks in the Peak District National Park         8. Fast changing Government priorities impact our ability to resource and deliver our Corporate Strategy and react to opportunities, in particular uncertainty over future national park grant and implications of Government focus on investment in the North       3. Failure to adequately protect and prepare for Cyber Security threats         9. Impact of the coronavirus pandemic on delivery of planned Corporate Strategy and how we maintain the #PeakDistrictProud message of Care, respect and enjoy' for all audiences within and outside the National Park both during lockdown and as we come out of it         Low       Medium
Medium       Failure of a poorly         Medium       0. Failure of a poorly         Medium       0. Failure of a poorly         0. Failure to achieve sustainable gross revenue income targets (£140k) for the PDNP         1. Failure to achieve sustainable gross revenue income targets (£140k) for the PDNP         2. Failure to develop nature recovery networks in the Peak District National Park         8. Fast changing Government priorities impact our ability to resource and deliver our Corporate Strategy and react to opportunities, in particular uncertainty over future national park grant and implications of Government priorities income targets (£140k)         1. Failure to adequately protect and prepare for Cyber Security threats         2. Failure to adequately protect and prepare for Cyber Security threats         3. Failure to adequately protect and prepare for Cyber Security threats         9. Impact of the coronavirus pandemic on delivery of planed Corporate Strategy on demaintain the #PeakDistrictProud message of 'care, respect and enjoy' for all audiences within and outside the National Park both during lockdown and as we come out of it
Medium         European funded project: exchange rate movements; the sterling ceiling set for the total project budget; the uncertainty and Countrie possibility of expenditure being found ineligible         management schemes is uncertainty and Countrie eading to the potential nabitats           High         3. Failure to achieve sustainable gross revenue income targets (£140k) for the PDNP         a. Failure to develop nature recovery networks in the Peak District National Park         a. Failure to develop nature recovery networks in the Peak District National Park           S. Failure to develop nature recovery networks in the Peak District National Park         b. Failure to develop nature recovery networks in the Peak District National Park           Nedium         9. Impact of the coronavirus pandemic on delivery of planned Corporate Strategy outcomes, the Authority's financial position, staff wellbeing and how we maintain the #PeakDistrictProvide measures of care, respect and enjoy for all audiences within and outside the National Park both during lockdown and as we come out of it
High       European funded project: exchange rate movements; the sterling ceiling set for the total project budget; the contractual treatment of partner contributions; and the possibility of expenditure being found ineligible [reworded since start of the year]       management schemes runcertainty and Country leading to the potential habitats         High       3. Failure to achieve sustainable gross revenue income targets (£140k) for the PDNP       habitats         4. Failure to develop nature recovery networks in the Peak District National Park       8. Fast changing Government priorities impact our ability to resource and deliver our Corporate Strategy and react

guarded in environmental land reduces due to Brexit ryside Stewardship issues I loss of a range of grassland

istainable gross revenue ) for the PDNP

High



Impact

Outcome	Risk Description	Existing controls	Risk rating before	Mitigating action	Risk actio	rating	with I	nitig	ating		Timeframe of mitigating	Lead officer	How monitor/ indicator	C
			mitigation L			(Greer	n, Am	ber o	r Red		actions	onneer	malcator	
			xI			Start	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4				
A sustainable	1. Four Principal financial risks within	Capping	High x High	Consider							Periodic	JW (Chief	Chief Finance	A
landscape that	the Moorlife 2020 European funded	Sterling		hedging	Impact	-	ج	ج ا		<u> </u>	assessment	Finance	Officer	i i
is conserved	project: exchange rate movements;	budget.	RED	transaction.	du	High	High	High		High		Officer)		b
and enhanced	the sterling ceiling set for the total				<u> </u>								Budget	ď
	project budget; the contractual								-				monitoring	s
Financial risk,	treatment of partner contributions;				Likelihood	_	Ξ	Ξ		Ξ			group	V
Outcome	and the possibility of expenditure				liho	High	Medium	Medium		Medium				v
/delivery risk	being found ineligible				ike	_ <b>T</b>	Σ	Σ		Ξ			Programme	r
													and Resources	t
							~	~		~			Committee or	n
					ing	<u> </u>	E E	E E		l H			Authority	s
					Rating	RED	AMBER	AMBER		AMBER				
					1		∣◄	∣◄		∣◄				

#### **Risk Rating Legend**

ER (closely nonitor)	AMBER (manage and monitor)	RED (significant focus and attention)
EN (accept monitor)	AMBER (management effort worthwhile)	AMBER (manage and monitor)
EN (accept)	GREEN (accept/review periodically)	GREEN (accept but monitor)
Low	Med	High

#### Likelihood

#### Quarterly update

A comprehensive position statement, which includes details of individual projects currently being delivered and those that are in development, has been produced in Q4. This statement seeks to place a financial value on a variety of risk factors that have been identified, which will inform the adequacy of the specific reserve that has been created. It is envisaged that this statement will prove useful in monitoring project and programme risks and supporting future decision making.

Outcome	Risk Description	Existing controls	Risk rating before mitigation L	Mitigating action	actio	rating on (Greer		-	-		Timeframe of mitigating actions	Lead officer	How monitor/ indicator	Quarterly update
			xl			Start	ř.		í	1				
A sustainable	2. Area of NP land safeguarded in	National influencing for	High x High	Increase							On going	SLF (Head of	Quarterly	Agriculture Act 2020 was
landscape that	environmental land management	post Brexit agri/		promotion of the	4							Landscape)	updates on	passed in November 2020
is conserved	schemes reduces due to Brexit	environmental policies	RED	service, working	ac	High	High	High		High			progress	and introduces the
and enhanced	uncertainty and Countryside	and support systems.		with agencies e.g.	Impact	王	Ξ	Ξ		Ξ				concept of environmental
	Stewardship issues leading to the			NFU, CLA, NE, EA,	-									land management
Outcome/	potential loss of a range of	Local communications		FC										schemes. However, the
delivery risk	grassland habitats	across the farming & land							1					detail of how it will work
		management industry.		Public payment	-									has yet to be established
				for public goods/	poo		ے ا	ے ا		ے ا				and will require further
		NPMP work.		benefits	Likelih	High	High	High		High				regulation.
				laft	Ľ									
		National pilot of ELMS		Influencing role										Until ELMS is up and
		universal scheme will		through PDNPA										running, there will be no
		start in 2021 and run for		links and NPE's										change to the risk rating.
		3 years. National rollout		Future of Farming	ള									These is a continuing side
		of ELMS in 2024.			Rating	RED	RED	RED		RED				There is a continuing risk
					l a	-	_	_		-				about accessing the data
														required in time for our
														Quarter 4 report.

Outcome	Risk Description	Existing controls	Risk rating before mitigation L	Mitigating action	acti	rating on (Greer		•	•		Timeframe of mitigating actions	Lead officer	How monitor/ indicator	Quarterly update
			xI			Start								
A National Park loved and supported by diverse audiences	3. Failure to achieve sustainable gross revenue income targets (£260k) for the PDNP (commercial income and fundraising via he Foundation)		High x Medium AMBER	Revamped online shop and new products. Resumption of Covid suspended trading	Impact	High	High	High		High	Q1 – spend per head increase however lower footfall due to Covid restrictions.	SW (Head of Engagement)	Trading and fundraising income levels.	Corporate strategy target revised downwards to a more realistic number and approved by Members in October 2020.
Financial risk, Outcome /delivery risk		Authority- approved budget. Peak District National Park Foundation.		activities at earliest opportunity. Development and promotion of Foundation fundraising plan and	Likelihood	High	High	High		Medium	Q3 – enhance trading offer and visitor engagement. Q3 & Q4– fundraising workshop – sharpening our focus and increasing our			Visitor services have worked hard and successfully to mitigate Covid losses. Commercial strategy
				project pipeline. Bakewell and Derwent Visitor Centre refit and upgrades.	Rating	RED	RED	RED		AMBER	impact. Shared fundraising strategy agreed with project pipeline.			<ul> <li>written, consulted and presented to Members for approval (19 March).</li> <li>Business case prepared for extending car park charging.</li> <li>Foundation developed and approved high net worth and sponsorship plans.</li> </ul>
														c£40k donations into Foundation. Members, staff and volunteers to promote more widely.

Outcome	Risk Description	Existing controls	Risk rating before mitigation L	Mitigating action	actio	rating on ( Greei		-			Timeframe of mitigating actions	Lead officer	How monitor/ indicator	Quarterly update
			xl			Start	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4				
A sustainable landscape that is conserved and enhanced	4. Failure to develop nature recovery networks in the Peak District	Dark Peak focus on birds of prey. Part of the Birds of Prey initiative.	High x High RED	White Peak pilot engaging with farmers and land managers to address biodiversity loss in the farmed landscape.	Impact	High	High	High		High	Ongoing	SLF (Head of Landscape)	Breeding birds survey Birds of Prey initiative meetings	Working with other national parks through National Parks England to promote the use of nature recovery networks and nature recovery strategies to
Outcome/ delivery risk	National Park	Breeding birds surveys. Engagement with moorland owners.		Promoting the White Peak Pilot as a test and trial for ELMS. Encouraging creation of new native woodlands with	Likelihood	Medium	Medium	Medium		Medium			and conference calls Ongoing monitoring of SWP and WP projects	protect and enhance biodiversity in national parks. The report on the White Peak test of the ELMS scheme was published in December 2020
		Engagement with Police and Crime Commissioner. Glorious Grasslands project as part of SWP Partnership.		species not vulnerable to diseases like ash die-back.	Rating	AMBER	AMBER	AMBER		AMBER				and uploaded to our website. We have agreed a methodology with the consultants for reviewing the data collected for the breeding birds survey. The 2020 Birds of Prey
														initiative report has been finalised and published this quarter.

Outcome	Risk Description	Existing controls	Risk rating before mitigation L	Mitigating action	actio	rating on ( Greei				1	Timeframe of mitigating actions	Lead officer	How monitor/ indicator	Quarterly update
			xI			Start	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4				
A National Park loved and supported by diverse	5. Failure to deliver the audience engagement plan targets and	Audience engagement plan (strategic direction approved by P&R	Medium x Medium AMBER	Develop a funded engagement delivery plan aligned with the Glover Review recommendations and building	Impact	High	Medium	Medium		Medium	Ongoing Delivery plan to P&R	SW (Head of Engagement)	Through corporate strategy KPI reporting	Funded engagement delivery programme paused to align with corporate savings
audiences Outcome/ delivery risk	outcomes	July 2020). 6 monthly NFP Synergy survey (November and		on baseline evidence. Share and influence with partners to build collaboration and joint funding	Likelihood	High	Medium	Medium		Medium	Committee December 2020		mechanism	plans. Successful green recovery bid (c£3m) led by YHA for young
		March) to monitor and track progress.		opportunities. Amplify contact with supporters, audiences and clients via digital channels.	Rating	RED	AMBER	AMBER		AMBER				people. Post Covid lockdown / 70th anniversary / #peakdistrictproud / Foundation project delivery continue to amplify and target contact with diverse audiences.

Outcome	Risk Description	Existing controls	Risk rating before	Mitigating action	Risk actio	rating on	with r	nitiga	ting		Timeframe of mitigating actions	Lead officer	How monitor/ indicator	Quarterly update
			mitigation L		LxI	(Greer	<u> </u>							
			хI			Start	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4				
A National Park	6. Failure of a	Strategy and	Medium x	Complete							Work to be	ES (Head of Asset	Active	High priority work on the Trail
loved and	poorly maintained	inspection contract of	Low	work as per	ಕ						completed	Management)	management of	tunnel structures has
supported by	trails structure e.g.	the trails structures in		the strategy	Impact	Ň	NO.	Low		Low	throughout the		implementation	commenced.
diverse	bridge, tunnel	place since 2015.	AMBER		<u> </u> ∣			_			year.			
audiences		Requires renewal in												Inspection contract tender
		2020.			-						Implementation			documentation awarded.
Outcome					poo						ongoing.			
/delivery risk,		Strategy for high and			Likelih	∧ o	Low	Low		Low				
Financial risk,		medium priority			ike						Retender for			
Reputation risk		remedial works to									inspection contract			
		trails structures as per				_	_	_		_	in Q2.			
		the report.			ing									
					Rating	GREEN	GREEN	GREEN		GREEN				
						6	0	0		0				

Outcome	Risk Description	Existing controls	Risk rating before	action of						Timeframe of	Lead officer	How monitor/ indicator	Quarterly update	
			mitigation		LxI	(Greer	n, Aml	per or	Red)		mitigating			
			LxI			Start	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	actions			
An agile and	7. Failure to	Client and Server access	High x	Network Access Control							See Service	EF (Head of	Regularly reviewed	The Authority has recently
efficient	adequately	controls; anti-virus; anti-spam;	Medium	(NAC); further user	ಕ	Ę	ξ	Ę		Ę	Risk	Information and	through SLT	engaged the services of a
organisation	protect and	user access controls; locked		training and scenario	Impact	Medium	Medium	Medium		Medium	Register.	Performance	monitoring and	specialist ICT security
	prepare for	down devices; storage	Amber	testing; intra-service	1	Β	Ξ	Me		Ĕ		Management)	quarterly	company. In Q4, the
Outcome/	Cyber Security	encryption; active managed		firewall reviews;									performance	company conducted a test to
delivery risk,	threats	firewalls; Mobile device		Removable device									management.	determine the level of cyber-
Reputation		management; email and web		controls; IT 'run books	σ	_	_	_		_				security awareness across
risk		filtering and monitoring; user		development;	Likelihood	Medium	Medium	Medium		Medium			The risk area is	the Authority. The results of
		awareness training;		investigation of external	elit	edi	edi	edi		edi			assessed by the	this exercise have been
		comprehensive backup and		support for incident	Lik	Σ	Σ	Σ		Σ			Authority's Internal	reviewed and a series of ICT
		disaster recovery provisions;		management and									Auditors when	security training courses
		penetration testing and		response; Security					ŀ				developing the	have recently been launched
		vulnerability scanning.		assessment reviews; skills	ng	mber	) er	ber		Der			annual programme	with the aim of safeguarding
				training.	Rating	Ę	Amber	Amber		Amber			of audit work to be	the Authority's systems and
							∣◄	A		٩			undertaken.	data.

Outcome	Risk Description	Existing controls	Risk rating before mitigation L	Mitigating action	actio	rating on ( Greei		_	_		Timeframe of mitigating	Lead officer	How monitor/ indicator	Quarterly update
			xI			Start	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	actions			
All outcome Outcome /delivery risk, Financial risk, Reputation	8. Fast changing Government priorities impact our ability to resource and deliver our Corporate Strategy and react to opportunities, in particular uncertainty over future	Working collectively with other English NPs on progressing the NPE road map in response to the	Medium x High	10 English NPAs have agreed the collective focus for our road map as: national parks to be leading nature recovery; shaping the future of farming; being national parks for everyone; and being leaders in	Impact	High	High	High		High	Ongoing	SF (Chief Exec)	Budget report for national park grant. Success of	A balanced budget for 2021/22 has been approved by Members, achieved through delivery of a strategic savings review, including implementation of
risk	national park grant and implications of Government focus on investment in the North	Landscapes Review report.		tackling the climate change emergency. As well as collectively engaging with Defra to secure certainty on future national park grant.	Likelihood	Medium	Medium	High		Medium			the roadmap in gaining traction with Defra.	a management restructure from January 2021. The Corporate Strategy was updated in November 2021 to align with resources.
				Working with LEPs and devolved administrations of our constituent authorities to help shape future government investment towards green growth.	Rating	AMBER	AMBER	RED		AMBER				

Outcome	Risk Description	Existing controls	Risk rating before	Mitigating action	Risk actio	rating	with	nitiga	ting		Timeframe of mitigating	Lead officer	How monitor/ indicator	Quarterly update
		controis	mitigation L			( Gree	n. Am	ber or	Red)		actions	onicer	mulcator	
			xI		- ~ .	Start	Ť.	1	Q3	1				
All outcome Outcome /delivery risk, Financial risk, Reputation risk	9. Impact of the coronavirus pandemic on delivery of planned Corporate Strategy outcomes, the Authority's financial position, staff wellbeing and how we maintain the #PeakDistrictProud message of 'care, respect and enjoy' for all audiences within and outside the National Park both during lockdown and as we	Government legislation and guidance. Working with partners via Local resilience forums.	High x High	Seeking to reduce costs. Use of the Governments support schemes (such as the Job Retention	Impact	High	High	High		Medium	Recovery plan developed and implemented in Q1. Monthly financial monitoring of	SF (Chief Exec)	SLT, RMM, quarterly performance monitoring NP CEOs Financial	Developed a good rhythm of planning and decision making, which can be, and has been, scaled up and down as the government guidance changes. Strong partnership working in place with the aim to maintain the gain in new visitors whilst
	come out of it	Monitoring impact on our people. Monitoring impact on our finances.		Scheme). Outturn – support from reserves from 19/20 resources. Good recovery planning in line	Likelihood	High	High	High		Medium	income shortfalls in 2020-21. Mid-year review. As yet unknown government claim timescales.		information (monthly) Chairs and vice chairs meeting Monitoring of impact on 2020/21	mitigating the impacts. Reserves have been managed well to support the impacts. Reduced productivity in staff with caring and home schooling requirement, yet we have still maintained a good level of
				with government guidance. Working closely with local partners and nationally.	Rating	RED	RED	RED		AMBER				service through a package of resilience and support to staff. Cross-Park working group set up sharing good practice and common messages on easing of lock down.