

# Peak District National Park Local Development Framework

Core Strategy Development Plan Document - Adopted October 2011





## Foreword

### By the Chair of the National Park Authority

I am pleased to introduce you to this plan, which is the first document to be produced in the emerging Peak District National Park Local Development Framework. This plan is called the Core Strategy and replaces the strategic policies set out in successive former Structure Plans. It is important for a document such as this to be forward looking, with policies to help us respond positively to the constant changes in society, to the economy and fundamentally to this protected landscape. Also we should ensure that we do not lose sight of the original purpose of National Parks and the need for open space, contact with nature and an appreciation of our heritage, because these remain vital elements of a modern society.

An important consideration is the concept of spatial planning at the heart of shaping the future of our environment, and the connection that land-use plans must have to the strategies and priorities prepared by other service providers. Local priorities are set out in the Sustainable Community Strategies of the constituent authorities, sharing the area of the National Park. These priorities have been reconciled first through our National Park Management Plan and now the Local Development Framework Core Strategy provides the spatial planning dimension. We are keen to develop a close relationship between these headline strategy documents to ensure that people living in the National Park or close by have their legitimate needs met at the same time that we are achieving the purposes for which the National Park was designated.

There are some important principles that remain from previous plans, but also some new opportunities to help us manage and protect our National Park. I would wish to emphasise the National Park Authority's commitments:

- As a spatial planning authority, we will control and manage development so as to conserve and enhance the valued characteristics of the National Park, now and for future generations.
- We will strengthen our commitment to create sustainable communities, helping us to care for the natural beauty and environment that is the National Park whilst responding to the new challenges of climate change, constraints on natural resources, and rising energy costs. There is an increased focus on sustainable building, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, the prudent use of scarce natural resources, reducing the need for energy and greater energy efficiency.
- We are committed to the promotion of excellence in design in order to conserve and enhance the natural and built environment, to inspire and raise standards, and to promote opportunities for new and sustainable approaches.
- We are committed to conserving and enhancing the diverse landscapes of the National Park through new knowledge acquired from the Landscape Character Assessment. We will also continue to resist development in the Natural Zone.
- We will continue to support the National Park's communities, by developing our innovative approaches for providing locally-needed affordable homes, and by seeking to retain shops, services and community facilities which are vital to them.
- We will continue to encourage small-scale business development, and help farmers and land managers to look after our landscapes. We also recognise the important contribution of tourism and recreation to the local economy.
- The pressure for more roads becomes ever more demanding, but our determination to encourage sustainable forms of transport remains undiminished. Our policies reflect this.

- We remain committed to seeking a progressive reduction in the extraction of minerals within the National Park whilst balancing the relevant economic, social, community and environmental considerations that apply.

We hope that the principles set out in this plan will continue to provide a strong framework for decision making into the future with the added flexibility that the Local Development Framework offers to review policies and find effective solutions for the long term management and care for this living landscape. We will also continue to foster the positive joint working that has emerged through this process to create a sound evidence base, and forge effective partnerships for delivery.

Tony Favell, Chair of the National Park Authority  
October 2011

## **Table of Contents**

	<b>Page</b>
1. Schedule of policies	5
2. How to use this plan	9
3. Introduction to the Core Strategy	11
4. Spatial portrait for the Peak District National Park	19
5. Key diagram	29
6. Introduction to core policies	31
7. General spatial policies	33
8. Spatial vision and outcomes	41
9. Landscapes and conservation	55
10. Recreation and tourism	67
11. Climate change and sustainable building	75
12. Homes, shops and community facilities	89
13. Supporting economic development	101
14. Minerals	109
15. Accessibility, travel and traffic	123
Appendix 1: Implementation and monitoring framework	139
Appendix 2: Schedule of policies	173
Appendix 3: Settlement matrix	177
Appendix 4: Glossary and abbreviations	187
Figure 1: Constituent and neighbouring Local Authority areas and the regions	16
Figure 2: Spatial portrait	27
Figure 3: Key diagram	29
Figure 4: National Park spatial objectives	44
Figure 5: Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes spatial objectives	45
Figure 6: White Peak and Derwent Valley spatial objectives	46
Figure 7: South West Peak spatial objectives	47
Figure 8: Parishes within the Peak District National Park	52
Figure 9: Mineral Safeguarding Areas	120



# 1. Schedule of policies







## 1. Schedule of policies

GSP1: Securing national park purposes and sustainable development

GSP2: Enhancing the National Park

GSP3: Development management principles

GSP4: Planning conditions and legal agreements

DS1: Development strategy

L1: Landscape character and valued characteristics

L2: Sites of biodiversity or geo-diversity importance

L3: Cultural heritage assets of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic significance

RT1: Recreation, environmental education and interpretation

RT2: Hotels, bed and breakfast and self-catering accommodation

RT3: Caravans and camping

CC1: Climate change mitigation and adaption

CC2: Low carbon and renewable energy development

CC3: Waste management

CC4: On-farm anaerobic digestion of agricultural manure and slurry

CC5: Flood risk and water conservation

HC1: New housing

HC2: Housing for key workers in agriculture, forestry or other rural enterprises

HC3: Sites for gypsies, travellers or travelling showpeople

HC4: Provision and retention of community services and facilities

HC5: Shops, professional services and related activities

E1: Business development in towns and villages

E2: Businesses in the countryside

MIN1: Minerals development

MIN2: Fluorspar proposals

MIN3: Local small-scale building and roofing stone

MIN4: Mineral safeguarding

T1: Reducing the general need to travel and encouraging sustainable transport

T2: Reducing and directing traffic

T3: Design of transport infrastructure

T4: Managing the demand for freight transport

T5: Managing the demand for rail, and reuse of former railway routes

T6: Routes for walking, cycling and horse riding, and waterways

T7: Minimising the adverse impact of motor vehicles and managing the demand for car and coach parks



## 2. How to use this plan



## **2. How to use this plan**

### **Considering the whole plan**

- 2.1 In order to gain the best understanding of this spatial strategy it is essential to consider that it is an interrelated plan to be read as a whole.

### **Approach to cross-referencing**

- 2.2 For practical purposes cross-references are kept to a minimum and only made where it is particularly important to clarify the relationship between areas of policy.
- 2.3 Other policy linkages are generally not identified because it is impossible to pre-empt all potential combinations of policy that might apply to a particular type of development. In addition, detailed cross-references may be misleading and would lead to a longer, less readable document.

### **Spatial outcomes**

- 2.4 This plan must be clear how policies will help achieve the vision and desired outcomes of National Park management as outlined in the National Park Management Plan (NPMP) 2006 - 2011<sup>1</sup>. A spatial planning interpretation of these is set out in chapter 8: Spatial vision and outcomes. Each subsequent chapter then describes how policies will help achieve these agreed outcomes.

### **Different styles of spatial policy**

- 2.5 Spatial policies have a wider scope than traditional matters of development management and can be used to guide activities, actions, and measures. In the National Park, spatial policies are a key mechanism for achieving a sustainable outcome whilst achieving the statutory purposes of national park designation. They are used in a variety of ways:
- Defining the spatial strategy (e.g. DS1: The development strategy)
  - Managing development (e.g. E1: Business development in towns and villages)
  - Influencing actions, investment and programmes of statutory bodies (e.g. T1 Reducing the general need to travel and encouraging sustainable transport)
- 2.6 Policies are written as a single statement or as a set of lettered principles. In a limited number of cases, criteria are also used where these are considered particularly important to support the delivery of the Core Strategy outcomes in advance of the Development Management Policies Development Plan Document (DPD).

### **Applying Development Plan policies in sequence**

- 2.7 The Development Plan for the National Park comprises the Peak District National Park Local Development Framework and the saved policies of the Peak District National Park Local Plan 2001 (Appendix 2: page 192). Policies need to be read in sequence. The Local Plan will subsequently be replaced by a Development Management Policies DPD with a related Proposals Map, and a series of more detailed Supplementary Planning Documents.

---

<sup>1</sup> PDNPA (2006) 2006-2011 National Park Management Plan

### 3. Introduction to the Core Strategy



### 3. Introduction to the Core Strategy

---

- 3.1 The Peak District National Park is an asset of national, regional and local importance and plays a special role in the centre of England. The area contains 1,438 sq km (555 square miles) of contrasting landscapes valued by millions of people, and providing spectacular natural beauty, an extensive range of biodiversity, and many villages, sites and features of rich cultural interest. It is a diverse landscape that provides inspiration and enjoyment to residents and visitors alike.
- 3.2 The National Park's central location makes it extremely accessible and therefore very popular with visitors. People visit from a wide catchment area, seeking either the dramatic rugged upland character of the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes, the attractive limestone villages and dales of the White Peak and Derwent Valley, or the diverse tapestry of landscapes that form the South West Peak.
- 3.3 However, it is also home to around 38,000 people. The spatial strategy must therefore address the eligible local need for affordable housing, provide opportunities for diversification of the rural economy, and support and maintain a viable range of local service centres.
- 3.4 The National Park Authority has worked with partners to establish the values and challenges for the National Park and to set the desired spatial outcomes for the plan period up to 2026.
- 3.5 This Core Strategy sets out policy principles to achieve the statutory purposes as defined in the Environment Act 1995<sup>2</sup>: primary legislation underpinning national park designation. In pursuing these purposes the Authority and its partners must seek to foster the social and economic well-being of the area's resident and business communities. The strategy directs development to the most sustainable locations, and in doing so helps to conserve and enhance the valued characteristics<sup>3</sup> that define the National Park.
- 3.6 The plan contains a spatial strategy, alongside policies to achieve the vision and desired outcomes. The policy principles will enable the Authority to manage new and growing development pressures associated with climate change and road traffic, and give the clarity needed to manage traditional industries such as farming and mineral extraction.

#### Vision and context

- 3.7 This Core Strategy is the principal document of the Local Development Framework (LDF), and provides the spatial planning expression of the National Park Management Plan (NPMP) 2006-2011 and its successors. The NPMP established a vision which the Core Strategy builds upon in the spatial vision and outcomes at Chapter 8. At the time of adoption of the Core Strategy the NPMP is being reviewed, taking account of the new influences on the overall vision. Further reviews will take place during the life of the Core Strategy. The revised Management Plan vision should be read in conjunction with this Core Strategy. The National Park Authority is confident that an enduring relationship between the LDF and the NPMP (and its successors) is a sound approach to maintaining a relevant spatial vision and strategy.
- 3.8 The spatial outcomes reflect national park purposes and duty and the National Park Management Plan (NPMP) 2006 – 2011 outcomes<sup>4</sup>. The NPMP outcomes themselves

---

<sup>2</sup> National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act (1949) Section 5 as amended by Section 61 of the 1995 Environment Act

<sup>3</sup> As listed in paragraph 9.15 page 71

<sup>4</sup> PNPNA (2006) 2006 – 2011 National Park Management Plan

reflect local priorities set out in Sustainable Community Strategies, so by aligning with these important documents, this Core Strategy will help deliver common priorities across the area.

- 3.9 The Core Strategy also carries forward the principle of partnership working and explains the role of partners and the mechanism needed to achieve the vision. It is the principal document to guide land use and development in the National Park. It will be used to guide development management decisions and provide important principles, influencing and shaping solutions most appropriate to this special area. Sometimes this will involve 'win-win' solutions that provide services and address needs in ways that involve no development at all.
- 3.10 The challenge and duty on all the bodies charged with managing the National Park is to do it in a way that conserves and enhances the very essence of its character, in order to pass it on in a healthy state, valued by future generations.
- 3.11 This Core Strategy will be supported by the following statutory Development Plan Documents (DPD) and Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD):
- Development Management Policies DPD;
  - Proposals Map covering the whole National Park, plus detailed inset maps of villages;
  - Design Guide SPD (already produced);
  - Technical Design Supplements SPD;
  - Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPD; and
  - Replacements for existing supplementary planning guidance covering the eligible local need for affordable housing and the design of farm buildings.
- 3.12 These documents in combination will make up the Peak District LDF and when adopted will become the statutory Development Plan for the National Park<sup>5</sup>.
- 3.13 Other strategies and plans produced by the National Park Authority and its partners are material considerations to be referred to alongside the documents above. They include:
- Sustainable Community Strategies
  - The Peak District Landscape Strategy;
  - Conservation Area Appraisals;
  - The Peak District Biodiversity Action Plan; and
  - The Peak District Recreation Strategy;

### **The spatial planning context**

- 3.14 The Core Strategy has to provide certainty for longer term planning and investment decisions. It must also be in line with national policy (taking into account the European context), and have regard to Sustainable Community Strategies prepared for the area.

### **International context**

- 3.15 The National Park has International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources Category 5 Protected Area status. Over recent years the National Park Authority has also been awarded the Council of Europe Diploma, in recognition of the efforts made to maintain the ecological values of the National Park and to ensure its sustainable management.
- 3.16 Legal protection prevents activities which may damage important landscapes. Some of the sites, known as Special Protection Areas (SPAs) for birds and Special Areas of

---

<sup>5</sup> See paragraph 3.38 for reference to the East Midlands Regional Plan.

Conservation (SACs), are of European importance. They have been created under the EC Birds Directive and Habitats Directive. In the UK they form part of a larger European network called Natura 2000.

- 3.17 The European Landscape Convention (ELC)<sup>6</sup> came into force in the UK in March 2007. It establishes the need to recognise landscape in law; to develop landscape policies dedicated to the protection, management and planning of landscapes; and to establish procedures for the participation of the general public and other stakeholders in the creation and implementation of landscape policies. It also encourages the integration of landscape into all relevant areas of policy, including cultural, economic and social policies. The completion of the National Park Authority Landscape Strategy satisfies this legal requirement and provides a distinctive spatial basis to the Core Strategy.

### **National context**

- 3.18 The 1995 Environment Act<sup>7</sup> establishes the statutory purposes of national park designation, as:

- (i) to conserve and enhance the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the national parks; and
- (ii) to promote opportunities for the understanding and enjoyment of the special qualities [of the parks] by the public.

- 3.19 Section 62 of the Act<sup>8</sup> also places a general duty on all relevant authorities, including the National Park Authorities, statutory undertakers and other public bodies, to have regard to these purposes. In pursuing these purposes, section 62 also places a duty on the National Park Authorities to seek to foster the economic and social well-being of their local communities.

- 3.20 Where there is an irreconcilable conflict between the statutory purposes, the Sandford Principle<sup>17</sup> will be applied and the conservation of the National Park will be given priority.

- 3.21 The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004<sup>9</sup> introduced the requirement to prepare a Local Development Framework. It aims to create a more flexible and inclusive system focussed on a new style of outcome-based planning.

- 3.22 Under the Sustainable Communities Act 2007<sup>10</sup>, local authorities are required to prepare a Sustainable Community Strategy, for promoting or improving the economic, social and environmental well-being of their area and contributing to the achievement of sustainable development. National park purposes represent an additional layer of protection over and above this duty. National Park Authorities are not required to prepare a Sustainable Community Strategy. However, legislation and guidance<sup>11</sup> require that unitary and district authorities must align and coordinate the Core Strategy of the LDF with their Sustainable Community Strategies.

- 3.23 This requirement also applies to the National Park Authority, and the complexity of the Peak District geography is such that ten Sustainable Community Strategies require consideration. In terms of population the most significant areas are the Derbyshire Dales, High Peak and Staffordshire Moorlands. These are highlighted on Figure 1 below.

---

<sup>6</sup> Council of Europe (2000) European Landscape Convention

<sup>7</sup> National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act (1949) Section 5 as amended by Section 61 of the 1995 Environment Act

<sup>8</sup> National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act (1949) Section 11A as inserted by Section 62 of the Environment Act 1995

<sup>9</sup> HMSO (2004) The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act

<sup>10</sup> DCLG (2007) Sustainable Communities Act

<sup>11</sup> OPSI (2008) Statutory Instrument No. 1371 The Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) (Amendment) Regulations, and DCLG (2008) PPS12: Local Spatial Planning



3.24 The Government has accepted that the National Park Management Plan is an appropriate document for the Authority in relation to the requirement set out in PPS12<sup>12</sup>. However, the constituent areas of the National Park are each vital to defining its distinctive make-up, so the National Park Authority has liaised with each Local Strategic Partnership to determine the key spatial implications for each area. The implications of each Sustainable Community Strategy and the Authority's response are mapped and included in the Implementation and Monitoring Framework (Appendix 1).

### **Sustainability Appraisal**

3.25 Under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, the Authority is required to undertake a Sustainability Appraisal (incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment) of all Development Plan Documents as they are prepared in order to ensure that the Local Development Framework contributes to the principles of sustainable development. Such assessments were undertaken at all key stages of consultation, helping to influence the selection of options and confirming preferred approaches for the plan. A final Sustainability Report and a statement showing how sustainability considerations have informed the production of the document are available alongside the LDF.

### **Habitats Regulations Assessment**

3.26 To meet the requirements of the Habitats Directive, an Appropriate Assessment under the Habitat Regulations Assessment<sup>13</sup> (HRA) has been carried out. The HRA report was published alongside the Submission document.

3.27 The Environment Act 1995<sup>14</sup> confirms that national parks have the highest status of protection in relation to landscape and scenic beauty. The conservation of the natural beauty of the landscape must therefore be given great weight in planning policies and development management decisions. The conservation of wildlife and the cultural heritage are also part of national park purposes and are also important. Away from larger urban areas, rural policy generally seeks to focus most new development in or near to local service centres where employment, housing (including affordable housing), services and other facilities can be provided close together. This aims to ensure that these facilities are served by public transport and can be more easily accessed by walking and cycling. These principles must be pursued whilst conserving the valued characteristics of the National Park.

### **Implementation and monitoring**

3.28 To be effective a Local Development Framework (LDF) Core Strategy needs to show how the vision, objectives and strategy for this area will be delivered, and that the partners who are essential to its delivery have been engaged to assess:

- how much development is intended to happen where;
- by whom, by what means and when it will be delivered, together with arrangements for managing this;
- how the infrastructure needed to support the strategy will be provided, and as far as possible the costs, source of funding, who is responsible and any impact on phasing of development; and
- monitoring and review of delivery and of the strategy itself.

---

<sup>12</sup> DCLG (2008) Planning Policy Statement 12: Creating strong safe and prosperous communities through Local Spatial Planning

<sup>13</sup> EEC (1992) The Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (Habitats Directive) (Directive 92/43/EEC)

<sup>14</sup> National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act (1949) Section 5 as amended by Section 61 of the 1995 Environment Act



Figure 1: Constituent and neighbouring Authority areas and the regions

- 3.29 Given the mismatch in the timescales between the 15-20 year Core Strategy and the shorter (3 to 5 year) planning and budgeting processes of various agencies involved, there are inevitable uncertainties surrounding delivery. The monitoring processes outlined at Appendix 1 of this plan are therefore essential to trigger contingencies as necessary to help achieve the investment required, ensure best use of assets in the area, and prompt possible changes to policies.
- 3.30 Appendix 1 describes the National Park context for partnership working on delivery, monitoring and review. It summarises actions and outcomes for each Core Strategy policy, and the research and monitoring arrangements to ensure that the plan is effective.

## **Summary of the main cross-boundary issues**

### **Setting of the National Park**

- 3.31 The East Midlands Regional Plan policies 8 and 9 state that policies and programmes in and around the Peak Sub-area should help secure the conservation and enhancement of the Peak District National Park, respecting the statutory purposes of its designation. Care must be taken to ensure that all development respects and enhances the high quality environment of the area, including the setting of the National Park. Various areas of core policy add value to this context such as the inclusion in valued characteristics of flow of landscape character across and beyond the National Park boundary; providing a continuity of landscape and valued setting for the National Park.

### **Spatial strategy and relationship of settlements**

- 3.32 The development strategy (DS1) for the Peak District National Park, and the spatial strategy overall, is strongly affected by the close proximity of this National Park to a large number of towns and cities offering an extensive range of jobs, services, retail and leisure opportunities. A key reason for not requiring a settlement hierarchy in the normal sense is borne out by the fact that National Park settlements exist at a level beneath most conventional hierarchies operating at the rural level within which allocations would not normally be made and offering scope only for exceptional development requiring a rural location, such as to meet local needs for affordable housing. The Authority considers its development strategy is consistent with the approach in neighbouring rural areas.

### **Recreation and tourism**

- 3.33 The preamble to RT1 in this plan explains that developments which provide opportunities for understanding and enjoying the National Park will be welcomed in locations close to its boundary or with easy access by sustainable means, taking into account the landscape character and setting of the National Park. In the context of the highest status of protection for the National Park, policy 10 in the East Midlands Regional Plan requires authorities and others to encourage and promote tourism opportunities outside the National Park that could ease pressures on the National Park itself. Holiday park style development including static caravans, chalets and lodges can be better accommodated outside the National Park subject to landscape considerations affecting the setting of the area.

### **Renewable energy**

- 3.34 The preamble to CC2 in this plan covering low carbon and renewable energy development describes the potential impact that such developments can have on the setting of the National Park. Text explains that the Authority will advocate consideration of less damaging alternatives to protect the National Park and its setting, particularly from larger schemes such as wind farms.

## **Housing**

- 3.35 The context on housing policy provided by the East Midlands Regional Plan clarifies that delivery of dwellings in the National Park counts towards the housing targets set out for local authorities within the Peak, Dales and Park Housing Market Area. Partnership working consolidated through the LDF process by collaboration on evidence gathering and on joint preparation of a Local Investment Plan, is aiding delivery prospects.

## **Minerals**

- 3.36 The proximity of vast levels of mineral resources on the edge of the National Park is a key reason in supporting the objective to seek a gradual reduction in the flow of minerals from the Park itself. Close on-going dialogue will be necessary between the Authority and Derbyshire County Council to consider and agree the best long term strategy for minerals in the context of these large shared resources.

## **Transport and communications**

- 3.37 A range of transport related cross-boundary issues exist including:
- the high levels of motorised traffic in general in comparison with more sustainable modes of transport;
  - the high levels of cross-park traffic;
  - high demands for freight transport to, from and across the National Park;
  - the demand for improved rail connections to surrounding urban areas, and the use of former railway routes;
  - the provision of routes for more sustainable modes of transport including walking, cycling, horse riding and by inland waterway.

These issues are considered within core policies and the Authority feels these address cross-boundary accessibility, travel and traffic issues, so far as is possible within the scope of this document.

- 3.38 The National Park spans four regions as shown on Figure 1 above. The Core Strategy has been drawn up during a period in which a regional spatial strategy has been in place for the East Midlands. For land-use planning purposes the entire National Park falls within the East Midlands and while it remains in force the East Midlands Regional Plan (2009) is a formal part of the Development Plan. The Core Strategy contains policies that are not only consistent with national policy, but that were widely supported by the evidence, public examination and conclusions that accompanied the East Midlands Regional Plan, and are in general conformity with it. The Government has indicated its intent to abolish the regional planning process and revoke regional plans. In submitting this Core Strategy the National Park Authority has acted in a manner that is compatible with this, removing reliance on (and most references to) the East Midlands Regional Plan.

## 4. Spatial portrait for the Peak District National Park



## 4. Spatial portrait for the Peak District National Park - an analysis of the values and challenges underpinning the Core Strategy

---

- 4.1 This section describes the main challenges facing the National Park and draws out key spatial differences and particular pressures facing the diverse landscapes of the area. In many cases the challenges are experienced Park-wide, but the extent to which they impact on particular areas differs. This is illustrated on Figure 2 at the end of the chapter.
- 4.2 The National Park is a complex tapestry of different landscapes but there are three distinct areas: the less populated upland moorland areas and their fringes (the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes); the most populated lower-lying limestone grasslands and limestone dales and the Derwent and Hope Valleys (the White Peak and Derwent Valley); and the sparsely populated mixed moorland and grassland landscapes of the south west (the South West Peak). The challenges broadly fall into seven closely related themes:
- Landscapes and conservation
  - Recreation and tourism
  - Climate change and sustainable building
  - Homes, shops and community facilities
  - Supporting economic development
  - Minerals
  - Accessibility, travel and traffic
- 4.3 The spatial outcomes for these areas and the core policies to achieve them are set out in subsequent chapters.

### Landscapes and conservation

- 4.4 The Dark Peak moorlands are characterised by larger land ownerships. This makes large scale land management more possible than in areas of fragmented land ownership such as the White Peak. The challenge is to sustain the positive land management work by sustainable rural businesses and through projects such as Moors for the Future. It is also important to maintain a high level of protection for moorland areas of the Dark Peak and South West Peak landscapes. These areas display few obvious signs of recent human activity and offer the visitor a sense of wilderness. Much of this area is classed as the Natural Zone<sup>15</sup>. It is valued by millions of visitors but remains extremely fragile and susceptible to damage. The challenge is to maximise both the value and significance of the natural resources, biodiversity and cultural heritage, and peoples' ability to access and enjoy the valued characteristics.
- 4.5 In stark contrast, the White Peak landscapes are generally in small ownerships (other than the estates such as Haddon, Chatsworth, and Tissington). It is a more obviously farmed landscape, but the combination of limestone plateau and limestone dales means it is no less spectacular and no less valued by visitors and residents. It has a sweeping pastoral nature with a distinct pattern of limestone walls. The scale of this walled landscape on the plateau is particularly striking whilst areas like Monsal Dale, Dovedale, Lathkill Dale, Wolfscote Dale and the Manifold Valley are iconic visitor destinations.
- 4.6 The South West Peak is different again, with many small settlements and a few larger villages such as Longnor, Warslow and Waterhouses. An abundance of farms is interspersed with these settlements and the topography is a mixture of rugged moorlands and more gentle pasture.

---

<sup>15</sup> For a more detailed description of these areas see paragraph 9.17 in the Landscapes and Conservation chapter

- 4.7 Ancient mineral workings add to the culture, heritage and biodiversity of the area, but the scars left by recent quarrying are less welcome. The challenge is to progressively reduce the negative impact of quarries on the landscape, surrounding communities, and visitors' enjoyment. Landowners, from the smallest farmer to the largest estate, need to sustain and grow their business in a difficult economic climate, but this leads to pressure for development that can sit uneasily in the landscape. The challenge is to find ways to enable landowners and managers to prosper in ways that conserve and enhance landscapes. The creeping loss of the drystone wall network and the unwelcome changes in quality and appearance of traditional vernacular buildings and settlements is not lost on the Authority, local people or visitors. The challenge is to respect residents' and visitors' desire to enjoy the landscapes as well as their desire to prosper in the area.

## **Recreation and tourism**

- 4.8 Across the National Park, tourism remains a vital part of the local economy, supporting not only tourism businesses but also the services that residents value. However, whilst places like Chatsworth and Tissington depend on tourists, residents of other places such as Castleton and Hathersage find the impact of tourism difficult at peak times. Many people across the National Park want fewer, not more, holiday and second homes, and they want more affordable houses and more facilities that are useful to residents. There is a need to be sensitive to their needs whilst enabling the sustainable growth of tourism businesses.
- 4.9 The landscapes of the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes are easily accessible to millions of people living in large conurbations particularly to the north, west and east of the National Park. The Dark Peak landscape lends itself to dispersal of visitors over a wide area from a few carefully managed visitor hubs such as Fairholmes in the Upper Derwent. This usually works well, but the use of some routes by off-road 4x4s and trail bikes threatens other users' quiet enjoyment and places pressure on fragile landscapes. Some organised recreational groups work with land managers to minimise and compensate for their impact. However, the challenge is to encourage responsible use by these who are currently disinclined to respect the National Park's valued characteristics. This is addressed through other strategies and plans, and where agreements cannot be reached, the Authority can apply the Sandford principle in order to conserve valued characteristics. In terms of development, the area is better suited to lower-key facilities such as appropriately-sited signage and interpretation, and back-pack or farm-based tent and caravan sites, rather than higher profile developments.
- 4.10 The more gentle White Peak landscape and much of the South West Peak generally attract pursuits such as walking and cycling, but the extensive road network also lends itself to car and coach borne visitors moving between attractive villages and towns. The presence of many settlements means that the landscape, whilst still highly valued, is slightly less sensitive than the Dark Peak. The challenge here is to support the development of appropriate facilities in recognised visitor locations such as Bakewell, Castleton, the Hope Valley and Dovedale; and consolidate Bakewell's role as a tourist centre and hub, possibly accommodating a new hotel. However the challenge is also to create alternatives to car visits; this is being addressed in part by encouraging smarter routing and timetabling of public transport services to generate greater use by residents and visitors. The Authority needs to plug gaps in the Rights of Way network; protect the recreational value of the Manifold, Tissington, and High Peak trails; and enhance the recreational value of the Monsal Trail.
- 4.11 The South West Peak whilst generally quieter than the other areas has visitor hubs at Macclesfield Forest, the Goyt Valley and the Roaches. Sensitive visitor management is an ongoing challenge here too. The area also contains some public roads such as the A537 whose line makes them attractive to high powered motorbikes. The resultant high accident rates and the pressure for solutions is an enduring challenge to this Authority and the Highways Authorities. This problem blights other users' enjoyment of the area

and has a negative impact on communities. However, the obvious solutions may create a problem in themselves if they involve signage and infrastructure that adversely affects landscape character. The challenge is to encourage solutions that make routes safer for all users without blighting the wider landscape.

### **Climate change and sustainable building**

- 4.12 The Authority's challenge is to enable people and businesses to mitigate and adapt to climate change. The requirement for sustainable building is imperative, but the potential for gains is limited because the overall levels of new development will be low even in the most populous areas of the White Peak. In addition, the quality of the landscapes mean that infrastructure such as wind turbines is difficult to accommodate particularly in the more remote upland areas such as the Dark Peak. Close working with constituent local authorities is vital to protect the integrity of the National Park landscape and maintain its rural setting.
- 4.13 There is however potential to generate sustainable energy in ways more suited to the National Park landscape. For example, the White Peak has been a traditional location for water-generated power and it retains this potential. There is also considerably more opportunity here for individuals to make a difference because this is the part of the National Park where most people live. The challenge is to harness their enthusiasm to 'think globally and act locally' and convert it into development that conserves and enhances buildings and landscapes. The existence of 109 Conservation Areas, many of which cover parts of settlements in the White Peak, heightens the challenge. Nonetheless the requirement to meet national energy efficiency and building standards will, over time, improve energy efficiency in more of the housing stock.
- 4.14 For existing buildings the aim is to reduce energy consumption and not replace expensive and polluting fossil fuel consumption with incongruous renewable energy infrastructure. However there is a long term economic and wider environmental benefit in producing cheaper energy from renewable sources. The challenge therefore is to make it easier to do this in ways that conserve and enhance buildings and their landscape settings across the National Park.
- 4.15 Whilst the potential for new development is limited, the potential for better natural resource management is huge. Most notably the moorland management projects in the Dark Peak are already fulfilling some of the potential to improve soil quality, stabilise soils, reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and reduce flood risk and speed of water 'run off'. This benefits local communities and those in surrounding built-up urban areas such as Derby, where a fast rise in water levels of the River Derwent has a propensity to damage homes and businesses. Sustainable resource management therefore has benefits way beyond the National Park boundary and can offer a more appropriate response to the issue of climate change than new development.

### **Homes, shops and community facilities**

- 4.16 Most of the National Park's population of around 38,000 lives in the White Peak and Derwent and Hope Valleys, so the challenges inevitably manifest themselves more here than in the less populated Dark Peak and South West Peak. The major challenge here is to assist the delivery of affordable homes because it is an urgent priority for communities and housing authorities.
- 4.17 The challenge is heightened by knowledge that development sites are scarce. This makes it harder to build housing to address community need whilst conserving and enhancing the National Park. The Authority believes however that there are other ways to provide homes for local people, such as buying houses as they become available on the open market, and permitting conversion of existing buildings to affordable rather than



open market homes. The challenge is to switch to these alternatives over time in order to address community needs and conserve and enhance the built environment.

- 4.18 The level of shops and community services has diminished slightly across the National Park in spite of Authority efforts to prevent the change of use away from retail and community services. For individual communities this loss can be serious, but overall the recent impacts have been limited and not confined to a particular area. Nor is there a direct correlation between service loss and settlement size. The challenge Park-wide is to resist change of use where communities run the risk of losing services altogether.
- 4.19 The challenge of providing social care increases as the elderly population grows. Providing other services to a relatively small and widely scattered population is also difficult. There is a culture of good quality voluntary service provision including community transport which is valued in this area. However, the challenge is to encourage development in places that will make it easier for service providers rather than harder.
- 4.20 The challenge is also to focus development on the needs of local communities rather than the needs of those with less sustainable motives. For example, second and holiday home ownership reduces the availability of housing stock and in part exacerbates the gap between house prices and peoples' incomes. The situation here is not as extreme as in most other National Parks but there are pockets, predominantly in the White Peak, where at ward level these types of tenure account for about 10% of housing stock. At a settlement level the figures are probably much higher, and there is a concern that this skews the population profile and has a negative impact on community life. The issue is complicated: ownership and maintenance of holiday homes can generate employment and income for local people, and provide accommodation for visitors to access and enjoy the National Park. Nonetheless the challenge remains to ensure continued community vibrancy.
- 4.21 In absolute terms the eligible need for affordable homes is less in the Dark Peak and South West Peak. In moorland fringe settlements around the Dark Peak, the eligible need for affordable homes is small and most communities have easy access to services and jobs in nearby towns and cities. However, South West Peak communities need some housing and business development because there are pockets where people are relatively isolated from jobs and services in larger towns and cities.
- 4.22 Unlike areas that must manage an expectation for growth, the principle of this spatial strategy is to offer as much flexibility for the exceptional need to meet local housing needs and essential countryside needs, whilst protecting the valued characteristics of the area. In this context such needs are proportionate to population level. Therefore these challenges are addressed by effectively concentrating development in a range of better serviced settlements that have capacity for development. The most populated settlements tend to have the greatest need and the least populated settlements the least need. Following this logic, most of the settlements named in the policy are in the White Peak and Derwent Valley because this is where most of the population lives. The South West Peak and the Dark Peak are less populated, but a range of villages are still considered important in addressing the needs of communities in these areas. This approach both conserves and enhances the built environment and the countryside across the National Park, enables development in line with community needs, and is as close to the source of need as possible.

### **Supporting economic development**

- 4.23 The area sustains high levels of employment and a relatively wealthy resident population. However structural problems still exist and there are differences across the National Park. For example, the South West Peak has a greater proportion of lower income, semi-skilled workers. Overall the economy is still dominated by moderately intensive pastoral farming and small to medium enterprises. A few large employers remain but the National Park

has lost, or is in the process of losing some larger employers such as Dairy Crest from Hartington and Newburgh Engineering from Bradwell.

- 4.24 Levels of self employment and home working are relatively high across the National Park. Future improvements in broadband connectivity and reduced cost of internet access, and changes in peoples' work patterns, could make home working more realistic for more people, and further reduce residents' need to commute to work. However, all parts of the National Park are closely ringed by towns and cities offering significant numbers of better paid jobs within relatively easy commuting distances and times. The challenge is to encourage a pattern of development that encourages shorter and easier commuting for work because this can improve the sustainability of peoples' lifestyles. This would be particularly beneficial in pockets of the White Peak plateau and the South West Peak where accessibility to services is poorest and access to larger towns and cities is at its worst. There is pressure to tackle this by allowing business to set up in the National Park. However, permitting a business to establish itself in the National Park cannot carry with it an obligation to employ local people, so the extent to which it would make communities more sustainable is questionable.
- 4.25 In the farming community, the level of farm payments continues to threaten business viability. This encourages people to move out of farming, sell off buildings and land, or diversify into other activities. One impact is a loss of skilled land management workers, whilst another is business growth in unsuitable buildings and countryside locations. The change in the economics of farming therefore has widespread implications for the environment as well as the local economy.
- 4.26 Despite recent and impending losses, manufacturing remains a large part of the economy. However, the demand for business units has been patchy for example at Bakewell in the White Peak, and in smaller settlements such as Warslow in the South West Peak. The location and suitability of these units may in some instances be the problem, but there is some evidence that poor marketing and uncompetitive prices aggravates it and reflect a desire on the part of some owners to sell off business sites for housing. Good housing sites and appropriate businesses premises are both scarce. The challenge is to welcome business enterprise and accommodate it without forgetting the wider need for small but locally significant business and housing sites. This is easier to achieve in settlements but more challenging in the wider rural areas. However a significant number of people live and work in the wider countryside and their need to grow businesses is a greater challenge. These businesses may sustain the valued natural environment and opportunities for people to enjoy it. The challenge is most acute in the White Peak and Derwent Valley where most residents live and work. Here, the marginal nature of businesses such as farming, along with individual and community enterprise, is the catalyst for business ideas and enthusiasm. However, the ideas often require development not traditionally associated with the landscape. These can jar with the landscape and the values placed on it by residents and visitors, so the challenge is to accommodate business growth that enhances valued characteristics. The same challenges apply, but to a much lesser extent, in the Dark Peak and South West Peak.
- 4.27 Park-wide, the persistent problem of lower than average wages and an overdependence on seasonal work also throws up the need to diversify the economy. The problem is particularly evident in the White Peak and South West Peak. The challenge is to shape the economy in ways that work with the National Park landscape and benefit its traditional and new custodians.

## **Minerals**

- 4.28 Quarries and quarrying operations impact heavily on the landscape particularly in the White Peak. Indeed, many villages such as Winster, Youlgrave, and Bradwell have their roots in the quarrying industry and it is undoubtedly a part of the area's history and


economy. However, it is generally felt that some quarries cause overwhelming adverse environmental and social impacts beyond any benefits to communities and the economy, despite the steady reduction in the number of operating quarries. Indeed the speed and scale of working in some areas such as Longstone Edge has led to demands for action against the unwelcome environmental damage caused by quarrying. The challenge is to manage down the adverse environmental impacts of the industry, respecting the fact that it provides jobs and building materials that are valuable locally and nationally. Appropriate site restoration is also necessary.

### **Accessibility, travel and traffic**

- 4.29 As in most rural areas, people are largely car-dependent and public transport services are limited and fragile. The level of access to essential services by walking or public transport is reasonable for most communities, but car ownership in the National Park is of necessity above average and few people need to rely on buses or trains. However the trend is towards service loss rather than gain, so accessibility could worsen and the need for car usage could increase, most worryingly amongst those least able to afford regular use of a car. The problem would be most acute in pockets on the White Peak plateau and in the South West Peak where accessibility to services by public transport is worst.
- 4.30 Commuting patterns generally are unlikely to worsen because the trend is towards higher levels of home working - in an area where levels are already above average.
- 4.31 The network of roads is at its most dense in the White Peak and Derwent Valley where most people live. The network is relatively good with main roads north to south (the A6 and A515) connecting Matlock to Glossop, and Ashbourne to Buxton; and east to west (the A6, A623, and A6187) connecting Sheffield and Chesterfield to Buxton, Chapel, and the Manchester fringe towns. This enables people to live and work in the National Park, or commute out to surrounding towns, in both cases without travelling huge distances. For those needing or preferring to travel by public transport, the service is patchy and it is not generally good enough to discourage car use. Train travel is limited to the Hope Valley line, which is valued because it connects Hope Valley communities to Manchester and Sheffield and enables reduced commuting by car.
- 4.32 The road network is sparse in the Dark Peak and the South West Peak, and it is often easiest to travel round rather than across the moorland areas. Communities have lower populations and generally fewer services than the larger White Peak settlements. However, most people are not unduly disadvantaged by this because of their close proximity to larger towns such as Macclesfield, Holmfirth, Leek, Glossop and Penistone. Their overall accessibility to jobs and services therefore compares reasonably favourably with the more populated areas of the White Peak.
- 4.33 However, cross-Park traffic is a continuing challenge. The major cross routes are the A628 in the north linking Manchester to Sheffield; the A537 in the South West Peak linking Macclesfield and Buxton; the A6 linking Matlock and Buxton; the A515 linking Ashbourne to Buxton; and the A619/A623 linking Chesterfield to Chapel. The high accident rates on some routes such as the A537 and the A515 lead to pressure for new road infrastructure. This is not welcomed by everybody because of its impact on the landscape and the built environment. A major challenge for this plan period is to encourage Highways Authorities to tackle road safety in ways that conserve the valued characteristics of the landscapes through which routes pass.
- 4.34 In addition, excessive vehicle use still damages walls and buildings, whilst vehicle emissions degrade air quality and destroy the tranquillity valued by visitors. The challenge is to discourage traffic that has no essential need to be in the National Park and find ways to maximise the quality of the road and rail network for residents, visitors and National Park based businesses. Achieving this would not only enhance visitor enjoyment but improve the quality of the environment and its natural resources. This in

turn can help effect a positive change to conditions that would otherwise exacerbate climate change.

# Spatial Portrait



**Figure 2: Spatial Portrait**



# 5. Key diagram




Figure 3: Key diagram





## 6. Introduction to core policies



## **6. Introduction to core policies**

---

### **The philosophy of sensitively managed change in the National Park**

- 6.1 The policies in this Core Strategy provide:
- definition and clarity of the approach to conservation and enhancement for the valued characteristics reflected in national park designation; and
  - an appropriate way in which opportunities to support sustainable local communities and businesses can be fostered alongside the pursuit of statutory purposes.
- 6.2 Since the original designation of national parks other key drivers now influence plan making. These are the need to facilitate and promote sustainable development, and the need to contribute to reducing greenhouse gas emissions in order to stabilise climate change<sup>16</sup>. So whilst the strategy must be to achieve the statutory purposes of national parks, it also needs to show how development can sensitively address these other national and global drivers.
- 6.3 Policies aim to direct development and investment to those places most likely to help people and business, whilst giving the highest protection to the landscape, the natural and historic environment, and promoting opportunities to understand and enjoy the area. This can be a difficult challenge. The Core Strategy includes a blend of policies, providing strict protection in some areas whilst enabling a reasonable level of appropriate development elsewhere, in both open landscapes and in villages. To step beyond this would cause unacceptable harm: sometimes immediate, sometimes through gradual incongruous and cumulative damage over a longer period.
- 6.4 This new strategy benefits from a more detailed assessment of the various and complex landscapes that comprise the Peak District National Park and its setting. This will help to ensure that where development is acceptable in principle, it can be sited and designed in the best way possible to allow conservation and enhancement of the natural and built characteristics of the area.


### **The hierarchy of policy**

- 6.5 The approach set out above is the local definition of legislation and policy that stems mainly from the Planning Acts, the Environment Act and government policy. The Local Development Framework considers how development proposals will contribute to the spatial outcomes and strategy. The Core Strategy explains the intention for different scales and types of development across the three broad spatial areas identified in the spatial portrait.
- 6.6 Policies set out the strategic position for a range of generic development types appropriate to the National Park. At the moment they are supplemented by saved Local Plan policies but in time these will be replaced by criteria-based Development Management policies. These will aid interpretation of core policies and give clear guidance to decision makers and applicants. The final layer of policy will be provided by Supplementary Planning Documents, which:
- supplement and interpret policy, giving detailed information on matters such as design and landscaping; and
  - describe how policy informs the need for conditions and legal agreements to ensure that development achieves the stated intent of the strategy.

---

<sup>16</sup> DCLG (2007) Planning Policy Statement: Planning and Climate Change – Supplement to Planning Policy Statement 1; and ODPM (2005) Planning Policy Statement: Delivering Sustainable Development.

## 7. General spatial policies



## 7. General spatial policies

---

### Introduction

- 7.1 General spatial policies (GSPs) provide overarching principles for spatial planning in the National Park and relate closely to the delivery of national park purposes. Policy GSP1 seeks that any development proposal will comply with core policies so that any development in the National Park must satisfy the statutory purposes of national park designation. Where there is an irreconcilable conflict between the statutory purposes, the Sandford Principle<sup>17</sup> will be applied and the conservation of the National Park will be given priority. In pursuing these purposes, policy clarifies the principles for conserving and enhancing the special landscapes of the Peak District, its many historic settlements, assets of cultural heritage significance and its extensive biodiversity. In doing so the plan also addresses community and business needs in ways which are compatible with the valued characteristics of the area (see paragraph 9.15). GSP1 also clarifies the position relating to major developments, emphasising the tests to be applied in such cases.
- 7.2 GSP2 places greater emphasis on enhancing the National Park's valued characteristics, by ensuring that first consideration is always given to solutions which do not harm the area. Sometimes this may involve actions and programmes that require no development at all, minimising the amount of change and potential for harm to the National Park.
- 7.3 GSP3 states the overarching principles for development management to be considered in all circumstances; and GSP4 outlines the way that planning gain will be operated to maximise the benefit of development to the National Park
- 7.4 These general policies and other signposted policies will deliver sustainable development. Moreover there is greater clarity between the strategic plan for the area and the way that Development Management Policies will help deliver the spatial strategy.

### National policy context

- 7.5 The original English and Welsh National Park legislation<sup>18</sup> brought protection for landscapes of natural beauty and the opportunities they offered for open-air recreation. Subsequent legislation<sup>19</sup> has confirmed these original purposes, given equivalent protection for wildlife and cultural heritage, expanded coverage to the promotion of understanding and enjoyment of special qualities, and confirmed the primacy of these purposes over the associated duty to foster social and economic well-being of national park communities.
- 7.6 A sustainable approach to development is based on national policy, and aims to recognise the diverse needs of the area and reduce social exclusion, whilst conserving and enhancing the valued characteristics of the National Park. It has emerged as a cross-cutting theme and is now woven through the Core Strategy.
- 7.7 National policy statements regard the National Park as a major environmental asset, so there are no strategic allocations for employment or housing land and no housing targets. Development is small-scale in order to relate well to the landscapes within which it sits. The overall management of the National Park is a huge task involving many bodies including those with statutory responsibilities to provide and manage key services such as housing, transport, education, health and social care.

---

<sup>17</sup> HMSO (1974) The Sandford Report

<sup>18</sup> HMSO (1949) National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act Section 5

<sup>19</sup> HMSO (1995) Environment Act Section 61

- 7.8 Under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 sustainable development has become central to the planning system. The purpose of the mandatory Sustainability Appraisal (SA) is to promote sustainable development through the integration of social, environmental and economic considerations into the preparation of spatial planning documents. The SA of this Core Strategy shows that the strategy provides a clear basis for achieving sustainable development. The process also allows authorities to check whether the plan is delivering environmental benefits in terms of conservation, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and social and economic benefits. Baseline information is also established and provides a clear understanding of the state of the environment and the area's social, economic and eligible housing needs. This ensures a focus on what is or may be changing, the key pressures for change, and what is needed to encourage beneficial change and prevent change that is harmful.
- 7.9 Major development in or adjacent to the boundary of national parks can have a significant impact on the qualities for which they were designated. Government planning policy towards national parks is that major development should not take place within a national park except in exceptional circumstances. This is set out in Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas and restated in Minerals Policy Statement 1: Planning and Minerals. Applications for all major developments should be demonstrated to be in the public interest before being allowed to proceed. The criteria for the assessment of such applications are currently set out in paragraph 14 of Minerals Policy Statement 1 and paragraph 22 of Planning Policy Statement 7.
- 7.10 Proposals for major development within the National Park are determined by the National Park Authority except in cases where there is a national interest. Outside the National Park, in the determination of planning applications including those for major development, and in the preparation of policies and programmes that directly affect the National Park, all relevant bodies are required to have regard to national park purposes (Environment Act 1995). This legislative requirement is in order to secure the conservation and enhancement of the National Park which has the highest status of protection for landscape and natural beauty (PPS1 paragraph 17).
- 7.11 Circular 2/99<sup>20</sup> for Environmental Impact Assessment points out that “the relationship between a proposed development and its location is a crucial consideration”. The more environmentally sensitive the location, the lower will be the threshold at which significant effects will be likely. The level of regard paid to national parks is highlighted by the fact that they are classed under the Environmental Impact Assessment regulations<sup>21</sup> as ‘sensitive areas’.<sup>22</sup>
- 7.12 For proposals where there is national interest a Major Infrastructure Planning Unit (MIPU) will be established in the Planning Inspectorate. Ministers will take decisions on applications within a statutory time frame and provide a recommendation and report on development consent to the Secretary of State.
- 7.13 The requirements for assessment in paragraph 14 of Minerals Policy Statement 1 and paragraph 22 of Planning Policy Statement 7 apply to all proposals for major development whether inside or directly affecting national parks and include transport infrastructure proposals. The National Parks Vision and Circular in paragraph 31 explains that applications for all major developments should be subject to the most rigorous examination and proposals should be demonstrated to be in the public interest

---

<sup>20</sup> This circular provides guidance on the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations 1999

<sup>21</sup> OPSI (1999) Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations

<sup>22</sup> The thresholds/criteria in the second column of schedule 2 do not apply and all schedule 2 developments must be screened for the need for EIA.

before being allowed to proceed. Until such time as the Planning Act 2008 is amended, the MIPU will have the functions set out in the Act, and in cases where there is a designated National Policy Statement (NPS), the NPS will form the basis for decision making. NPSs will now be subject to ratification by Parliament. If an application reaches decision stage and the relevant National Policy Statement has not been designated, the MIPU will make a recommendation to the Secretary of State, who will take the decision.

- 7.14 In delivering spatial strategies, national policy encourages Local Planning Authorities to give clarity on the means of securing necessary infrastructure or other benefits through the operation of the planning system. This could include use of a range of mechanisms such as Section 106 agreements or a local tariff.

### **Contribution to spatial outcomes**

- 7.15 General spatial policies will contribute to the achievement of all of the spatial outcomes presented in the spatial strategy.

### **The policies**

- GSP1 – Securing national park purposes and sustainable development
- GSP2 – Enhancing the National Park
- GSP3 – Development management principles
- GSP4 – Planning conditions and legal agreements

### **Securing national park purposes and sustainable development**

- 7.16 Policy GSP1 satisfies the requirements of European Union Directives, the Environment Act 1995, and national planning policies. It underpins the vision, outcomes and all other policies in this Core Strategy. It ensures that all decisions are determined in line with national park purposes and duty.
- 7.17 It is the intent of policy GSP1 to ensure that the National Park Authority will be satisfied that the scheme will conserve and enhance the valued characteristics of the National Park as defined in this plan. For clarity, 'enhance' has the same legal strength as 'conserve', and enhancement should be sought and demonstrated as equally as the need to demonstrate conservation.
- 7.18 The purposes of national park designation were established in the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949, and amended by the Environment Act 1959, to:
- conserve and enhance the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage (of the national parks; and
  - promote opportunities for the understanding and enjoyment of the special qualities (of the national parks) by the public.

In pursuing the statutory purposes, National Park Authorities have a duty to seek to foster the economic and social well-being of local communities. Within the National Park there are many different, and often conflicting, interests to manage. Protection of the landscape versus economic factors, viable local communities versus tourism – the challenges are endless. And it is widely acknowledged that the National Park is a living, man-made cultural landscape that has evolved over time and needs to continue evolving to sustain its future. The National Park Act makes clear that if national park purposes are in acute conflict, greater weight should be attached to the purpose of conserving and enhancing the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the National Park. The Act also requires all relevant authorities and public bodies, such as District Councils and the utilities companies, to take national park purposes into account when they make decisions or carry out activities which might affect the National Park.

Authorities and public bodies must show they have fulfilled this duty. Where their activities outside National Parks might have an impact inside them, the Government says they should cooperate across national park boundaries.

7.19 Where there are conflicting desired outcomes in achieving national park purposes, greater priority must be given to the conservation of the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the area, even at the cost of some socio-economic benefits. This is known as the Sandford Principle and remains a key tool in managing irreconcilable conflicts in the National Park. Nevertheless, this Core Strategy aims to find ‘win-win’ solutions which are socially inclusive, address the needs of our communities, and conserve and enhance the National Park’s valued characteristics. For example, the Authority needs to plan carefully to mitigate and adapt to climate change, which means enabling development of an appropriate scale that is sustainable, accessible and inclusive, without compromising the landscape.

7.20 The National Park Authority aims to support exemplary sustainable development. For the National Park, in many cases, the Authority will be justified in requiring developers to go that bit further in order to achieve sustainable development and conserve and enhance the National Park. This could include, for example, building with local materials in the vernacular tradition, sharing telecommunications masts, buying homes for local need rather than building new, undergrounding electricity cables, working towards the gradual reduction of mineral activity, using sustainable urban drainage, conserving and enhancing the ecological interest of sites, carrying out archaeological surveys, and fully justifying the need for new development.

7.21 Development should take account of the following policy considerations:

- Mitigating and adapting to climate change (chapters 7,8, 11 and 15);
- Delivering high quality design respecting local distinctiveness (chapters 7, 8, 9 and 11);
- Fostering access to services and facilities by locating development according to the objectives of the spatial strategy (chapters 8 and 15);
- Encouraging social integration in an inclusive environment that considers people’s diverse needs regardless of age, gender or disability (chapters 7, 8, 10, 12 and 15);
- Encouraging good health, safety and well-being (chapters 7, 8 and 10);
- Supporting appropriate economic development (chapters 8, 12 and 13);
- Championing environmental quality (chapters 7, 8, 9 and 11);
- Seeking development of an appropriate scale (all chapters);
- Addressing the local needs of the National Park’s communities (chapters 7, 8 and 12);
- Considering the needs of future generations (all chapters);
- Achieving ‘win-win’ solutions (all chapters).

**GSP1: Securing national park purposes and sustainable development**

- A. All policies must be read in combination.
- B. All development shall be consistent with the National Park’s legal purposes and duty.
- C. Where there is an irreconcilable conflict between the statutory purposes, the Sandford Principle will be applied and the conservation and enhancement of the National Park will be given priority.

- D. Where national park purposes can be secured, opportunities must be taken to contribute to the sustainable development of the area
- E. In securing national park purposes major development should not take place within the Peak District National Park other than in exceptional circumstances. Major development will only be permitted following rigorous consideration of the criteria in national policy.
- F. Where a proposal for major development can demonstrate a significant net benefit to the National Park, every effort to mitigate potential localised harm and compensate for any residual harm to the area's valued characteristics would be expected to be secured.

## **Achieving enhancement of the National Park**

- 7.22 To achieve enhancement of the National Park it is necessary to maintain an on going commitment to intensify as well as conserve the area's valued characteristics. The spatial portrait highlights the need for enhancement, to mitigate the deterioration of the landscape and other valued characteristics. Enhancement should always be related closely to purposes and valued characteristics. Other benefits of a socio-economic nature are dealt with in policies such as those for homes and communities or for the economy. From time to time, larger, more complex opportunities for enhancement arise and these may require a site brief that can bring together enhancement and socio-economic opportunities (policies GSP2 and E1 allow for this at E and D respectively).
- 7.23 The National Park Authority will require a proposal justified on the basis of enhancement to demonstrate that it represents an overall benefit to the natural beauty, and wildlife and cultural heritage of the area. In many cases this must be achieved through the quality of design and sensitivity to the character and appearance of the area in support of other core policies. Occasionally the Authority may choose to accept a scheme by way of exception to some policies in order to achieve a significant overall benefit to the National Park, for example by accepting a new mineral operation in order to reduce the overall extent and impact in other areas.
- 7.24 It is essential that the standard of design and landscape aspects of new development conserve and enhance the valued characteristics of the National Park. The Authority's specialist fields of knowledge in landscape, biodiversity and cultural heritage are underpinned by high quality guidance notes and appraisal documents. These will be used to ensure that new development can be achieved with subtlety and care in order to integrate successfully with the valued character and appearance of the area.
- 7.25 Furthermore, planning powers can provide an effective mechanism to realise other enhancements to the built and natural environment. Development decisions and other tools may allow opportunities to remove or treat undesirable features or buildings, but works must be undertaken in a sympathetic manner so as not to harm other valued characteristics which may exist on or surrounding a site.
- 7.26 In some cases whilst a development may by its nature create an undesirable impact on neighbouring properties, the use may still provide a valuable function to the community, e.g. an industrial or engineering use. In such cases it may be more desirable to find an alternative site, particularly where this can result in an overall enhancement to the valued characteristics of the area. Policy provides the flexibility to manage the movement of development to more appropriate locations, giving opportunities to enhance an area and achieve a better mix of uses to benefit a community.



## **GSP2: Enhancing the National Park**

- A. Opportunities for enhancing the valued characteristics of the National Park will be identified and acted upon.
- B. Proposals intended to enhance the National Park will need to demonstrate that they offer significant overall benefit to the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the area. They should not undermine the achievement of other Core Policies.
- C. When development is permitted, a design will be sought that respects the character of the area, and where appropriate, landscaping and planting schemes will be sought that are consistent with local landscape characteristics and their setting, complementing the locality and helping to achieve biodiversity objectives.
- D. Opportunities will be taken to enhance the National Park by the treatment or removal of undesirable features or buildings. Work must be undertaken in a manner which conserves the valued characteristics of the site and its surroundings.
- E. Development in settlements necessary for the treatment, removal or relocation of non-conforming uses to an acceptable site, or which would enhance the valued characteristics of the National Park will be permitted. In such cases a site brief may be necessary to achieve the best mix of uses to secure the conservation and enhancement of the National Park and the most sustainable outcome for the community.

## **Development management principles**

- 7.27 In order to achieve the sensitive management of new development it is necessary to establish both principles and finer criteria for judging impacts on valued characteristics. The Development Management Policies Development Plan Document will provide greater detail but this policy sets out principles that need to be taken into account in all cases.

## **GSP3: Development management principles**

All development must conform to the following principles:

Development must respect, conserve and enhance all valued characteristics of the site and buildings that are subject to the development proposal. Particular attention will be paid to:

- A. impact on the character and setting of buildings
- B. scale of development appropriate to the character and appearance of the National Park
- C. siting, landscaping and building materials
- D. design in accordance with the National Park Authority Design Guide
- E. form and intensity of proposed use or activity
- F. impact on living conditions of communities
- G. impact on access and traffic levels
- H. use of sustainable modes of transport
- I. use of sustainable building techniques

- J. ground conditions including any land instability from former mining, quarrying or industrial uses
- K. adapting to and mitigating the impact of climate change, particularly in respect of carbon emissions, energy and water demand


### Securing planning benefits

- 7.28 National policy recognises that some development may adversely affect some people, and that local planning authorities can use planning conditions or obligations to ameliorate this. Negotiated benefits must be clearly related to the development, and necessary to make it acceptable in planning terms.
- 7.29 Planning consents commonly make use of conditions and legal agreements about specific matters related to development to provide a wider benefit. In the National Park it would be appropriate to include requirements that aid the implementation of national park purposes, for example to make provision for landscaping, or to develop in such a way that species such as bats are able to make use of the new structure. In pursuing national park purposes it would also be appropriate to use conditions/legal agreements to ensure sustainable development e.g. through design and/or measures to improve energy conservation or renewable energy generation.
- 7.30 In some instances it may be appropriate to agree benefit for off-site investment that is directly related to national park purposes (such as habitat, cultural heritage and landscape restoration or enhancement), or for specific local community needs (such as affordable housing or a new village hall). This is necessary where benefits are not best accommodated on the site and are most likely to be achievable in the occasional larger-scale scheme allowed in order to secure conservation and enhancement.
- 7.31 The low rate of development in the National Park is unlikely to result in strategically significant sums of money, but there are general democratic reasons in each County and District why development within the National Park should contribute towards community infrastructure in the same way that development outside will be expected to. This might best and most fairly be dealt with by reflecting any delivery priorities that are advocated by the appropriate District and County Councils, based on consideration of relevant service infrastructure needs in that part of the National Park. They could be set out in a Charging Schedule drawn up by the National Park Authority. This could also describe how the Authority might exempt particular developments such as social housing.

#### **GSP4: Planning conditions and legal agreements**

- A. To aid the achievement of its spatial outcomes, the National Park Authority will consider the contribution that a development can make directly and/or to its setting, including, where consistent with government guidance, using planning conditions and planning obligations.
- B. The National Park Authority's use of broader mechanisms will pay close regard to the advice of County and District Councils and other relevant service and infrastructure providers in each part of the National Park.

# 8. Spatial vision and outcomes



## 8. Spatial vision and outcomes

---

- 8.1 The focus of this Core Strategy is the need to conserve and enhance landscapes, settlements and other valued characteristics in line with national park purposes. In light of the different challenges highlighted in chapter 4, three broad spatial areas emerge. They are:
- the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes, characterised by the wilder, least developed parts of the National Park, with large areas of open moorland and few villages;
  - the White Peak and Derwent Valley, which contain most of the National Park's population and are characterised by pastoral landscapes; and
  - the South West Peak, which contains elements of moorland and pastoral landscapes, with a low population scattered across very small settlements.
- 8.2 This chapter outlines the spatial outcomes desired from spatial planning by 2026, and the development strategy to achieve these. This highlights a direct relationship between the spatial portrait and the way in which spatial policies will contribute to the achievement of beneficial outcomes for the National Park. The chapters that follow indicate how each of the core policies will contribute to different sustainable outcomes appropriate to the diverse landscapes and other valued characteristics that make up the Peak District National Park.

### Vision and outcomes – where we want to be by 2026

**Our vision is for:**

**A conserved and enhanced Peak District, where the natural beauty and quality of its landscapes, its biodiversity, tranquillity, cultural heritage and the settlements within it continue to be valued for their diversity and richness.**

**A welcoming Peak District, where people from all parts of our diverse society have the opportunity to visit, appreciate, understand and enjoy the National Park's special qualities.**

**A living, modern and innovative Peak District, that contributes positively to vibrant communities for both residents and people in neighbouring urban areas, and demonstrates a high quality of life whilst conserving and enhancing the special qualities of the National Park.**

**A viable and thriving Peak District economy, that capitalises on its special qualities and promotes a strong sense of identity.**

- 8.3 The vision will be achieved by realising the following outcomes in ways which help secure national park purposes.
- Landscapes and conservation  
The valued characteristics and landscape character of the National Park will be conserved and enhanced.

- Recreation and tourism

A network of high quality, sustainable sites and facilities will have encouraged and promoted increased enjoyment and understanding of the National Park by everybody including its residents and surrounding urban communities.

- Climate change and sustainable building

The National Park will have responded and adapted to climate change in ways that have led to reduced energy consumption, reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, increased proportion of overall energy use provided by renewable energy infrastructure, and conserved resources of soil, air, and water.

- Homes, shops and community facilities

The National Park's communities will be more sustainable and resilient with a reduced unmet level of eligible affordable housing need and improved access to services.

- Supporting economic development

The rural economy will be stronger and more sustainable, with more businesses contributing positively to conservation and enhancement of the valued characteristics of the National Park whilst providing high quality jobs for local people.

- Minerals

The adverse impact of mineral operations will have been reduced.

- Accessibility, travel and traffic

Residents, visitors and businesses will access their needs in ways that conserve and enhance the valued characteristics of the National Park.

8.4 These outcomes will be achieved by explicitly recognising the varying characteristics of the three spatial areas when applying the policies to decision making and actions. For example, most of the National Park communities live in the nucleated settlements of the White Peak, so it is inevitable that the desired outcomes for homes, shops and community facilities in settlements will have more relevance in this area. A different approach may be necessary in areas of more scattered settlements, such as the South West Peak. Similarly, the bulk of mineral activity is in the White Peak, so the desired outcomes for minerals will have greater relevance to this area. Each chapter sets out the desired outcomes of policy application for the whole National Park and where appropriate for each spatial area. The desired outcomes for each spatial area are summarised below.

# Spatial objectives - How we will achieve our spatial outcomes

## National Park

### Landscape and Conservation policies will:

- Seek strict protection of the Natural Zone
- Manage development through close consideration of landscape character
- Conserve and enhance Conservation Areas
- Work with partners to reduce the size and amount of road signage in open landscapes

### Recreation and Tourism policies will:

- Manage off road recreation so that legitimate uses and users can enjoy the area without damaging the landscape or other users' enjoyment of it
- Enable development of appropriate sites and facilities in settlements shown on the key diagram
- Support low-key development or improvement of facilities in recognised visitor locations where they enhance recreation opportunities and understanding of the National Park
- Encourage and support sustainable travel options that jointly address visitors' and residents' needs

### Climate Change policies will:

- Support measures that conserve the landscape whilst mitigating the impacts of climate change
- Support design and construction techniques that help reduce carbon emissions but also respects the built tradition and character of settlements
- Support development that takes account of the energy hierarchy to reduce the need for energy and to use energy more efficiently
- Support sensitively sited small-scale low carbon and renewable energy development

### Homes and Communities policies in the context of the Development Strategy (DS1) will be able to support:

- Work within these communities to explore and identify the potential for new affordable homes and to meet wider community needs and challenges, particularly where there is no current evidence of capacity
- The provision of new affordable dwellings by buying existing buildings as part of the effective use of the existing housing stock
- Retention and provision of community facilities and services
- The co-location of jobs and homes in order to reduce peoples need to travel

### Economy policies will:

- Support agricultural and land management businesses that conserve and enhance the valued characteristics of the landscape

### Economy policies will (continued):

- Support diversification of agriculture and land management businesses
- Encourage the effective re-use of traditional buildings of merit

### Minerals policies will:

- Resist further proposals for the working of minerals for aggregates; limestone and shale for cement manufacture; large scale building and roofing stone; and limestone for industrial and chemical products
- Only allow the development of small-scale building and roofing stone quarries in exceptional circumstances where the material cannot be sourced from elsewhere and is essential to effect the conservation of buildings in the National Park or nationally important buildings and structures outside the National Park
- Encourage appropriate site restoration

### Transport policies will seek to ensure:

- Development will be focussed on settlements that support and retain existing public transport routes
- Better and more equal opportunities for accessibility whilst minimising the negative impacts of transport
- Long distance traffic will be routed around the Park and traffic management measures will deter unnecessary journeys across the Park
- Motor traffic that does access or cross the National Park will be directed to strategic routes and away from others
- Except in exceptional circumstances transport links, including new roads, which increase the amount of cross park traffic will be opposed
- Appropriate traffic management will improve road safety for residents, visitors and businesses so that all highway users will feel safe whatever their mode of travel
- Improved and well promoted sustainable access to jobs, essential goods, services and activities for all residents of the National Park
- More visitors to the National Park will use sustainable integrated modes of transport
- Gaps in the rights of way network will be linked
- Local distinctiveness will be respected by better conservation and enhancement of the road environment
- The impact of other modes of transport will be managed in accordance with National Park purposes
- There will be innovative and sustainable mechanisms, commensurate with National Park purposes, of alleviating the adverse impacts of traffic in settlements. This includes villages along the A628, the A515, the A619/A623 and Bakewell

Figure 4: National Park spatial objectives

## Dark Peak and Moorland Fringe

### Landscapes and Conservation policies will:

- Protect the remoteness, wildness, open character and tranquillity of the Dark Peak landscapes
- Protect and manage the Eastern Moors upland landscapes including through the promotion of the Moors for the Future Project
- Seek opportunities to manage and enhance cultural heritage, biodiversity, recreational opportunities and tranquillity whilst maintaining the open character
- Manage the landscapes to mitigate the impacts of climate change
- Seek opportunities to protect and manage the tranquil pastoral landscapes and the distinctive cultural character of the Dark Peak Yorkshire Fringe
- Seek opportunities to enhance recreation opportunities, woodlands, wildness, and diversity of more remote areas
- Protect and manage the settled, cultural character and the biodiversity and recreational resources of the Dark Peak Western Fringe whilst maintaining strong cultural associations with the Dark Peak landscapes

### Recreation and Tourism policies will:

- Enable development of appropriate sites and facilities at key sites such as the Hope Valley, Stanage Edge, the Upper Derwent, Langsett and Longshaw
- In countryside locations between the remoter moorlands and surrounding urban areas, limit development to appropriate signage and interpretation, in line with the Recreation Strategy, Interpretation Plan and Working with People and Communities Strategy
- Help constituent councils to use the potential for activity that addresses poor health and improves equality of opportunity
- Support tourist accommodation that is particularly suited to the wilder and quieter areas, such as back-pack or farm-based tent and caravan sites

### Climate Change and Sustainable Building policies will:

- Support work to protect peatland and promote its role as a carbon sink
- Support work to manage floodplain landscapes to increase flood storage and enhance biodiversity
- Protect open skylines, long views and semi-natural moorland expanses Support work to protect peatland and promote its role as a carbon sink

### Homes, Shops and Community Facilities policies (in the context of the Development Strategy (DS1)) will be able to support:

- The provision of affordable homes for local need and consolidate services in the following settlements Edale,



Hayfield, High Bradfield, Holme, Little Hayfield, Low Bradfield, and Tintwistle

- The provision of between 35 and 75 homes in Edale, Hayfield, High Bradfield, Holme, Little Hayfield, Low Bradfield and Tintwistle (depending on identified capacity) with perhaps an additional 35 outside these settlements, agricultural dwellings and change of use or conversion.

### Economy policies will:

- Support agricultural and land management businesses that conserve and enhance the valued characteristics of the landscape.
- Support diversification of agriculture and land management businesses
- Encourage the effective re-use of traditional buildings of merit

### Minerals policies will :

- no specific outcomes for this area

### Accessibility, Travel and Traffic policies will seek to ensure:

- The Woodhead route will be safeguarded but without accepting the principle of a new or reinstated railway
- Opportunities will be taken to increase public transport, particularly if they are integrated with recreational and leisure activities
- The TransPennine Trail will be retained
- The A628 Tintwistle bypass route will not be protected

Figure 5: Dark Peak and Moorland Fringe spatial objectives

## White Peak and Derwent Valley

### Landscape and Conservation policies will:

- Protect and manage the distinctive and valued historic character of the settled, agricultural landscapes of the White Peak, while seeking opportunities to enhance the wild character and diversity of remoter areas
- Protect and manage the settled, agricultural character of the Derwent Valley landscapes, seeking opportunities to enhance wooded character, cultural heritage and biodiversity
- Manage floodplain landscapes to increase flood storage and enhance biodiversity
- Protect and manage the tranquil pastoral landscapes and distinctive cultural character of the Derbyshire Peak Fringe through sustainable landscape management, seeking opportunities to enhance woodlands, wetlands, cultural heritage and biodiversity

### Recreation and Tourism policies will:

- Support the development of appropriate facilities in recognised visitor locations such as Bakewell, Castleton, the Hope Valley, Dovedale, Chatsworth and Ilam
- Support work that maintains and fills gaps in the rights of way network
- Protect the recreational value of the Manifold, Tissington, and High Peak trails
- Retain the continuity of the Monsal Trail and explore its further potential
- Consolidate Bakewell's role as a tourist centre and a hub from which to explore other attractions
- Support the change of use of traditional buildings to visitor accommodation
- Enable a new hotel in Bakewell

### Climate Change policies will:

- Support work to manage floodplain landscapes and enhance biodiversity

### Homes and Communities policies (in the context of the Development Strategy (DS1)) will be able to support:

- The provision of between 550 and 890 homes, affordable homes for local need and consolidate services in the following settlements Alstonefield, Ashford, Bakewell, Bamford, Baslow, Beeley, Biggin, Birchover, Bradwell, Calver, Castleton, Chelmorton, Curbar, Earl Sterndale, Edensor, Elton, Eyam, Fenny Bentley, Flagg, Foolow, Froggatt, Great Hucklow, Great Longstone, Grindleford, Hartington, Hathersage, Hope, Litton, Middleton by Youlgrave, Monyash, Over Haddon, Parwich, Peak Forest, Pilsley, Rowsley, Stanton in Peak, Stoney Middleton, Taddington, Thorpe, Tideswell, Tissington, Wardlow, Wensley, Wetton, Winster, and Youlgrave (depending on identified capacity)



with perhaps 125 outside these settlements, agricultural dwellings and change of use or conversion

### Economy policies will:

- Support business start-up and development particularly where it creates high skill - high wage jobs in the places shown on the key diagram
- Retain and enhance the role of Bakewell as a market town and centre for agricultural business
- Safeguard employment sites in sustainable locations such as Bakewell, Tideswell and through the Hope Valley, but consider redevelopment of lower quality employment sites in less sustainable locations for other uses including mixed use
- Support agricultural and land management businesses that conserve and enhance the valued characteristics of the landscape
- Support diversification of agriculture and land management businesses;
- Encourage the effective re-use of traditional buildings of merit

### Minerals policies will:

- Allow the underground working of fluorspar ore from Watersaw and Milldam Mines whilst resisting proposals for fluorspar working by opencast methods

### Accessibility, Travel, and Traffic policies will seek to ensure:

- The line of the Bakewell relief road will not be safeguarded
- Opportunities will be taken to enhance services on the Hope Valley Railway Line, particularly if they demonstrate a lasting decrease in private cars on adjacent roads
- The Matlock to Buxton route will be safeguarded but without accepting the principle of a new or reinstated railway
- The Monsal Trail will be retained

**Figure 6: White Peak and Derwent Valley spatial objectives**



## South West Peak

### Landscape and Conservation policies will:

- Protect and manage the distinctive historic character of the landscapes
- Seek opportunities to celebrate the diverse landscapes
- Enhance recreation opportunities, woodlands, wildness and diversity of remoter areas

### Recreation and Tourism policies will:

- Manage off-road recreation so that legitimate uses and users can enjoy the area without damaging the landscape or other peoples' enjoyment of it
- Support measures to improve visitor access into and around the area

### Climate Change policies will:

- Support work to manage floodplain landscapes and enhance biodiversity
- Support work to protect peatland and promote its role as a carbon sink

### Homes and Communities policies (in the context of the Development Strategy (DS1)) will be able to support:

- The provision of affordable homes for local need and consolidate services in the following settlements: Butterton, Calton, Flash, Grindon, Kettleshulme, Longnor, Rainow, Waterhouses, and Warslow
- The provision of between 30 and 130 homes in Butterton, Calton, Flash, Grindon, Kettleshulme, Longnor, Rainow, Sheen, Waterhouses and Warslow (depending on identified capacity) with perhaps an additional 30 outside these settlements, agricultural dwellings and change of use or conversion.

### Economy policies will:

- Seek to retain an appropriate range of employment sites in sustainable locations such as Longnor and Warslow
- Support agricultural and land management businesses that conserve and enhance the valued characteristics of the landscape
- Support diversification of agriculture and land management businesses
- Encourage the effective re-use of traditional buildings of merit

### Minerals policies will:

- No specific outcomes for this area

### Accessibility, Travel and Traffic policies will seek to ensure:

- Increasing sustainable access for residents and visitors to key services, facilities and visitor places of interest



**Figure 7: South West Peak spatial objectives**

## The development strategy

- 8.5 The development strategy (DS1) indicates what types of development are acceptable in principle in settlements and in the countryside. The emphasis is on sensitive, managed delivery in order to meet our purposes to conserve and enhance the Peak District. The strategy outlines development expectations for all settlements, stating clearly what type of development can happen in places that are named, and in places that are not. It retains flexibility that safeguards and strengthens every settlement's existing role and conserves and enhances their valued characteristics.
- 8.6 The choice of named settlements reflects their role as part of a Park-wide network of communities and their need and capacity for new development, particularly for new affordable housing. This is a sustainable approach based on national and local policy, reflecting a consensus at all levels for low levels of new development in the National Park with most going to larger settlements in neighbouring areas.
- 8.7 The National Park Authority must consider socio-economic trends, to ensure that policies can mitigate negative trends and support improvements to the social and economic circumstances of residents and business. In summary:
- Population has remained relatively stable at around 38,000 since 1991 but the trend is decline rather than growth;
  - Population density is far lower than the average for the East Midlands or England;
  - In 2001 the average age of residents was 43 years, 4.5 years higher than in England;
  - The trend of an ageing population for England will probably be more marked within the National Park;
  - There are proportionally fewer children and young adults, but more people aged 60 and over;
  - There is likely to be a decline in the working age population, and a significant increase in people aged 60 and over;
  - Change in the population structure caused by the buying and selling of existing housing stock will outweigh any change caused by predicted rates of new building;
  - A larger proportion of households have access to a car than in England as a whole and a higher proportion of households own one or more cars;
  - Most people are not isolated from jobs or services;
  - There is anecdotal evidence of small numbers of people for whom access to a car is difficult and public transport is the only alternative.
- 8.8 The development strategy approach balances the needs of existing National Park residents and the sustainable provision of services to them and to future generations, recognising the likely relatively higher future cost of doing so. The modest level of jobs and services in most settlements is supplemented by relatively easy and quick access to surrounding larger towns and cities. About 50% of working residents travel outside the National Park for work, whilst 40% of jobs based in the National Park are filled by people travelling in from outside.
- 8.9 In developing the spatial strategy it is clear that whilst the policy principles are consistent across the whole National Park, there are different characteristics and circumstances in different areas:
- In the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes there are few settlements, with the most significant populations located at the fringes, e.g. High and Low Bradfield in relation to Sheffield, and Holme close to Holmfirth. This relationship to nearby urban areas provides an essential functional link for jobs, services and leisure.
  - By contrast, in the White Peak and Derwent Valley there is a strong network of settlements providing a good range of goods and services, and supported by

Bakewell at its centre. Settlement tends to be strongly nucleated, with most farmsteads and homes concentrated in a central village within each parish.

- The South West Peak is characterised by dispersed settlement with a handful of more nucleated villages such as Longnor and Warslow, providing important local services to farms and homes scattered across the landscape.
- 8.10 Particularly in the White Peak and South West Peak, there is an important interplay between communities who share and support key services such as schools, shops, community halls and sports facilities. Furthermore the whole area benefits from good access to a higher order range of services that exist in the nearby towns and cities outside the National Park other than the pocket shown on Figure 2: Spatial portrait.
- 8.11 Bakewell is the only settlement inside the National Park with a population of more than 3,000. Only five other settlements have populations over 1,000. Many places have a population of fewer than 500. Most places named in the Development Strategy have a moderate range of services and facilities or reasonable access to them elsewhere. Only Bakewell serves as a significant service centre for both its own population and its hinterland, although settlements in the Hope Valley and medium sized villages such as Tideswell, Warslow and Longnor provide a more limited range of services to their hinterlands.
- 8.12 Bakewell is the only market town within the National Park, well known and valued for its attractive and historic character, accentuated by its setting in the Wye Valley. It possesses a larger range of services, retail and business opportunities than any other settlement in the National Park, and fulfils an equally important role as a visitor destination. These characteristics provide a strong foundation for the approach to Bakewell in DS1.
- 8.13 Constituent and neighbouring local authorities' plans outline development expectations for settlements just outside the National Park. In general, they are focal points for housing and business investment, reflecting their role as important centres which also serve National Park residents. National policy is clear that any development in these areas must reflect the environmental and special qualities of the National Park and its setting.
- 8.14 The key diagram shows settlements around the National Park and their role. Core Strategies of constituent authorities describe the following places variously as district centre, large local centre, market town or town: Holmfirth, Penistone, Stocksbridge, Wirksworth, Ashbourne, Leek, Chapel-en-le Frith, New Mills and Whaley Bridge. For this Core Strategy they are identified on the key diagram as 'strategically significant small towns'. Adjoining Core Strategies give various names to larger settlements, which are identified on the key diagram as 'strategically significant medium large towns': Huddersfield, Barnsley Chesterfield, Matlock, Buxton, Macclesfield, Stockport, Glossop, Ashton-under-Lyne and Oldham. Large cities like Sheffield, Manchester, Derby and Stoke are at the top of this hierarchy. In the wider context, the Manchester and Sheffield City Regions also affect the National Park.
- 8.15 At a strategic level, sustainable development requires local authorities to look across boundaries and adopt policies that complement each other. Policies for the National Park do this by recognising that larger towns and cities are naturally better places for the majority of new development.
- 8.16 The benefits of an extensive green infrastructure adjacent to large urban areas are strongly expressed by local authorities in those areas. The green infrastructure provided by both natural and cultural heritage assets will be rigorously protected through policy. The Strategy also recognises the potential to increase green infrastructure by improving natural resource management, and seeks to increase access into the National Park by means other than the private car so that people can enjoy the area in a more sustainable

way. This will increase enjoyment and understanding as well as improve peoples' health and well-being.

- 8.17 All of this supports the conclusion that the development strategy for the National Park should retain a flexible range of settlements operating beneath the strategies of larger urban and rural market town neighbours. It is a balanced approach taking into account the way in which communities work together, providing protection for the characteristics of landscape and settlement, providing appropriate scope for new development to maintain and support community life in a range of settlements, and giving reasonable opportunities for development for those people with an essential need to be based in more remote countryside locations.
- 8.18 Policy DS1 names settlements following an analysis of their location, size and function, range of services and/or ease of access to services by public transport, and their capacity for new development. It has also used detailed input from the parish councils, offering communities the chance to influence the future shape and growth of settlements.
- 8.19 The strategy seeks to direct development to the most sustainable locations based on a range of criteria:
- an assessment of a settlement's built character and landscape setting (based on landscape character assessment and Conservation Area appraisal);
  - accessibility<sup>23</sup> to primary schools and secondary schools;
  - accessibility to GPs and hospitals;
  - accessibility to a Post Office;
  - whether the settlement is within 1 mile of an A or B road;
  - whether the settlement has a convenience food shop;
  - whether the settlement has a community hall;
  - the level of public transport services to and from the village at hours that enable access to jobs and services in other settlements;
  - the capacity for new development based on studies of capacity and specialist officer knowledge; and
  - the eligible need for affordable housing.
- 8.20 It is not possible to meet all these criteria in every location. The range and accessibility of services and facilities varies, with a higher proportion of settlements in the White Peak possessing a better range of services than in the Dark Peak and South West Peak, where services are scattered across a number of smaller settlements. The criteria represent a mixture of national policy on sustainability of location alongside the essential character and appearance of the landscape and built environment, evidence on the eligible local need for affordable housing, and a conclusion as to the available capacity. Decisions have not been made on the basis of any one criterion; and the naming of a settlement is the starting point for decisions alongside other core policies. For example, although improved access to public transport is a worthy aim, the reality in many places is a reliance on privately-owned vehicles and therefore a named settlement may have poor public transport but satisfy other criteria.
- 8.21 Appendix 3 presents this information for all settlements named in policy DS1. It should be read by anyone proposing development in these settlements. It introduces a note of caution about development levels that might otherwise be considered appropriate to a settlement's size and function, and justifies the policy approach that requires a settlement-wide analysis of acceptable sites. The level of development required to meet eligible housing need in most settlements is extremely low, so in most cases it is unlikely that this approach will stifle necessary development.

---

<sup>23</sup> 'accessibility' is used here to mean the ability to get to a service within 30 minutes by public transport. Accessibility varies from settlement to settlement and is only one factor in determining the sustainability of a development proposal.

- 8.22 DS1 applies a flexible approach to settlement planning by identifying a list of settlements which meet the broad criteria set out in paragraph 8.19. However, there is uncertainty in some of these places as to their capacity for larger scale development (3 or more new homes), and their potential to meet all of the known eligible affordable housing need without harm to the character and appearance of the settlement and living conditions of residents. Appendix 3 highlights places where evidence suggests a precautionary approach to development should be taken, until a closer assessment of sites and village character has been done. This retains the flexibility valued by local communities and social housing providers, and recognises that addressing eligible affordable housing need must be done in a positive way that conserves and enhances the built environment and living conditions.
- 8.23 The remaining settlements are very small, and policy DS1 clarifies the limited opportunities for development appropriate to these places. Parishes such as Sheldon, Wincle and Hollinsclough which do not contain a settlement named in Policy DS1 are shown unshaded on Figure 8 below. Their needs will continue to be met by the cascade approach defined in the SPG<sup>24</sup>, which allows for the eligible affordable housing need of the settlements in these parishes to be met in nearby larger settlements.
- 8.24 The National Park Authority recognises that there may be exceptional circumstances in some smaller settlements (such as relatively large former industrial sites) which do not fit easily within the scope of the settlement strategy. In these cases the Authority will assist communities to prepare parish plans, taking into account valued characteristics and community priorities. This will provide opportunities to address local aspirations in an open and constructive dialogue, seeking to identify the best solution to deliver this spatial strategy.
- 8.25 An over-supply of new development outside named settlements would adversely affect the sustainability of the area. It would exacerbate problems for service providers, and potentially place more people in remote locations where social interaction and service provision is more difficult, particularly for less mobile members of society, both young and old. It is estimated that the outcome of the strategy will be to direct 80 to 90% of all new housing development towards the named settlements.
- 8.26 The National Park Authority will work with communities and housing providers to address community need. This is particularly important where there is a wide disparity between eligible affordable housing need and known capacity for new development.
- 8.27 DS1 is compatible with and complements the strategies of constituent and neighbouring local authorities, and does not affect their ability to deliver what is needed. In settlements on the fringes of the National Park, surrounding authorities seek development that satisfies the local need for housing and the sustainability of communities. Their plans recognise that permitting development beyond this would be unsustainable and would divert investment from more sustainable settlements. Their policies encourage growth in places like New Mills, Glossop, Buxton, Ashbourne and Leek, whilst National Park policies encourage development for eligible local need only (not growth) in places like Hayfield, Bradwell, and Tideswell (see Key Diagram page 29).
- 8.28 The National Park Authority will monitor the achievement of the development strategy through Annual Monitoring Reports. It will carefully monitor the demand for new development in the smaller settlements.

---

<sup>24</sup> PDNPA (2001) Supplementary Planning Guidance: Meeting the local need for affordable housing in the National Park.




Figure 8: Parishes within the Peak District National Park

## DS1: Development strategy

- A. To promote a sustainable distribution and level of growth and support the effective conservation and enhancement of the National Park, the following principles will be applied to determine proposals for new development. These principles must be considered in relation to the specific core polices in this plan and the subsequent Development Management Policies DPD.
- B. The majority of new development (including about 80 to 90% of new homes) will be directed into Bakewell and named settlements, with the remainder occurring in other settlements and the rest of the countryside.
- C. In all settlements and in the countryside outside the Natural Zone the following forms of development will be acceptable in principle (where permission is required):
- agriculture, forestry, and other rural enterprises requiring a rural location, including farm diversification;
  - extensions to existing buildings;
  - recreation and tourism;
  - mineral working;
  - conversion or change of use for housing, community facilities and business uses including visitor accommodation, preferably by re-use of traditional buildings;
  - renewable energy infrastructure;
  - utilities infrastructure;
  - other development and alternative uses needed to secure effective conservation and enhancement.
- D. In Bakewell and the following named settlements there is additional scope to maintain and improve the sustainability and vitality of communities across the National Park. In or on the edge of these settlements new build development will be acceptable for affordable housing, community facilities and small-scale retail and business premises. Other than in Bakewell, no development boundaries will be drawn.
- |                          |                                  |                             |                    |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| • Alstonefield           | • Edensor                        | • Hayfield                  | • Sheen            |
| • Ashford                | • Elton                          | • High Bradfield            | • Stanton in Peak  |
| • Bamford                | • Eyam                           | • Low Bradfield             | • Stoney Middleton |
| • Baslow and<br>Bubnell  | • Fenny<br>Bentley               | • Holme                     | • Taddington       |
| • Beeley                 | • Flagg                          | • Hope                      | • Thorpe           |
| • Biggin                 | • Flash                          | • Kettlehulme               | • Tideswell        |
| • Birchover              | • Foolow                         | • Little Hayfield           | • Tintwistle       |
| • Bradwell               | • Froggatt                       | • Litton                    | • Tissington       |
| • Butterton              | • Great<br>Hucklow               | • Longnor                   | • Wardlow          |
| • Calton                 | • Great<br>Longstone             | • Middleton<br>by Youlgrave | • Warslow          |
| • Calver                 | • Grindleford                    | • Monyash                   | • Waterhouses      |
| • Castleton              | • and Nether<br>Padley           | • Over Haddon               | • Wensley          |
| • Chelmorton             | • Grindon                        | • Parwich                   | • Wetton           |
| • Curbar                 | • Hartington                     | • Peak Forest               | • Winster          |
| • Earl<br>Sterndale      | • Hathersage<br>with<br>Outseats | • Pilsley                   | • Youlgrave        |
| • Edale<br>(Grindsbrook) |                                  | • Rainow                    |                    |
|                          |                                  | • Rowsley                   |                    |

E. Where there is pressure for development and the National Park Authority is uncertain about the capacity for this in a named settlement, an assessment of site alternatives will be required to demonstrate the extent of development which may be permitted. This process should involve the Parish Council or Parish Meeting and demonstrate that the proposed development complements:


- the settlement's overall pattern of development;
- the character and setting of nearby buildings and structures; and
- the character of the landscape in which the settlement sits.

F. In addition to the general scope for development set out above, in Bakewell the spatial strategy will also seek to:

- retain a development boundary;
- protect the range and integrity of the Central Shopping Area;
- safeguard employment sites and promote the take-up and enhancement of under-used employment sites; and
- offer scope for a new build hotel to serve the town and improve the range of visitor accommodation available within the National Park.



## 9. Landscapes and conservation



## 9. Landscapes and conservation

---

### Introduction

- 9.1 The policies in this chapter relate to conservation and enhancement of landscape character, valued characteristics, biodiversity and cultural heritage.
- 9.2 The approach represents the best way to conserve and enhance landscape and valued characteristics whilst addressing the social and economic needs and aspirations of residents and businesses. The profile of landscape character assessment has been elevated alongside other valued characteristics.
- 9.3 Policy L1 covers valued characteristics and landscape character. Policy L2 relates to sites, features and species of biodiversity or geo-diversity importance and their settings. Policy L3 relates to cultural heritage assets and their settings. In this way, policies for landscapes and conservation cover the core matters defined under the Environment Act 1995.
- 9.4 Since the former Structure Plan and 2001 Local Plan, there has been a rise in the profile of landscape character assessment and a push to manage development at a landscape scale. For example, PPS7<sup>25</sup> asks planning authorities to integrate landscape character work with land use plans. This Core Strategy does this, and in so doing helps deliver the National Park Management Plan outcome for natural beauty. This requires that the whole landscape is conserved and enhanced in accordance with a clear landscape characterisation. The resulting Landscape Strategy<sup>26</sup> includes an action plan and a Landscape Character Assessment which now forms a strong material consideration when making or deciding a planning application. Policies L2 and L3 are complemented by the Biodiversity Action Plan<sup>27</sup> and the Cultural Heritage Strategy<sup>28</sup>.
- 9.5 It is not always possible to retain the landscape in exactly the same form that is currently valued, for instance factors such as climate change will lead to incremental changes in biodiversity. Chapter 11: Climate change and Sustainable Building suggests appropriate mitigation and adaptation measures with the emphasis being careful management of change.
- 9.6 Whilst some landscape change is inevitable and policies for a living landscape accept appropriate flexibility for certain forms of development in the countryside (see policy DS1), there are areas that are underpinned by other layers of national or international designation, such as landscape and species protected as part of the Natura 2000 network<sup>29</sup> or Conservation Areas protecting the historic built environment. A stronger level of protection will apply in these areas.
- 9.7 Across the National Park there are wilder areas with minimal obvious human influence whose 'more natural' beauty it is, in the opinion of the National Park Authority, particularly important to conserve. The Authority calls these areas the Natural Zone. The exceptional circumstances in which development can take place in the Natural Zone are explained in Local Plan policy LC1. These circumstances will be reviewed in the subsequent Development Management Policies Development Plan Document (DPD) and shown in

---

<sup>25</sup> ODPM (2004) Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas

<sup>26</sup> PDNPA (2009) Landscape Strategy and Action Plan

<sup>27</sup> PDNPA (2008) A living landscape growing together: Peak District Biodiversity Action Plan Mid Term Review 2001 -2007

<sup>28</sup> PDNPA (2005) Peak through time: Cultural Heritage Strategy for the Peak District National Park

<sup>29</sup> European Community (1979) Birds Directive 79/409/EEC European Community (2001) Habitats Directive 2001/42/EEC

the supporting proposals map. The Natural Zone includes areas mapped and reviewed under section 3 of the Wildlife and Countryside (Amendment) Act 1985.

- 9.8 Delivery of core policies will be measured by the extent to which the desired outcomes of the plan are achieved as indicated in Annual Monitoring Reports. An important part of this process will be monitoring of planning approvals, and enforcement against unauthorised activity. This will be supplemented by monitoring of landscape character as part of the Landscape Strategy Action Plan, and monitoring of Cultural Heritage Assets including a rolling programme of Conservation Area re-appraisals.

### **National policy context**

- 9.9 National policy on the importance of biodiversity, cultural heritage and natural beauty is set out in PPS7 and further guidance is supplied by the 2010 National Parks Circular<sup>30</sup>. These state that the Government continues to regard national park designation as conferring the highest status of protection as far as landscape and natural beauty is concerned. It also states that national parks make an important contribution to the cultural and natural heritage of the nation.
- 9.10 The European Landscape Convention<sup>31</sup>, Planning Policy Statements and other guidance<sup>32</sup> now encourage the integration of landscape character and land use planning across all landscapes countrywide. Planning authorities are strongly encouraged to use spatial policies to prioritise areas where they will conserve natural beauty, biodiversity and geo-diversity and protect cultural heritage. It encourages LDF criteria-based policies to ensure that development proposals respect intrinsic landscape character in rural areas. This document achieves this whilst criteria-based policies will be set out in a subsequent Development Management Policies DPD document. Detailed Local Plan policies will continue to apply until they are replaced.
- 9.11 National policy<sup>33</sup> requires all planning authorities to protect the most valued townscapes and landscapes, wildlife habitats and natural resources, with national and international designations (e.g. national parks) receiving the highest level of protection.

### **Contribution to spatial outcomes**

- 9.12 The policies contribute towards the achievement of the spatial outcome for landscapes and conservation, which states that the valued characteristics and natural beauty of the National Park will be conserved and enhanced in accordance with Landscape Strategy guidelines, Conservation Area management plans, the Biodiversity Action Plan and the Cultural Heritage Strategy. This in turn contributes towards the achievement of National Park Management Plan outcomes for biodiversity, cultural heritage and natural beauty. The policies will also help to achieve the spatial outcome to help mitigate climate change, for example when moorland management locks in rather than loses carbon. In many other circumstances, they will ensure sustainable management of landscapes that are valued and needed by land managers and tourism businesses. This is effective management of the National Park's green infrastructure and will make the rural economy

---

<sup>30</sup> Defra (2010) English National Parks and the Broads: UK Government Vision and Circular

<sup>31</sup> Council of Europe (2000) European Landscape Convention

<sup>32</sup> ODPM (2005) Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development.

HMSO (1995) Environment Act Part 3 and Circular 12/96 National Parks

HMSO (2005) The Wildlife and Countryside (Amendment) Act.

HMSO (2006) The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act.

DCLG (2004) Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas.

DCLG (2001) Planning Policy Guidance 8: Telecommunications.

DCLG (2008) Planning Policy Statement 12: Local Spatial Planning.

<sup>33</sup> ODPM (2005) Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development

stronger and more sustainable, and help to achieve spatial outcomes for the economy, recreation and tourism.

9.13 It is not always possible to retain the landscape in exactly the same form that is currently valued, for instance factors such as climate change will lead to incremental changes in biodiversity. Chapter 11: Climate change and Sustainable Building suggests appropriate mitigation and adaptation measures with the emphasis being careful management of change.

9.14 Core policies for landscapes and conservation will contribute to the spatial outcomes as follows:

Across the whole National Park policies will:

- Apply strict protection of the Natural Zone;
- Manage development through careful consideration of landscape character;
- Conserve and enhance Conservation Areas;
- Support work with partners to reduce the size and amount of road signage in open landscapes;
- Conserve and enhance green infrastructure

Across the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes policies will:

- Protect the remoteness, wildness, open character and tranquillity of the Dark Peak landscapes including through the continued promotion of the Moors for the Future project;
- Protect and manage the Eastern Moors open upland landscapes;
- Seek opportunities to manage and enhance cultural heritage, biodiversity, recreational opportunities and tranquillity whilst maintaining the open character;
- Manage the peat landscapes to mitigate the impacts of climate change;
- Seek opportunities to protect and manage the tranquil pastoral landscapes and the distinctive cultural character of the Dark Peak Yorkshire Fringe;
- Seek opportunities to enhance recreation opportunities, woodlands, wildness, and diversity of more remote areas;
- Protect and manage the settled, cultural character and the biodiversity and recreational resources of the Dark Peak Western Fringe, whilst maintaining strong cultural associations with the Dark Peak landscapes

Across the White Peak and Derwent Valley policies will:

- Protect and manage the distinctive and valued historic character of the settled, agricultural landscapes of the White Peak, while seeking opportunities to enhance the wild character and diversity of remoter areas;
- Protect and manage the settled, agricultural character of the Derwent Valley landscapes, seeking opportunities to enhance wooded character, cultural heritage and biodiversity;
- Manage floodplain landscapes to increase flood storage and enhance biodiversity;
- Protect and manage the tranquil pastoral landscapes and distinctive cultural character of the Derbyshire Peak Fringe through sustainable landscape management, seeking opportunities to enhance woodlands, wetlands, cultural heritage and biodiversity

Across the South West Peak policies will

- Protect and manage the distinctive historic character of the landscapes;
- Seek opportunities to celebrate the diverse landscapes;

- Enhance recreation opportunities, woodlands, wildness and diversity of remoter areas

## The policies

- L1 - Landscape character and valued characteristics
- L2 - Sites of biodiversity or geo-diversity importance
- L3 - Cultural heritage assets of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic significance

## Landscape character and valued characteristics

9.15 This policy raises the profile of Landscape Character Assessment, and requires all development to conserve and enhance the valued characteristics and landscape character of the National Park. The National Park Authority's adopted Landscape Strategy and Action Plan<sup>34</sup> makes it clear what should be conserved and enhanced. Paragraph 9.14 above includes summary points from the Landscape Strategy, but applicants are advised to familiarise themselves with the full Landscape Strategy as an aid to making an application for planning permission which has landscape implications. For the purposes of this Core Strategy, the valued characteristics include:

- natural beauty, natural heritage, landscape character and diversity of landscapes;
- sense of wildness and remoteness;
- clean earth, air and water;
- importance of wildlife and the area's unique biodiversity;
- thousands of years of human influence which can be traced through the landscape;
- distinctive character of hamlets, villages and towns;
- trees, woodlands, hedgerows, stone walls, field barns and other landscape features;
- significant geological features;
- wealth of historic buildings, and registered parks and gardens;
- opportunities to experience tranquillity and quiet enjoyment;
- opportunities to experience dark skies;
- opportunities for outdoor recreation and adventure;
- opportunities to improve physical and emotional well being;
- easy accessibility for visitors from surrounding urban areas;
- vibrancy and sense of community;
- cultural heritage of history, archaeology, customs, traditions, legends, arts and literary associations;
- environmentally friendly methods of farming and working the land;
- craft and cottage industries;
- special value attached to the national park by surrounding urban communities;
- the flow of landscape character across and beyond the National Park boundary, providing a continuity of landscape and valued setting for the National Park;
- any other feature or attribute which make up its special quality and sense of place.

9.16 The European Landscape Convention defines landscape as "*an area, as perceived by people, whose character is the result of the action and interaction of natural and/or human factors.*" Compliance with European convention is a requirement in all plan making, to encourage a joined-up approach through policy and planning in all areas of land use, development and management. It encourages the integration of landscape character assessment and land use planning. Policy L1 achieves this through an

---

<sup>34</sup> This strategy is underpinned by Peak District Historic Landscape Characterisation

integrated approach covering landscape character and valued characteristics, as described in the Peak District Landscape Strategy and Action Plan<sup>35</sup> and paragraph 9.15 above.

9.17 Alongside the adopted Landscape Strategy, legislation<sup>36</sup> requires the National Park Authority to identify areas which it considers are particularly important to conserve. These areas are largely underpinned by Natura 2000 sites and for spatial planning purposes the Authority calls these areas the Natural Zone<sup>37</sup>. To qualify for inclusion, areas must substantially include:

- a quality of ‘wilderness’;
- relatively natural vegetation which is largely self sown;
- few obvious signs of human influence such as field boundaries;
- ‘open country’ which has particular importance for certain types of recreation associated with adventure and contact with nature;
- high wildlife value; comprising habitats falling within the statutory Section 3 Map (or limestone dale) definition\*;
- natural beauty, which in the opinion of the National Park Authority, is particularly important to conserve.

\*The type of land eligible for inclusion on the Section 3 map is statutorily defined (Wildlife and Countryside (Amendment) Act, 1995) as “*areas of mountain, moor, heath, woodland, down, cliff or foreshore whose natural beauty it is, in the opinion of the authority, particularly important to conserve.*”

9.18 The National Park Authority uses the Natural Zone as a planning tool to create a stronger presumption against development. It has been successful in achieving this, and the Authority does not believe that criteria-based policies alone would be as effective. For this reason the Natural Zone is retained in this core strategy, and its extent is indicated on the key diagram.

9.19 The exceptional circumstances in which development can take place in the Natural Zone are explained in Local Plan policy LC1<sup>38</sup>. These circumstances will be reviewed in the subsequent Development Management Policies DPD and the Natural Zone extent will be defined in the supporting Proposals Map.

9.20 National policies emphasise the need to map out, and then conserve and enhance landscapes of natural beauty, in addition to identifying, conserving and enhancing areas with national, regional and local designations. The Landscape Strategy is therefore an integral part of this LDF. In national parks, policies must recognise that the scenic beauty of landscapes has the highest level of protection, and good siting and design is essential for all development. The policies in this chapter, together with Local Plan policies, achieve this.

9.21 The 2010 National Parks Circular<sup>39</sup> requires National Park Authorities to document and clearly express the special qualities of the National Park, and continue to ensure the conservation of the natural beauty of the area for which they are responsible. For the avoidance of doubt, for the purposes of this Core Strategy the National Park Authority considers that the valued characteristics listed in paragraph 9.15 are the special qualities.

---

<sup>35</sup> PDNPA (2009) Landscape Strategy

<sup>36</sup> HMSO (1995) The Wildlife and Countryside (Amendment) Act

<sup>37</sup> PDNPA (2001) Peak District National Park Local Plan

<sup>38</sup> PDNPA (2001) Peak District National Park Local Plan

<sup>39</sup> Defra (2010) English National Parks and the Broads: UK Government Vision and Circular

## **L1: Landscape character and valued characteristics**

- A. Development must conserve and enhance valued landscape character, as identified in the Landscape Strategy and Action Plan, and other valued characteristics.
- B. Other than in exceptional circumstances, proposals for development in the Natural Zone will not be permitted.

### **Sites of biodiversity or geodiversity importance**

- 9.22 Sites of biodiversity or geodiversity importance play a vital role within the often unique ecosystems of the National Park and the region. This strong policy principle is important in supporting other areas of the plan. For example, restoring 1km<sup>2</sup> of peat moorland not only protects the eco-system but leads to a carbon saving of 609 to 1128 tonnes of equivalent CO<sub>2</sub>/yr. Moorland management also assists water management and reduces the risk of flooding in areas such as the River Derwent as it flows through Derby and other urban areas. This is a vital contribution to the National Park's green infrastructure.
- 9.23 The 2010 National Parks Circular has a priority outcome for 2010–15 to secure a diverse and healthy natural environment, enhance cultural heritage and inspire lifelong behavioural change. Part of this aim is that biodiversity is valued, safeguarded and enhanced.
- 9.24 National policy justifies the approach in the National Park. It requires LDFs to indicate sites of biodiversity and geo-diversity designation; distinguish between national, regional, and local designations; and put in place policies to help restore or create priority habitats in line with national and regional biodiversity action plans. With the 2010 National Parks Circular, policy confirms that every public authority must, in exercising its functions, have regard so far is consistent with the proper exercise of those functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity and should enable the conservation of sites and areas designated for the value of their biodiversity. The following policy is also necessary to aid conservation and enhancement of habitats, species and natural resources and to meet the requirements of PPS9<sup>40</sup>.
- 9.25 PPS1<sup>41</sup> requires local policies that conserve and enhance wildlife species and enhance as well as protect biodiversity and natural habitats. The emerging PPS: Planning for a Natural and Healthy Environment states that planning should conserve and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity and ensure that the natural environment is integrated into the strategic vision of communities. Policies and decisions should ensure that construction, development and regeneration enhances biodiversity wherever possible and that there is no net loss to biodiversity.
- 9.26 Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping will be used to further identify areas with significant potential for biodiversity enhancement or habitat creation. This will add a further strategic level to land management for biodiversity.
- 9.27 The National Park Authority will consider all proposals for development individually and in combination with other proposed and previous developments, to ensure a net positive impact on sites, features or species.

<sup>40</sup> ODPM (2005) Planning Policy Statement 9: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation

<sup>41</sup> ODPM (2005) Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development; and emerging PPS: Planning for a Natural and Healthy Environment

9.28 Proposals likely to affect designated or candidate sites of international importance known collectively as Natura 2000 sites, comprising Special Areas of Conservation (SACs)<sup>42</sup> and Special Protection Areas (SPAs)<sup>43</sup>, are subject to separate statutory procedures such as the Appropriate Assessment under the Habitats Regulations<sup>44</sup> designed to provide the highest levels of safeguarding. Specific policies are not included for these sites, but the Authority will consider these internationally important sites under L2 and show them on a subsequent proposals map with associated Development Management policies.

9.29 The sites, features and species covered by this policy include:

- Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs);
- National Nature Reserves (NNRs);
- Species listed under the schedules 1, 5 or 8 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 or subsequent legislation or reviews;
- Local Nature Reserves;
- Local Wildlife Sites or their equivalent;
- Regionally Important Geological Sites, or their equivalent;
- National, regional or local Biodiversity Action Plan priority habitats or species;
- Significant populations of national or local Red Data Book or Notable species.

9.30 Enabling movement of species across the landscape is an important conservation objective, made more urgent by the likely impact of climate change (see chapter 11 also). Over the next plan period there will be circumstances where adverse impacts, caused in whole or part by climate change, are already in train and unavoidable. The policy, therefore, also covers the following:

- Sites that provide, or could provide, linkages, stepping-stones or corridors between national or local priority habitats, and populations of priority species or other important features.

**L2: Sites of biodiversity or geodiversity importance**

- A. Development must conserve and enhance any sites, features or species of biodiversity importance and where appropriate their setting.
- B. Other than in exceptional circumstances development will not be permitted where it is likely to have an adverse impact on any sites, features or species of biodiversity importance or their setting that have statutory designation or are of international or national importance for their biodiversity.
- C. Development must conserve and enhance any sites or features of geodiversity importance and where appropriate their setting.
- D. Other than in exceptional circumstances, development will not be permitted where it is likely to have an adverse impact on any sites or features of geodiversity importance or their setting that have statutory designation or are of international or national importance for their geodiversity.

<sup>42</sup> A habitat and wildlife protection designation under the European Community Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)

<sup>43</sup> A wild bird protection designation under the European Community Bird Directive (79/409/EEC)

<sup>44</sup> HMSO (1994) Statutory Instrument No. 2716: The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations



## Cultural heritage assets of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic significance

- 9.31 This policy covers all cultural heritage assets of significance. The 2010 National Parks Circular states that cultural heritage and landscape are fundamental to quality of place, and as they are central to attractiveness, distinctiveness, diversity and quality of place in the Parks, they should be protected and enhanced.
- 9.32 The Government's vision for the historic environment<sup>45</sup> is that the value of the historic environment is recognised by all who have the power to shape it; that Government gives it proper recognition; and that it is managed intelligently and in a way that fully realises its contribution to the economic, social and cultural life of the nation. The Government also recognises that, in shaping places, authorities at all levels must give priority to creating high quality environments for those who use them, developing and implementing policies which seek to retain local distinctiveness and giving due weight to the obligation to protect, enhance and promote the historic environment.
- 9.33 PPS7 states that nationally designated areas e.g. national parks have the highest status of protection and that because of national park purposes the conservation of the natural beauty of the landscape and countryside (including cultural heritage) should be given great weight in planning policies and development control decisions.
- 9.34 PPS5<sup>46</sup> describes 'heritage assets' as those parts of the historic environment that have significance to this and future generations because of their historic, archaeological, architectural or artistic interest. 'Significance' is described as the value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. Some assets possess a level of significance that justifies formal designation, such as World Heritage Site, Scheduled Monument, Listed Building, Registered Park and Garden, or Conservation Area. However, the PPS also covers heritage assets that are not designated, but which are of heritage significance. These are also a material planning consideration.
- 9.35 The Government accepts these are of equivalent significance and should be considered as if they were designated. In the National Park, around 90% of the assets fall into this category and such assets are therefore significant material considerations in planning decisions. They are a vital part of the landscape and some, such as stone walls, lead rakes and stone barns are highly valued. However they remain susceptible to damage, so they need continued protection through planning policies. As custodians of the National Park, the National Park Authority will provide robust protection for these assets.
- 9.36 The Government and the Authority also recognise that if people better understand cultural heritage, they are more likely to value it, and if they value it they are more likely to support its conservation and enhancement. The requirement to maintain and provide access to Historic Environment Records now provides additional means for the Authority to:
- advance knowledge and understanding of the historic environment;
  - improve its protection; support its conservation, management and enhancement;
  - inform policies, plans and development management;
  - support heritage led regeneration, environmental education and cultural tourism; and
  - promote involvement in exploration, appreciation and enjoyment of local heritage.

---

<sup>45</sup> DCMS (2010) The Government's Statement on the Historic Environment of England

<sup>46</sup> DCLG (2010) Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning for the Historic Environment

- 9.37 Therefore, alongside policy protection, the Authority will work to raise people's understanding of cultural heritage. This recognises that peoples' concepts of heritage and sense of place are deeply held, very personal and not easily changed.
- 9.38 National policy states that planning authorities should designate and review Conservation Areas, and should have special regard for the preservation of listed buildings and scheduled monuments. It also establishes nationally registered parks and gardens as a material consideration in planning decisions, whilst other areas such as sites of archaeological interest and the wider historic landscape should be important factors in decision-making. Overall, the conservation of cultural heritage plays an integral part in the delivery of sustainable development.
- 9.39 It also states<sup>47</sup> that Local Development Frameworks should set out a positive and proactive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, taking into account the variations in type and distribution of heritage asset, as well as the contribution made by the historic environment by virtue of its influence on the character of the environment and an area's sense of place. It states that planning authorities should recognise the potential for cultural heritage to be a catalyst for regeneration in an area, in particular through leisure, tourism and economic development; and the stimulus it can provide to inspire new development of imaginative and high quality design; the re-use of existing fabric to minimise waste; and its mixed and flexible patterns of land use that are likely to be, and remain sustainable. The level of detail contained in an LDF should reflect the scale of the area covered by the plan and the significance of the heritage assets within it.
- 9.40 At a local level, plans should consider the qualities and local distinctiveness of the historic environment and how these can contribute to the development of the spatial vision in the local development framework core strategy. Heritage assets can be used to ensure continued sustainability of an area and promote a sense of place. Plans at a local level are likely to consider investment in and enhancement of historic places, including the public realm, in more detail. They should include consideration of how best to conserve individual, groups or types of heritage assets that are most at risk of loss through neglect, decay or other threats.
- 9.41 These requirements are covered by Conservation Area designation and Management Plans. They are currently covered by Local Plan policies which will be superseded by the Development Management Policies Development Plan Document.
- 9.42 Other guidance<sup>48</sup> states that beyond heritage designations, an understanding of heritage values should be the basis for making sound decisions about the future of cultural heritage assets.
- 9.43 The Authority welcomes close working and forward planning with all owners and managers of cultural heritage assets. This can be valuable to both landowners and the Authority, particularly when large landholders such as estates and conservation organisations are responsible for significant areas or numbers of cultural heritage assets. The Authority aims to encourage mutually beneficial and sustainable proposals to conserve and enhance cultural heritage assets for future generations, whilst acknowledging the social and economic challenges faced by today's land owners and managers.
- 9.44 In all proposals involving cultural heritage assets with statutory designation or registration, or which are otherwise of international, national, regional or local interest, an

---

<sup>47</sup> DCLG (2010) Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning for the Historic Environment

<sup>48</sup> English Heritage (2008) Conservation Principles Policies and Guidance for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment

evaluation of the likely impact must be undertaken to specifications approved by the Authority in accordance with PPS5, and the Authority's Householder Planning Application Guidance Notes and Planning Application Validation Guidance<sup>49</sup>. Development that affects the particular merits of Listed Buildings and other buildings, assets and areas of historic or vernacular merit or their settings, must sustain or enhance the assets or their significance to be acceptable.

9.45 The National Park Authority has a Cultural Heritage Strategy<sup>50</sup>. The strategy aims to maintain local distinctiveness through cultural heritage management involving a range of actions and interventions. For spatial planning purposes the following examples are the types of action that will help deliver the Cultural Heritage Strategy:

- Encouraging the refurbishment and re-use of disused or underused buildings of architectural and historic importance to enhance their contribution to the historic character of the area;
- Encouraging works to heritage assets to employ, where appropriate local crafts, skills and traditions including traditional building techniques and materials;
- Promoting the use of traditional building materials in repair and in new development, particularly in historic areas;
- Encouraging investment in and enhancement of historic places, including appreciation of cultural heritage through heritage led tourism, and;
- Facilitating the conservation of 'at risk' heritage assets, including landscape features, such as traditional field boundaries and barns.

9.46 These actions and their intended outcomes are highly desirable and form material considerations in relation to the determination of planning applications. In addition to the Cultural Heritage Strategy for the National Park and any successor strategies, other strategies, action plans and guidance notes that include management of the National Park's cultural heritage assets will also be relevant considerations. Such documents include:

- The Peak District Landscape Strategy;
- Conservation Area Appraisals;
- Climate Change Action Plan;
- Peak District Design Guide and Technical Supplements; and
- Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPD

9.47 The following policy covers all cultural heritage assets including, but not exclusively, those assets already subject to development management policies<sup>51</sup>. Cultural heritage assets that are of particular relevance to the planning process in this National Park include Listed Buildings, other buildings of historic or vernacular merit, Conservation Areas, important parks and gardens including those on the national register, and archaeological sites including Scheduled Monuments, features and landscapes. Detailed policy criteria relating to cultural heritage assets will be provided in the Development Management Policies DPD.

---

<sup>49</sup> PDNPA <http://www.peakdistrict.gov.uk/index/living-in/planning/making-a-planning-application.htm>

<sup>50</sup> PDPNA (2005) Peak through Time: Cultural Heritage Strategy for the Peak District National Park

<sup>51</sup> PDPNA (2001) Peak District National Park Local Plan, Chapter 3: Conservation

**L3: Cultural heritage assets of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic significance**

- A. Development must conserve and where appropriate enhance or reveal the significance of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic assets and their settings, including statutory designations and other heritage assets of international, national, regional or local importance or special interest;
- B. Other than in exceptional circumstances development will not be permitted where it is likely to cause harm to the significance of any cultural heritage asset of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic significance or its setting, including statutory designations or other heritage assets of international, national, regional or local importance or special interest;
- C. Proposals for development will be expected to meet the objectives of any strategy, wholly or partly covering the National Park, that has, as an objective, the conservation and where possible the enhancement of cultural heritage assets. This includes, but is not exclusive to, the Cultural Heritage Strategy for the Peak District National Park and any successor strategy.

## 10. Recreation and tourism



## 10. Recreation and tourism

---

### Introduction

- 10.1 This chapter considers the key strategic issues for recreation, tourism, environmental education and interpretation. It covers all developments which provide the means by which people can explore and enjoy the National Park in a responsible and sustainable way. These include holiday accommodation, recreation facilities, the means of welcoming and introducing people to the National Park and the provision of infrastructure such as car parks and picnic sites. Many outdoor recreation activities do not come under the scope of planning control, but are considered in the Recreation Strategy<sup>52</sup> and in visitor and traffic management plans. Public access to natural recreation resources such as riversides and climbing crags will be sought and maintained wherever possible. Sports and recreation provision at a community level is included within the Homes, Shops and Community Facilities chapter at policy HC4.
- 10.2 Policies set out here will help achieve outcomes and actions in the National Park Management Plan, and the National Park Authority's strategies for Recreation and Working with People and Communities. These seek to encourage and enable everybody to feel welcome in the National Park, understand why it is a special place, and be able to take part in a range of recreation activities which depend on the physical resources, character and capacity of its different areas. Tourism and recreation are major contributors to the Peak District economy, and the development of appropriate accommodation and facilities will continue to support this and provide job opportunities for local people. However, the landscape must remain the attraction and the facility, so economic benefit will not be a primary reason for development.
- 10.3 Since the former Structure Plan, the second national park purpose has been extended to include understanding as well as enjoyment, so the Core Strategy includes policy to cover this additional responsibility. Previous policy employed a system of three Recreation Zones, used to direct different levels of recreation activity and provision of facilities to different parts of the National Park. These zones are not retained, and in future, decisions will be based on Landscape Character Areas defined in the Landscape Strategy. This recognises that areas provide different opportunities and have capacity to absorb more or less recreational pressure. Planning decisions will be made on a case by case basis. Detailed policies in the Development Management Policies Development Plan Document may be supplemented by visitor management plans.
- 10.4 Evidence in a recent Hotel Demand Study<sup>53</sup> maintains the need to provide an opportunity for hotel development in Bakewell. Overall, policies provide for visitor accommodation, principally by caravans and camping and through the re-use of traditional buildings. They retain an approach considered the most appropriate for providing visitors with opportunities for enjoying the National Park, whilst offering the most protection to the landscape and valued characteristics which form the very basis of a visit to the Peak District.
- 10.5 In terms of the location of recreation and tourism proposals, sustainable development principles will be important, particularly in the more remote or sensitive areas of the National Park. Ease of access to sustainable transport options will be a key factor.

---

<sup>52</sup> PDNPA (2009) Active in the outdoors – a recreation strategy for the Peak District National Park 2010-2020

<sup>53</sup> Derby and Derbyshire Economic Partnership (DDEP)(2007) Hotel Demand Study

- 10.6 The National Park Authority's Strategy<sup>54</sup> aims to positively manage off-road motorised recreation in the context of the National Park's valued characteristics so that legitimate users can enjoy the area without damaging the landscape or other people's enjoyment of it. The Authority will work with partners including the highway authorities, police and motor vehicle user groups, to minimise the impacts on natural beauty, wildlife, tranquillity and cultural heritage, address signage and safety issues, develop and apply voluntary codes of conduct, gather information regarding illegal motor vehicle activity, and consider the use of temporary or permanent Traffic Regulation Orders as appropriate.

### **National policy context**

- 10.7 The Environment Act 1995<sup>55</sup> introduced the explicit requirement within the second national park purpose to promote opportunities for the understanding of the National Park's special qualities. This is additional to the established principle of facilitating recreational enjoyment. The 2010 National Parks Circular<sup>56</sup> advises National Park Authorities to realise the positive contribution that sustainable tourism can make to the environment and the wellbeing of local communities. The tourist industry should also recognise its responsibilities in respect of conservation and enhancement of special qualities, and work with the Authority to deliver sustainable tourism objectives.
- 10.8 The Circular recognises that recreational activities which would have an adverse impact on a national park's special qualities and on other people's enjoyment of them may need to be excluded. National planning policy and guidance recommends that conflicts between recreation and conservation are resolved by cooperation, careful planning and positive management strategies, but advises that where there is irreconcilable conflict, the recreation purpose is secondary to that of conservation and enhancement ('the Sandford principle').
- 10.9 National policy statements and good practice guidance<sup>57</sup> promote sustainable tourism and recreation developments that can benefit rural businesses, communities and visitors, and which utilise and enrich rather than harm the character of countryside and villages. Facilities should preferably be close to service centres or villages and use existing buildings. In this way the impact on natural features will be minimised.
- 10.10 National policy requires plans to take a positive approach to providing holiday accommodation, because of the important role it can play in the local economy. However, new and expanded caravan sites should not be prominent in the landscape. Good practice guidance advises that particular care must be taken in relation to caravan and camping sites in designated areas to ensure that the qualities that justified designation are conserved.

### **Contribution to spatial outcomes**

- 10.11 Policies will contribute to the Authority's spatial outcome for promoting recreation and understanding, which states that by 2026 a network of high quality, sustainable sites and facilities will have encouraged and promoted increased enjoyment and understanding of the National Park, by everybody including its residents and surrounding urban communities. Furthermore these policies also contribute to the spatial aim for economy.
- 10.12 Core policies for recreation and tourism will contribute to spatial outcomes as follows:

---

<sup>54</sup> PDNPA (2007) Strategy to manage recreational vehicle use of unsurfaced highways and off road

<sup>55</sup> HMSO (1995) Environment Act

<sup>56</sup> Defra (2010) English National Parks and the Broads: UK Government Vision and Circular

<sup>57</sup> DCLG (2006) Good Practice Guide on planning for tourism

Across all parts of the National Park policies will:

- Manage off-road recreation so that legitimate users can enjoy the area without damaging the landscape or other peoples' enjoyment of it;
- Enable development of appropriate sites and facilities in settlements shown on the key diagram;
- Support low-key development or improvement of facilities in recognised visitor locations where they enhance recreation opportunities and understanding of the National Park;
- Encourage and support sustainable travel options that jointly address visitors' and residents' needs;
- Support work that maintains and fills gaps in the rights of way network.

In the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringe policies will:

- Enable development of appropriate sites and facilities at key sites such as the Hope Valley, Stanage Edge, the Upper Derwent, Langsett and Longshaw;
- In countryside locations between the remoter moorlands and surrounding urban areas, limit development to appropriate signage and interpretation, in line with the Recreation Strategy, Interpretation Plan and Working with People and Communities Strategy;
- Help constituent councils to use the potential for activity that addresses poor health and improves equality of opportunity;
- Support tourist accommodation that is particularly suited to the wilder and quieter areas, such as back-pack or farm-based tent and caravan sites.

In the White Peak and Derwent Valley policies will:

- Support the development of appropriate facilities in recognised visitor locations such as Bakewell, Castleton, the Hope Valley, Dovedale, Chatsworth and Ilam;
- Protect the recreational value of the Manifold, Tissington, and High Peak trails;
- Retain the continuity of the Monsal Trail and explore its further potential;
- Consolidate Bakewell's role as a tourist centre and a hub from which to explore other attractions;
- Support the change of use of traditional buildings to visitor accommodation;
- Enable a new hotel in Bakewell.

In the South West Peak policies will:

- Enable development of appropriate facilities at key visitor locations such as Lyme Park;
- Support measures to improve visitor access into and around the area.

## **The policies**

RT1 – Recreation, environmental education and interpretation

RT2 – Hotels, bed and breakfast and self catering accommodation

RT3 – Caravans and camping

## **Recreation, environmental education and interpretation**

10.13 The following policy supports the provision of recreation, environmental education and interpretation developments which encourage the sustainable enjoyment of the National Park. To reflect its special status, developments should be appropriate to the valued characteristics (see paragraph 9.15). For example, proposals which do not reflect, explore or depend on characteristics such as the natural beauty, wildlife, historic buildings, customs or quiet enjoyment will not be acceptable. Factors such as landscape



impact, environmental capacity, scale and intensity of use or activity will be important considerations. Some parts of the National Park are particularly valued for the wilderness and solitude they offer, which must be maintained.

- 10.14 Major developments are not appropriate in the National Park.
- 10.15 The Authority is keen to support active recreation, particularly where it involves the enjoyment of the many valued characteristics that define the area. Many recreational events regularly take place in the National Park and add great value and vibrancy to its cultural interest. The Recreation Strategy advises that there is well established guidance covering the organisation of such events available from national governing bodies of sport and recreation. It also states that on National Park Authority-owned land, events will normally be permitted so long as they do not detract from the landscape/sense of wilderness, disturb or damage wildlife or ecology, cause disturbance to local residents or farmers, cause conflict with other users, or increase recreational pressure on the area.
- 10.16 Some uses and events take place on a temporary basis and do not require planning permission. Where the scale and intensity of such uses increase so as to harm the valued characteristics of the National Park, it may be necessary to bring the activity under planning control and make a judgment based on the core policies in this plan.
- 10.17 One of the Authority's main aims is to increase awareness of what the National Park has to offer people who currently do not know about it and find it hard to visit. Developments which provide opportunities for understanding and enjoying the National Park will be welcomed in locations close to its boundary or with easy access by sustainable means, taking into account the landscape character and setting of the National Park.
- 10.18 The National Park is a popular tourist destination receiving millions of visitors each year. This causes problems in some villages and parts of the countryside. The Authority will work with its partners to fulfil the National Park's recreation function and potential, for its own and surrounding communities. There will be better integration with surrounding local authority areas' recreation and green infrastructure strategies.
- 10.19 The Authority will continue to work with partners and landowners to enable access to natural recreation resources such as rivers and climbing crags.

#### **RT1: Recreation, environmental education and interpretation**

Proposals for recreation, environmental education and interpretation must conform to the following principles:

- A. The National Park Authority will support facilities which enable recreation, environmental education and interpretation, which encourage understanding and enjoyment of the National Park, and are appropriate to the National Park's valued characteristics. Opportunities for access by sustainable means will be encouraged.
- B. New provision must justify its location in relation to environmental capacity, scale and intensity of use or activity, and be informed by the Landscape Strategy. Where appropriate, development should be focused in or on the edge of settlements. In the open countryside, clear demonstration of need for such a location will be necessary.

- C. Wherever possible, development must reuse existing traditional buildings of historic or vernacular merit, and should enhance any appropriate existing facilities. Where this is not possible, the construction of new buildings may be acceptable.
- D. Development must not on its own, or cumulatively with other development and uses, prejudice or disadvantage peoples' enjoyment of other existing and appropriate recreation, environmental education or interpretation activities, including the informal quiet enjoyment of the National Park.

### **Hotels, bed and breakfast and self-catering accommodation**

- 10.20 The following policy offers scope to provide accommodation for staying visitors, which can contribute to the local economy and enable visitors to enjoy the National Park. It is important to provide a wide range of type and price of holiday accommodation, so that people from all walks of life can afford to stay here for short breaks and holidays.
- 10.21 Most opportunities for development will occur through conversions or change of use of existing traditional buildings in towns and villages or on farms. These will be permitted where there is no landscape harm, as can easily be the case in some predominantly natural open countryside locations. The provision and operation of holiday accommodation can provide valuable additional income for the farming community, but the conversion of entire farmsteads will not generally be acceptable where it adversely affects the function or character of the main group of farm buildings. Farms will need to retain an appropriate range of buildings to continue the operation of the primary business and to prevent the need for additional replacement buildings.
- 10.22 There is concern about oversupply of self-catering accommodation, particularly in some parts of the National Park. This could mean that providers and operators may not receive the anticipated return in income from what may be a significant investment. The National Park Authority will work with partners and stakeholders to provide appropriate advice.
- 10.23 Conversions and changes of use of existing traditional buildings of historic or vernacular merit will provide ample opportunities for small scale holiday developments. In addition, the policy responds to evidence showing a lack of serviced accommodation, by giving the opportunity to build a new hotel in Bakewell, selected because of its relative accessibility and important market town role. It should be remembered that areas surrounding the National Park offer a large amount of serviced holiday accommodation.

#### **RT2: Hotels, bed and breakfast and self-catering accommodation**

Proposals for hotels, bed and breakfast and self-catering accommodation must conform to the following principles:

- A. The change of use of a traditional building of historic or vernacular merit to serviced or self-catering holiday accommodation will be permitted, except where it would create unacceptable landscape impact in open countryside. The change of use of entire farmsteads to holiday accommodation will not be permitted.
- B. Appropriate minor developments which extend or make quality improvements to existing holiday accommodation will be permitted.

C. New build holiday accommodation will not be permitted, except for a new hotel in Bakewell.

## **Caravans and camping**

- 10.24 Camping and caravanning is the most popular type of holiday accommodation in the Peak District. The following policy will enable a range of sizes and types of site to cater for holidaymakers, provided there is no adverse impact on landscapes and valued characteristics. Policies will particularly encourage well located sites where there are currently gaps in provision.
- 10.25 Operating a camping or caravan site may offer a useful supplement to a farmer or land manager's income (see policy E2 which allows farmers and land managers to diversify their primary business). Location close to an existing farmstead might be beneficial because site facilities could be provided within an existing traditional building.
- 10.26 Many landscapes in the National Park are very open, with narrow and often ecologically sensitive valleys and dales, and many areas have poor road access. A restrictive policy is appropriate because national policy gives particular weight to protection of the landscape in national parks. Size is an important factor in assessing the impact of a camping or caravan site on the landscape and traffic movements. The following policy states that small touring camping and caravan sites may be acceptable, but 'small' is not defined, either in terms of extent or number of pitches. Appropriate size will vary from site to site. For guidance, sites up to 30 pitches are more likely to be acceptable, although this may be too large in many circumstances. Exceptionally, static caravans, chalets or lodges may be acceptable in locations where they are not intrusive in the landscape. There may be some locations where, through the use of effective design and landscaping, small, simple timber structures may be acceptable as replacements for existing static caravans where this would result in enhancement.
- 10.27 The National Park Authority understands that site operators may wish to improve quality to remain competitive. Upgraded toilet and shower facilities, tree planting or hard standings will generally be acceptable. Proposals for a shop, recreation or other facilities must only provide for the needs of the site itself, without putting other community facilities at risk, or becoming an attraction in its own right. Proposals will also need to be considered with reference to HC4 covering community facilities and HC5 covering shopping. The type of all-inclusive 'holiday park' where visitors have all the facilities and entertainment they need and do not venture out to enjoy the area is not in line with the second national park purpose.
- 10.28 Permanent homes for site wardens on camping and caravan sites may be acceptable in some circumstances, but must be justified in terms of essential need for a dwelling on-site. Wherever possible, they should be provided by conversion of existing traditional buildings of historic or vernacular merit. Proposals will be considered with reference to policy HC2.

### **RT3: Caravans and camping**

Proposals for caravan and camping sites must conform to the following principles:

- A. Small touring camping and caravan sites and backpack camping sites will be permitted, particularly in areas where there are few existing sites, provided that they are well screened, have appropriate access to the road network, and do not adversely affect living conditions.
- B. Static caravans, chalets or lodges will not be permitted.
- C. Provision of improved facilities on existing caravan and camping sites, including shops and recreation opportunities, must be of a scale appropriate to the site itself.
- D. Development that would improve the quality of existing sites, including improvements to upgrade facilities, access, landscaping, or the appearance of existing static caravans, will be encouraged.

## 11. Climate change and sustainable building



## 11. Climate change and sustainable building

---

### Introduction

- 11.1 This chapter covers the key spatial issues relating to climate change and the National Park Authority's response. The Authority's required outcome for climate change is set out in the National Park Management Plan and articulated in the Climate Change Action Plan.
- 11.2 This chapter considers the overall strategic role played by the National Park in relation to mitigating and adapting to climate change. It considers sustainable design and construction, securing low carbon development, renewable energy developments, flood risk reduction, water conservation and waste management. The principles of sustainable development should guide all stages of the design process, including the siting and orientation of the building, its use of energy and water and the selection of materials for construction and decoration. The policies seek a best practice approach to building and use of resources. These aim to make the most efficient and sustainable use of land, buildings and natural resources, and, through the energy hierarchy, to reduce carbon emissions and to use low carbon and renewable energy sources where appropriate.
- 11.3 The policies are informed by a report commissioned by the Authority in partnership with Derbyshire Dales District Council and High Peak Borough Council. It focuses on the capacity and potential for renewables and low carbon technologies and includes a landscape sensitivity study of the area.
- 11.4 The delivery of spatial policies will be assisted by schemes which encourage sustainable and low carbon development, including the Authority's Sustainable Development Fund and the Environmental Quality Mark. Other key agencies such as the Department of Energy and Climate Change, the Department for Energy, Farming and Rural Affairs, Business Link, and the Local Authority Energy Partnership offer significant resource and advisory functions to support sustainable development.

### National policy context

- 11.5 National policy seeks to minimise energy use through the use of the energy hierarchy, and consequently PPS1 Supplement<sup>58</sup> expects policies to secure the highest viable resource and energy efficiency and reduction in emissions. It recognises that it may be appropriate for planning authorities to promote levels of building sustainably in advance of those set out nationally, by reference to Building Regulations and Code for Sustainable Homes standards. This should not inhibit development, including the provision of affordable housing. The 2010 National Parks Circular<sup>59</sup> states that, together with a new focus on achieving national park purposes, National Park Authorities should promote energy efficiency, and lead the way in adapting to and mitigating climate change. They should also inspire a lifelong behaviour change to sustainable living and enjoyment of the countryside. Furthermore it recognises the role that national parks have to play through work with local communities, as exemplars in renewable energy.
- 11.6 Planning Policy Statement 7<sup>60</sup> confirms that national parks have the highest status of protection in relation to landscape and scenic beauty. Consequently, the conservation of the natural beauty of the landscape and countryside should be given great weight in

---

<sup>58</sup> DCLG (2007) Planning Policy Statement: Planning and Climate Change, Supplement to Planning Policy Statement 1

<sup>59</sup> Defra (2010) English National Parks and the Broads: UK Government Vision and Circular

<sup>60</sup> ODPM (2006) Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural areas

---

planning policies and development control decisions in such areas. The conservation of wildlife and cultural heritage are a specific purpose and should also be given great weight. The 2010 National Parks Circular states that ‘*Within the Parks, conserving and enhancing the landscape, biodiversity, cultural heritage, dark skies and natural resources, and promoting public understanding and enjoyment of these should lie at the very heart of developing a strong economy and sustaining thriving local communities*’. PPS7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas, in paragraph 15, further addresses the protection afforded by government to national parks and states that planning authorities, whilst providing a positive framework for facilitating sustainable development, should continue to ensure that the quality and character of the wider countryside is protected and, where possible enhanced.

- 11.7 Planning Policy Statement (PPS) 1<sup>61</sup> confirms the Government’s commitment to protecting and enhancing the quality of the natural and historic environment. It requires policies on design and access to be based on an understanding and evaluation of its present defining characteristics and any stated objectives for the future of the area. Developments must be sustainable, durable and adaptable (including taking account of natural hazards such as flooding), and make efficient and prudent use of resources. Other PPSs deal with wider policy issues, including biodiversity<sup>62</sup>, transport<sup>63</sup> and pollution control including Sustainable Drainage Schemes<sup>64</sup>.
- 11.8 PPS1 Supplement<sup>65</sup> requires planning to contribute to reductions in carbon emissions, stabilising climate change, and taking into account its consequences. It requires an evidence-based understanding of the local feasibility and potential for development of renewables and low carbon technologies in the area. It also expects policies to secure the highest viable resource and energy efficiency and reduction in emissions.
- 11.9 PPS22<sup>66</sup> requires criteria-based policies which set out the circumstances in which particular types and sizes of renewable energy developments will be accepted in nationally designated areas.
- 11.10 PPS5 sets out policies on heritage assets and climate change, including helping applicants to identify feasible solutions that deliver similar climate change mitigation but with less or no harm to the significance of the heritage asset and its setting where proposals have a potentially negative effect on heritage assets.
- 11.11 Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG)<sup>67</sup> gives guidance on the integration of low impact, low carbon technology and an updated document will also consider the wider issue of sustainable design.
- 11.12 PPS10<sup>68</sup> sets out government policy on waste management including the overarching principle of the waste hierarchy. Annex E gives locational criteria for testing suitability of sites, including in Part C, “*the need to protect landscapes of national importance, for example National Parks, from visual intrusion*”.
- 11.13 PPS10 requires all waste planning authorities to devise their Local Development Frameworks (LDFs) on the basis of meeting the waste targets set out in regional policy. The Government has indicated its intent to abolish the regional planning process and revoke plans and this will leave a void in terms of guidance on waste targets to be met.

---

<sup>61</sup> ODPM (2006) Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development

<sup>62</sup> ODPM (2005) Planning Policy Statement 9: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation

<sup>63</sup> ODPM (2001) Planning Policy Guidance 13: Transport

<sup>64</sup> ODPM (2004) Planning Policy Statement 23: Planning and Pollution Control

<sup>65</sup> DCLG (2007) Planning Policy Statement: Planning and Climate Change, Supplement to PPS1

<sup>66</sup> ODPM (2004) Planning Policy Statement 22: Renewable energy

<sup>67</sup> PDNPA (2003) Supplementary Planning Guidance: Energy Renewables and Conservation

<sup>68</sup> ODPM (2005) Planning Policy Statement 10: Planning for Sustainable Waste Management

As an interim measure, advice from the Government has been that the figures produced by the Regional Waste Technical Advisory Body (RWTAB) should still be utilised to inform LDFs. The figures in the former East Midlands Regional Plan were derived from the evidence base drawn up by the RWTAB, which exempted the National Park Authority from the general obligation to make provision in their LDF for capacity equal to the amount of waste generated and requiring management in their area. The policy approach in this Core Strategy has been drawn up on the basis of this exemption, along with the role for the larger settlements outside the National Park in serving their hinterlands including the National Park that the former regional policy set out. This type of approach to concentration of facilities in towns and urban areas accords with the general principles of sustainable development. It is considered that the statutory designation, the high quality environment and smaller settlement size makes it inappropriate and unsustainable for the National Park to make a significant contribution to the sub-region's waste management infrastructure.

### **Contribution to spatial outcomes**

- 11.14 Policies will contribute to the spatial outcome for climate change so that the National Park will have responded and adapted to climate change in ways that have led to reduced energy consumption, reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, increased proportion of overall energy use provided by renewable energy infrastructure, and conserved resources of soil, air, and water.
- 11.15 Policies also complement the National Park Authority's Climate Change Action Plan and help to deliver our Local Area Agreement climate change contributions. This Action Plan shows the positive actions being taken, many of which are unrelated to planning. There are also links with the Cultural Heritage Strategy<sup>69</sup> and Landscape Strategy<sup>70</sup>.
- 11.16 However, it is the spatial planning aspects of climate change mitigation and adaptation that are addressed here. These include a best practice approach to building, which uses methods that reduce energy consumption, conserve resources, and increase the proportion of overall energy use provided by renewable and low carbon energy infrastructure. The energy hierarchy will assist the Authority's commitment to take action on climate change by enabling us to focus on carbon reduction in all development. Other policies such as GSP1, DS1 and T1 influence climate change mitigation and adaptation, and will play a key role alongside climate change policies.
- 11.17 The National Park Authority is seeking ways of contributing to local, and national energy conservation and renewables targets whilst ensuring that low carbon and renewable energy development are appropriate to the protected landscape. The Core Strategy aims to support proposals to reduce greenhouse gas emissions where they are consistent with the need to conserve the designated landscape. This will help communities and organisations, to adapt to the effects of climate change.
- 11.18 Core policies for climate change and sustainable building will contribute to the following spatial outcomes:

Across all parts of the National Park policies will:

- Support measures that conserve the landscape whilst mitigating the impacts of climate change;
- Support design and construction techniques that help reduce carbon emissions but also respect the built tradition and character of settlements;

---

<sup>69</sup> PDNPA (2005) Peak Through Time: Cultural Heritage Strategy for the Peak District National Park

<sup>70</sup> PDNPA (2009) Peak District National Park Landscape Strategy and Action Plan 2009-2019



- Support development that takes account of the energy hierarchy to reduce the need for energy and to use energy more efficiently;
- Support sensitively sited small-scale low carbon and renewable energy development.

Across the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringe policies will:

- Support work to protect peatland and promote its role as a carbon sink and store;
- Support work to manage floodplain landscapes to increase flood storage and enhance biodiversity;
- Protect open skylines, long views and semi-natural moorland expanses.

Across the White Peak and Derwent Valley policies will:

- Support work to manage floodplain landscapes and enhance biodiversity.

Across the South West Peak policies will:

- Support work to manage floodplain landscapes and enhance biodiversity;
- Support work to protect peatland and promote its role as a carbon sink and store.

## **The policies**

- CC1 – Climate change mitigation and adaptation
- CC2 – Low carbon and renewable energy development
- CC3 – Waste management
- CC4 – On-farm anaerobic digestion of agricultural manure and slurry
- CC5 – Flood risk and water conservation

## **Climate change mitigation and adaptation**

- 11.19 The purpose of this policy is to build in resilience to, and mitigate the causes of climate change.
- 11.20 Energy consumption can be significantly reduced through the location of development, site layout and building design, the type of materials used, the prudent use of existing and new resources, and the efficient management of the construction process. The development strategy (DS1) directs development to the most sustainable locations based on a range of criteria. Minimising energy use and increasing energy efficiency are effective ways to reduce carbon emissions, and help to meet regional and national targets. The Code for Sustainable Homes<sup>71</sup> integrated approach to construction uses the principle of the energy hierarchy to maximise cost effectiveness and minimise fuel costs. Policy CC1 uses this mechanism to ensure that new housing is built to a standard that reduces carbon emissions. High sustainability standards are also promoted in non-residential development.
- 11.21 The aim of the policy for sustainable building is to prioritise the energy hierarchy and fabric-first energy efficiency without inhibiting the provision of affordable housing. The National Park Authority will encourage the highest quality of sustainable building. It will seek to improve on building regulations standards for CO<sub>2</sub> reductions and water efficiency in all development, as a means of reducing costs to the environment and to householders and businesses in the long term. Housing policies (see chapter 12)

---

<sup>71</sup> DCLG (2009) Code for Sustainable Homes Technical Guide Version 2

ensure that all new development opportunities address the eligible local need for affordable homes.

- 11.22 All development, including replacement and enhancement schemes, will need to demonstrate how it has had regard to the energy hierarchy. In addition, all housing, other than privately built affordable housing development of one and two units, will be required to achieve higher sustainability standards as a means of adapting to and mitigating climate change. The requirement set out in policy CC1 is the minimum requirement and all new residential development should attain the highest possible sustainability standard, taking into account viability and feasibility considerations. The Authority has decided that cost issues on privately built affordable housing developments of one or two units make it unreasonable to require the Code for Sustainable Homes Standard. However most affordable housing is built by Registered Social Landlords and even at a scale of one or two new units they are required to meet the Code for Sustainable Homes Standard. Application of sustainability standards<sup>72</sup> will ensure that climate change considerations are embedded in the construction of housing. Affordable housing provided by Registered Social Landlords, replacement dwellings, and any other unrestricted housing that may come forward, will (under the Code for Sustainable Homes) contribute fully to mitigating and adapting to climate change. It will do this by reducing energy consumption and water demand, minimising and recycling waste and reducing the risk and impact of flooding.
- 11.23 The Climate Change Study also shows that it is possible to reduce carbon emissions beyond that required in Building Regulations for non-residential development over 1000m<sup>2</sup> floorspace. This can be achieved by requiring a Building Emissions Rate<sup>73</sup> below the Target Emissions Rate.<sup>74</sup> The requirement set out in Policy CC1 is the minimum requirement and all new non-residential development should attain the highest possible sustainability standard taking into account viability and feasibility considerations.
- 11.24 Climate change causes increased risk of flooding. This policy directs development to areas of least risk and further detail is set out in policy CC5. The approach to flood risk management is based on PPS 25<sup>75</sup>. This requires development to be located so that it does not put people and buildings at risk, increase the risk of flooding upstream or downstream, affect natural drainage, or increase surface water run-off. Development will be assessed initially in accordance with the sequential test set out in PPS25. In some cases, because of the lack of appropriate 'safe' options, development in areas of risk may be allowed, but only where adequate levels of mitigation and flood protection can be secured.
- 11.25 The National Park Authority recognises that the management of land can play a key role in combating climate change. More detailed policy on land management will be set out in the Development Management Document. It has the overall aim of enabling the National Park to lead the way in adapting to and mitigating climate change through effective environmental management and through work with communities to reduce carbon emissions through integrated passive design and the use of low carbon and renewable energy.

---

<sup>72</sup> Code for Sustainable Homes, equivalent to the Code Level required by the government in housing built by Registered Social Landlords (RSLs)

<sup>73</sup> Buildings Emissions Rate (BER) – annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions rate of a completed building

<sup>74</sup> Specified in the Building Regulations – target annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions rate set by reference to a notional building of the same size and shape

<sup>75</sup> DCLG (2006) Planning Policy Statement 25: Development and Flood Risk

## **CC1: Climate change mitigation and adaptation**

In order to build in resilience to and mitigate the causes of climate change all development must:

- A. Make the most efficient and sustainable use of land, buildings and natural resources.
- B. Take account of the energy hierarchy by:
  - I. reducing the need for energy;
  - II. using energy more efficiently;
  - III. supplying energy efficiently; and
  - IV. using low carbon and renewable energy.
- C. Be directed away from flood risk areas, and seek to reduce overall risk from flooding within the National Park and areas outside it, upstream and downstream.
- D. Achieve the highest possible standards of carbon reductions.
- E. Achieve the highest possible standards of water efficiency.

In all new and replacement housing, other than affordable housing of less than 3 units, a minimum sustainability standard, equivalent to that required by the government of affordable housing, shall be achieved unless the applicant provides evidence to demonstrate that it is not viable for a particular development.

Non-residential major development above 1000m<sup>2</sup> floorspace must achieve a Buildings Emissions Rate at least 10% less than the Target Emissions Rate.

### **Low carbon and renewable energy development**

- 11.26 The purpose of this policy is to reduce carbon emissions. The 2010 National Parks Circular requires a renewed focus on achieving national park purposes and leading the way in adapting to, and mitigating climate change as a key outcome of the next five years.
- 11.27 The National Park Authority's policies for landscape and conservation are set out in policy L1. Development must conserve and enhance landscape character, natural beauty, wildlife, cultural heritage and valued characteristics in accordance with the statutory purposes under the Environment Act 1995. The valued characteristics include the flow of landscape character across and beyond the National Park boundary; which provides a continuity of landscape and valued setting for the National Park. This is a special value attached to the National Park by surrounding urban communities.
- 11.28 The National Park already makes a major contribution to the region's carbon management objectives. Its peatlands are very important as a terrestrial carbon store. Land management represents an opportunity to prevent carbon release and research is being undertaken to improve carbon uptake.
- 11.29 Heat pump technologies may be particularly suitable for new development. New development also offers the opportunity to investigate the potential for the incorporation of Combined Heat and Power and district heating. Solar thermal technologies and

biomass will also play an increasing role in reducing energy consumption and increasing the use of low carbon energy sources. Hydro power generation can be well suited to the Peak District landscape provided it does not deplete river flows. A study<sup>76</sup> into potential sites for micro hydro power has recently been completed, and a micro hydro plant has recently been installed at Alport Mill. This generates enough power for Alport's 30 homes through the National Grid.

- 11.30 The Authority wants to optimise carbon reductions in all development by giving consideration to the energy hierarchy in securing carbon reductions. This holistic approach ensures that other opportunities to reduce carbon emissions are considered when renewables development comes forward.
- 11.31 Some of the key initiatives for sustainable development are driven by communities and businesses. It is an asset to the Authority to have a highly motivated population, and community involvement is vitally important to planning and the achievement of sustainable development. National Park communities were closely involved in the development of the Climate Change Action Plan and they manage many projects aimed at reducing carbon and mitigating and adapting to climate change. Coombs Village Hall Trust, for example, is providing training for other communities on heat loss assessments and reducing carbon emissions through insulation, and researching whether fuel poverty can be reduced through the use of Ground Source Heat Pumps. Bradfield residents have climate-proofed a replacement footbridge by use of an innovative design to minimise flood impact.
- 11.32 There are many opportunities for development of small scale low carbon and renewable energy technologies within the National Park. The National Park, through work with local communities aims to be an exemplar in integrated and decentralised low carbon renewable technologies, which do not compromise national park purposes. The Building Research Establishment has, for example, identified the opportunity of energy generation through non-reflective photovoltaic cells on agricultural buildings within the National Park. Where there is confidence that national park purposes can be secured, decisions on schemes should also take into account the economic, social and wider environmental benefits of the development.
- 11.33 Landscape and visual impact, cumulative impact and biodiversity issues need to be carefully considered in all proposals. The National Park Authority's Landscape Strategy and Action Plan and the Peak Sub-region Climate Change Study provide useful guidelines as to the scale and type of development that may be acceptable in a particular landscape type. The Authority has a legal requirement to identify areas of land that are particularly important to conserve. Specific policies apply in these areas, largely underpinned by Natura 2000 sites, which are known as the Natural Zone. Policy L1 sets out the policy approach in these areas. Further guidance will be provided in the Development Management Document and SPD. Details of information required for planning applications are found in the Authority's validation criteria. It should be noted that there is no threshold set for screening of development, which includes some forms of low carbon and renewable energy generation, for Environmental Impact Assessment under schedule 2 of the regulations because of the particular landscape sensitivity of the National Park.
- 11.34 The 2010 National Parks Circular acknowledges that there are many factors which lie outside the direct control of National Park Authorities. An example of this might be renewable energy developments outside the park which have a large impact on its setting. Any measures agreed and supported by other relevant authorities to aid the conservation and enhancement of the Park environment and setting should be included in the National Park Management Plan. PPS22 is clear that while buffer zones are

---

<sup>76</sup> Friends of the Peak District (2010) Peak Power: Developing Micro Hydro Power in the Peak District

inappropriate around a national park, the potential impact of renewable energy projects on designated areas close to their boundaries is a material consideration. The National Park Authority will advocate consideration of less damaging alternatives to protect the National Park and its setting. It will do this in liaison with constituent authorities through EIA procedures or other means in accordance with the expectation from Government for “a collective renewed focus on achieving the Park purposes” with “active support and co-operation of all Government and public bodies and statutory undertakers whose activities affect the Parks”.

#### **CC2: Low carbon and renewable energy development**

- A. Proposals for low carbon and renewable energy development will be encouraged provided they can be accommodated without adversely affecting landscape character, cultural heritage assets, other valued characteristics, or other established uses of the area;
- B. Cumulative impacts of low carbon and renewable energy development within the National Park and visible beyond its boundary must be taken into account;
- C. Where proposals do not compromise the valued characteristics of the National Park the Authority will also take into account the economic, social and wider environmental benefits of renewable and low carbon development.

### **Waste management**

- 11.35 The purpose of this policy is to achieve more sustainable use of resources. There can be an inter-relationship between energy production and waste development, with waste being used as a source of energy production. In any proposal for energy from waste development the Authority will consider the proposal against all relevant policies including CC2 on low carbon and renewable energy development; however policies CC3 or CC4 will be primary considerations.
- 11.36 Whilst the National Park Authority is a Waste Planning Authority, the waste disposal function for the majority of the National Park falls under the remit of Derbyshire County Council. The Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy<sup>77</sup>, produced by the Waste Disposal Authority in collaboration with the Waste Collection Authorities (the District Councils), notes that the Derbyshire Dales and High Peak areas give rise to 20% of the municipal waste in the County. It identifies national park designation and the geographical nature of the area as barriers to the local provision of facilities. The relationship between the relevant municipal waste management strategies of the constituent authorities and this Core Strategy is explained in detail in the Waste Management Background Paper<sup>78</sup>.
- 11.37 Facilities for the disposal of domestic, industrial and commercial waste are incompatible with national park purposes because of their adverse environmental impacts. The small and dispersed population means that they would not be viable operations unless waste is imported. Policy therefore presumes against new waste facilities within the National Park, and no sites will be allocated. Specialised processing sites such as commercial composting and recycling plants will also be inappropriate, because of the likely landscape impacts and potential for air, land and water contamination.

---

<sup>77</sup> SLR Consulting (2006) Derbyshire Joint Municipal Waste Strategy

<sup>78</sup> PDNPA (2010) Waste Management Background Paper

- 11.38 The National Park Authority recognises, however, that its communities must make more sustainable use of resources and therefore measures which encourage recycling and responsible waste disposal will be facilitated where appropriate. Local, very small scale community-based waste projects which deal exclusively with the waste arising from that individual community may be supported, in line with regional policy. However, this will only be permitted with appropriate safeguards to ensure that no waste is imported from outside the local community (defined as the immediate and adjoining parishes to the proposed site), and also to ensure that there will be no significant adverse impact on the environment, the community, and national park purposes. Landscape and environmental impacts are likely to be greater in the open countryside outside settlements where this type of development is unlikely to be acceptable.
- 11.39 In the application of this policy the Authority will consider small-scale in relation to the context of the locality in which the development is proposed, for example what may be considered small-scale in the context of Bakewell would probably be larger than what would be thought of as small scale in a small village or hamlet. The policy also addresses proposals for small scale anaerobic digestion (AD) plants dealing with a mixed waste stream and serving local communities provided they are in accordance with the relevant Municipal Waste Strategy. Proposals for AD plants dealing only with agricultural manure and slurry and crops grown for the purpose are dealt with in policy CC4.
- 11.40 Anyone who intends to carry out a construction project on one site with an estimated cost of £300,000 or more must prepare a Site Waste Management Plan (SWMP) before work begins, in accordance with the Site Waste Management Plan Regulations (April 2008). More information can be found at [www.netregs-swmp.co.uk](http://www.netregs-swmp.co.uk).

### **CC3: Waste management**

The management of domestic, industrial and commercial waste must satisfy the following principles:

- A. The National Park Authority will work with the Waste Collection and Disposal Authorities and local communities to promote sustainable management of waste through the waste hierarchy.
- B. New, expanded or replacement large scale facilities will not be permitted.
- C. Small scale waste facilities may be permitted to serve local communities where they are in accordance with or do not undermine the strategy and approach of the relevant Municipal Waste Management Strategy. Such schemes should meet only the need of the community and must not involve importation of waste from outside that community.
- D. The National Park Authority will require the appropriate restoration and after-use of waste sites so that they can contribute to the recreation and biodiversity value of the National Park.
- E. Construction and demolition waste must be managed and re-used on site. Where there may be significant environmental risk to flora, fauna, local communities or the water environment, an appropriate off-site disposal option will be required.

## On-farm anaerobic digestion of agricultural manure and slurry

- 11.41 Agricultural waste is a particular issue given the rural nature of the National Park and the fact that it is a Nitrate Vulnerable Zone (NVZ)<sup>79</sup>. Policies seek to protect the environment and help farmers to manage agricultural waste. Small-scale waste management facilities on farms may be permitted provided that waste arises from the farm or farms concerned, and provided that any development can be accommodated without harm to the valued characteristics or other established uses of the area.
- 11.42 Agricultural waste (animal faeces, urine, manure, slurry and spoiled straw) will not ordinarily present significant issues for disposal. Most is distributed naturally around pasture and moorland, or collected from barns and agricultural sheds and managed in slurry pits. Alternatively, it is composted on the farm, and subsequently spread on the land as a natural fertiliser. Restrictions on spreading may increase the need for either more storage facilities, or for treatment by methods such as anaerobic digestion and the spreading of digestate on the land holding. For NVZs, guidance is given by Defra and the Environment Agency on the storage of organic manure based on the requirements of the Nitrate Pollution Prevention Regulations<sup>80</sup>. There is also a Code of Good Agricultural Practice for farmers, growers and land managers<sup>81</sup>. Development of new farm waste equipment such as pits or tanks are normally permitted development, but in some circumstances will require planning permission, usually because of proximity to housing or highways. The Environment Agency advises that manure and slurry used in an anaerobic digestion process is waste, and that waste regulatory controls therefore apply. Any biogas produced from manure and slurry is also considered to be waste and is also subject to waste regulatory controls.
- 11.43 Anaerobic digestion can protect the environment by processing animal faeces, urine, manure, slurry and spoiled straw into digestate for spreading on the land. Single on-farm units are more likely to be acceptable in terms of scale in the designated landscape. However, policy CC4 recognises that farms in close proximity may wish to group together to achieve functional and economic viability and ensure that there is sufficient feedstock for the digestion process. This will be permitted provided that a comparative analysis of single on-farm proposals shows that a shared facility is beneficial. The National Park Authority would expect to see individual waste management plans or NVZ records. Anaerobic digestate produced from waste material from individual farms or from groups of farms, where environmental impact is satisfactorily addressed, can also generate biogas for use as a fuel. Regulations<sup>82</sup> specify the type and volume which can be classed as an exempt waste operation at premises used for agriculture, and the procedures to register an exemption. The legislation and procedures do not form part of the planning application process.
- 11.44 Anaerobic digester proposals dealing with a mixed waste stream including agricultural waste or manure and slurry are dealt with under management of commercial and industrial waste in CC3.

---

<sup>79</sup> Defra (2009) Guidance for farmers in Nitrate Vulnerable Zones, Storage of Organic Manure

<sup>80</sup> OPSI (2008) The Nitrate Pollution Prevention Regulations (SI 2349)

<sup>81</sup> Defra (2009) Protecting Our Water, Soil and Air: A Code of Good Agricultural Practice for farmers, growers and land managers

<sup>82</sup> HMSO (2009) Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations

#### **CC4: On-farm anaerobic digestion of agricultural manure and slurry**

Proposals for the management of agricultural manure and slurry generated within the National Park must follow the following principles:

- A. Applications for single on-farm anaerobic digester units, and any associated development for management of waste or renewable energy generation, must only use agricultural manure and slurry arising on the planning unit and crops grown for the purpose on the unit.
- B. Centralised on-farm anaerobic digestion facilities will only be permitted where they:
  - I. serve a number of farms mainly within the National Park in close proximity to each other; and
  - II. deal only with agricultural manure and slurry arising on the farms involved and crops grown for the purpose on those farms; and
  - III. are justified by a comparative analysis of alternative single on-farm proposals in terms of economic and functional viability, taking into consideration effects on the environment.

### **Flood risk and water conservation**

11.45 This policy seeks to safeguard floodplains, secure a net reduction in overall flood risk, encourage Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), and reduce water consumption. The policy mirrors the expectations of PPS25 on Development and Flood Risk. It reflects the strategic need to understand flood risk, and to reduce those risks. It recognises the need to avoid flood risk areas and protect functional flood plains (and water storage/conveyancing corridors). It recognises that where options to limit or avoid flood risk are few, there is a need to reduce the risk, especially for the most vulnerable types of development such as sheltered housing, schools, and sources of potential contamination. In some cases, because of the lack of appropriate 'safe' options, development in areas of risk may be allowed, but only where adequate levels of mitigation and flood protection can be secured. Where practicable, areas of flood plain may be re-established where they have been previously developed or protected by flood defences.

11.46 A Strategic Flood Risk Assessment<sup>83</sup> (SFRA) has been prepared in accordance with PPS25. It provides information on the extent of flood risk in the area. The policy ensures that development decisions include consideration of flood risk as set out in the SFRA, or in more up-to-date flood risk modelling available from the Environment Agency. There will be a strong presumption against non-essential development in Flood Risk Zone 3, with strict criteria controlling development in Zones 2, 3a and 3b. Developers should have regard to the criteria set out in PPS25 to determine the suitability of essential infrastructure and water compatible uses in Flood Risk Zones 2, 3a and 3b. For minor development<sup>84</sup> and some changes of use of buildings, the

---

<sup>83</sup> Halcrow Group (2008) Peak District National Park Authority Strategic Flood Risk Assessment for Local Development Framework

<sup>84</sup> Definition of minor development (PPS25):

- Minor non-residential extensions: Industrial/Commercial/Leisure *etc.* extensions with a footprint less than 250m<sup>2</sup>
- Alterations: development that does not increase the size of buildings *e.g.* alterations to external appearance.



sequential and exception tests are not applied but development has to meet the requirements for Flood Risk Assessments (FRAs) and flood risk reduction as set out in Table D1 of PPS25<sup>85</sup>. The Environment Agency’s standing advice for FRAs any flood protection already incorporated in the property and the incorporation of flood resilience measures in the design are important considerations.

- 11.47 Parts of Bakewell in particular are vulnerable to flood risk, a situation likely to increase as a consequence of climate change, and an important consideration for the town’s future as the main service centre within the National Park.
- 11.48 The Authority will require all development to be set back an appropriate distance from rivers and watercourses in order to minimise flood risk. This approach accords with the Government’s ‘Making Space for Water’ flood risk management strategy, which seeks to integrate flood risk into the design and development process.
- 11.49 Flood risk both downstream and upstream is increased when the functional floodplain is reduced; when rainfall run off from developed areas increases; and when the overall capacity of the land to absorb and retain rainfall is reduced. In this area the problem of severe run off is particularly prominent in the Dark Peak and South Western Moors. Policy will aim to minimise the flood risk attributable to these factors. The SFRA points out that reducing the rate of discharge from sites is one of the most effective ways of reducing and managing flood risk within the area. New development will therefore be expected to incorporate Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), and the Authority will encourage water efficiency measures such as rain-water harvesting for use in toilets and for garden purposes. There are clear connections between managing flood risk and promoting water conservation. Policy aims to promote improved water efficiency in new development and in regeneration. Water efficiency measures in new housing development are addressed in policy CC2 under the Code for Sustainable Homes.

<b>CC5: Flood risk and water conservation</b>
<p>A. Development proposals which may have a harmful impact upon the functionality of floodwater storage, or surface water conveyance corridors, or which would otherwise unacceptably increase flood risk, will not be permitted unless net benefits can be secured for increased floodwater storage and surface water management from compensatory measures.</p> <p>B. Where flood management schemes are proposed to reduce the risk of flooding to established material assets, they should wherever possible secure wider benefits for the natural environment, such as habitat creation or landscape enhancement.</p> <p>C. Development which increases roof and hard surface area must include adequate measures such as Sustainable Drainage Systems to deal with the run-off of surface water. Such measures must not increase the risk of a local water course flooding.</p> <p>D. New development must allow an appropriate set-back distance for adequate maintenance of watercourses.</p>

- 
- Householder development: e.g. sheds, garages, games rooms *etc.* within the curtilage of the existing dwelling in addition to physical extensions to the existing dwelling itself. This definition EXCLUDES any proposed development that would create a separate dwelling within the curtilage of the existing dwelling e.g. subdivision of houses into flats.

<sup>85</sup> Planning Policy Statement 25, Development and Flood Risk, Annex D Paragraphs D15 – D17.



## 12. Homes, shops and community facilities



## 12: Homes, shops and community facilities

---

### Introduction

- 12.1 This chapter sets out policies for housing, shopping and community facilities. These contribute directly to the National Park Management Plan outcome for people and communities, which seeks to provide greater opportunities for children, young people and the elderly; to reduce inequalities; and provide communities with better access to services and more affordable homes for those who are eligible. Read together with development strategy (DS1) they provide a basis for vibrant and sustainable communities.
- 12.2 Sustainable Community Strategies prepared by constituent authorities emphasise the importance of balanced and safe communities, with a strong priority placed on the provision of locally needed affordable housing and jobs and services that are easier to reach. The policies aim to address their aspirations whilst conserving and enhancing the National Park.
- 12.3 HC1 considers the circumstances in which new housing will be permitted whilst complying with national park purposes. The emphasis is placed on affordable housing; what should happen if that is not viable; when open market housing might be appropriate; and what should happen when aged persons' accommodation is proposed. HC2 deals with provision for rural enterprise key workers. Paragraph 12.22 explains how the National Park Authority can assist housing providers who wish to buy existing homes to add them to the affordable housing stock. HC3 deals with accommodation for gypsies, travellers and showpeople<sup>86</sup>.
- 12.4 HC4 then seeks to enable or retain a wide range of community focussed services and facilities, and HC5 provides opportunities for shops, professional services and related activities in settlements and for other limited retail uses in the countryside such as farm shops.
- 12.5 The profile for housing and households<sup>87</sup> is summarised as follows:
- In 2008/09 there were an estimated 17,900 homes<sup>87</sup> plus more than 900 second or holiday homes (5% approximately - significantly higher than the 2001 average of 0.6% for all England but lower than the percentage in most other UK national parks).
- In 2001:
- There were 15,949 households;
  - 3.2% of homes were vacant (the same as the average for England as a whole);
  - The proportion of residents who own their homes (43%) outright was much higher than in England as a whole (29%);
  - The proportion of pensioner households (28.2%) was higher than the average for England (23.7%);
  - There is an estimated backlog of unmet eligible need for around 660 affordable homes throughout the National Park: 375 in Derbyshire Dales, 100 in High Peak, 145 in Staffordshire Moorlands and 40 elsewhere.
- 12.6 The National Park covers parts of nine District and Unitary Council Housing Authorities within which numerous housing providers, both social and private, operate. The authorities and social housing providers make use of government subsidy for affordable

---

<sup>86</sup> Also known as "showmen."

<sup>87</sup> ONS (2001) Census together with an update on the number of dwellings taken from the Peak District National Park Authority Annual Monitoring Reports. .

housing<sup>88</sup> and in addition, private funding is sometimes available for individual homes or in limited cases for larger schemes linked to conservation and enhancement of the National Park. The National Park Authority's role is to develop the spatial strategy within which these interested parties act, ensuring acceptable design and location in the context of both the environment and availability of services. The Authority is able to restrict the occupation of many new homes to meet the eligible needs of people in the locality, and can restrict their size and type to help focus on the need for affordable housing.

- 12.7 Policies carry forward the strategy established by the 1994 former Structure Plan, directing new housing development towards (i) the eligible local need for affordable homes and (ii) that which is essential to secure the significant conservation and enhancement of particular sites and buildings. The latter might still justify open market homes in some cases, but policy now aims to maximise delivery of affordable housing in all cases apart from changes of use (e.g. of a barn) to a single home where affordable housing is not normally viable. This will be increasingly important as the relatively few remaining site and building opportunities become developed. The established practices of neither adopting a housing target or limit, nor allocating land 'on-plan'<sup>89</sup> are continued, emphasising instead a commitment to deliver housing through careful discussion with local communities when need and resources result in genuine opportunities.
- 12.8 Between 1991<sup>90</sup> and 2008/09, 1,664 new homes were provided in the National Park raising the total to about 18,000. Of these, some 378 were controlled so that they address the locally-arising need for affordable housing in perpetuity, and 85 were for agricultural key workers<sup>91</sup>. Some of the open market housing was permitted before, but built after, the former Structure Plan was adopted (1994) with its intent to slow down the rate of new open market house building. Most involved changing the use of buildings rather than building new ones and in that sense did not add to the total number of buildings in the landscape. The overall result was a surprisingly high (10%) increase in the number of homes. However, the large old mill buildings have now almost all been re-used for housing, and most of the easy opportunities have also been taken to reuse smaller buildings such as barns.
- 12.9 Policy LH2 of the Peak District National Park Local Plan (2001) sets out criteria that need to be met in order to satisfy decision makers<sup>92</sup> that identified needs for affordable housing are those that arise within the National Park. The criteria include requirements for 10 years residency in the national park, and make an allowance for people that have temporarily moved away. In practice, assessments of need are adjusted to reflect this before they advise on the number of affordable homes necessary to satisfy this 'eligible' need. Throughout the Core Strategy references to "eligible" local need take this into account. Such criteria will be reviewed in a subsequent Development Management Policy document.

---

<sup>88</sup> This is provided via the Homes and Communities Agency "Single Conversation" investment plans

<sup>89</sup> Instead, extensive use is made of a "rural exception site policy" which enables small rural sites that would not normally be used for housing (because, for example, they are subject to policies of general restraint) to be used for locally needed affordable housing in perpetuity, whilst also ensuring that rural areas continue to develop as sustainable, mixed, inclusive communities: see Planning Policy Statement (PPS) 3: Housing (2006)

<sup>90</sup> The base date for calculations in the former Structure Plan

<sup>91</sup> Homes for agricultural key workers make up an important part of all accommodation in the National Park (see policy HC2) but are not required by Government for its housing statistics.

<sup>92</sup> Decision makers in the land use planning system are the National Park Authority, Planning Inspectors and the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government.

## **National policy context**

- 12.10 Government has made it clear<sup>93</sup> that in national parks it:
- wishes to foster healthy, prosperous, and involved local communities living within environmental limits in landscapes notable for their natural beauty;
  - understands the importance of focussing on the local need for affordable housing as part of sustainable local rural communities and economies;
  - recognises that national parks are not suitable locations for unrestricted housing and does not therefore provide general housing targets for them; and
  - considers the delivery of statutory national park purposes as being the National Park Authorities' primary role.
- 12.11 National policy<sup>94</sup> promotes a variety of ways to provide a range of types and sizes of homes to suit the identified needs of changing populations. It asks local authorities to work together at the sub-regional level when determining levels of housing provision, to take into account evidence about housing markets and land availability.
- 12.12 PPS4<sup>95</sup> requires Local Planning Authorities to identify deficiencies in local shopping and other facilities which serve day to day needs, and to seek to remedy them. Emphasis is placed upon increased accessibility, particularly by public transport, walking and cycling. If a proposal would lead to the loss or change of use of an important service or facility, its importance to the local community or the local economy must be taken into account.
- 12.13 PPS4 also promotes the vitality and viability of town and village centres, emphasizing they should offer a strong retail mix, competition giving consumer choice, and a sense of place. Authorities should aim to conserve and where appropriate enhance their character and diversity, recognising the vibrancy that can be provided by smaller shops. Applications which fail to protect existing facilities which provide for peoples' day-to-day needs should be refused. When determining proposals for new retail development, a sequential approach should be applied, giving preference to the town centre, then edge of centre, and only then to out of centre sites. Local Planning Authorities should define any locally important impacts on centres which should be tested when determining applications. Authorities should respond positively to applications for farm shops which meet a demand for local produce in a sustainable way and contribute to the rural economy, as long as they do not adversely affect easily accessible convenience shopping.

## **Contribution to spatial outcomes**

- 12.14 Policies for homes, shops and community facilities contribute strongly to the spatial outcome for National Park communities to be sustainable and resilient with a reduced level of eligible affordable housing need and improved access to services and facilities such as community halls, sports grounds, health services, village shops and pubs. In addition they contribute to aims for economy, landscape and conservation, and traffic, travel and accessibility. The policies are intended to enable housing providers to address the known eligible need for affordable housing as fully as possible within the constraints set by national park purposes. The needs of groups such as key workers, young people and the elderly are taken into account. Village plans prepared by local communities can help identify local priorities and indicate how action might be taken to achieve them.

---

<sup>93</sup> Defra (2010) English National Parks and the Broads: UK Government Vision and Circular. This Circular interprets the 1995 Environment Act; Planning Policy Statement (PPS) 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas (2004)

<sup>94</sup> DCLG (2006) Planning Policy Statement 3: Housing

<sup>95</sup> DCLG (2009) Planning Policy Statement 4: Planning for sustainable growth

12.15 In line with the development strategy (policy DS1) core policies support the provision of affordable homes for local need and consolidate services:

12.16 Core policies for homes and communities will contribute to the following spatial outcomes:

Across the whole of the National Park policies will support:

- work within these communities to explore and identify the potential for new affordable homes and to meet wider community needs and challenges;
- the provision of new affordable housing by buying existing buildings as part of the effective use of the existing housing stock;
- retention and provision of community facilities and services.

Across the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes policies will support:<sup>96</sup>

- The provision of between 35 and 75 homes in Edale, Hayfield, High Bradfield, Holme, Little Hayfield, Low Bradfield, and Tintwistle (depending on identified capacity), with perhaps an additional 35 outside these settlements (e.g. agricultural dwellings and change of use or conversion). Around 80% are expected to be locally needed affordable homes, meeting up to 94% of identified eligible need in this area if the upper figure is achieved.

Across the White Peak and Derwent Valley policies will support:

- The provision of between 550 and 890 homes in Alstonefield, Ashford, Bakewell, Bamford, Baslow, Beeley, Biggin, Birchover, Bradwell, Culver, Castleton, Chelmorton, Curbar, Earl Sterndale, Edensor, Elton, Eyam, Fenny Bentley, Flagg, Foolow, Froggatt, Great Hucklow, Great Longstone, Grindleford, Hartington, Hathersage, Hope, Litton, Middleton by Youlgrave, Monyash, Over Haddon, Parwich, Peak Forest, Pilsley, Rowsley, Stanton in Peak, Stoney Middleton, Taddington, Thorpe, Tideswell, Tissington, Wardlow, Wensley, Wetton, Winster, and Youlgrave (depending on identified capacity), with perhaps an additional 125 outside these settlements (e.g. agricultural dwellings and change of use or conversion). Around 60% are expected to be locally needed affordable homes, more than meeting identified eligible need in this area if the upper figure is achieved.

Across the South West Peak policies will support:

- The provision of between 30 and 130 homes in Butterton, Calton, Flash, Grindon, Kettlethulme, Longnor, Rainow, Sheen Waterhouses, and Warslow (depending on identified capacity), with perhaps an additional 30 outside these settlements (e.g. agricultural dwellings and change of use or conversion). Around 60% are expected to be locally needed affordable homes, meeting up to 99% of identified eligible need in this area if the upper figure is achieved.

## **The policies**

HC1 - New housing

HC2 - Housing for key workers in agriculture, forestry or other rural enterprises

HC3 - Sites for gypsies, travellers or travelling showpeople

HC4 - Provision and retention of community services and facilities

HC5 - Shops, professional services and related activities

---

<sup>96</sup> Figures given here are estimates and are neither targets nor limits. The wide range in each area reflects uncertainties about funding and availability of suitable sites. For more detail see the delivery plan.

## New housing

- 12.17 The Core Strategy carries forward the policy approach used in the Peak District National Park since 1994: that it is not appropriate to permit new housing simply in response to the significant open market demand to live in it's sought after environment. The limited number of opportunities for new residential development emphasises the importance of concentrating on the eligible need within the National Park for affordable (including intermediate<sup>97</sup>) homes, rather than catering for a wider catchment area. Addressing the eligible local need<sup>98</sup> for affordable housing helps those who cannot compete in the open housing market. It is a justifiable reason for new housing provided there is no harm to the National Park and its valued characteristics. It can help people who move away from the National Park (e.g. for further education and early careers) to return within a reasonable period and counteract, to some degree, the overall trend towards an ageing population. The use of rural-exception sites that are not defined or allocated on a plan helps since national policy is clear that they should be used for affordable housing<sup>99</sup>.
- 12.18 Occasionally, new housing (whether newly built or from re-use of an existing building) may be the best way to achieve conservation and enhancement (for example of a valued building) or the treatment of a despoiled site. Sometimes this requires the impetus provided by open market values, but wherever possible and financially viable such developments should add to the stock of affordable housing, either on the site itself or elsewhere in the National Park. It is accepted that for small schemes capable of providing only one dwelling (whether new-build or changing the use of a building such as a barn) this is unlikely to be viable. However, unless open-market values are demonstrably required for conservation and enhancement purposes, all other schemes of this type that provide new housing should be controlled by agreements to keep them affordable and available for eligible local needs in-perpetuity. In some cases there might be a mismatch between the short term need in the locality and the number of affordable homes that a viable scheme could provide. Where it could provide more affordable homes than are needed in the parish and its adjacent parishes, the potential benefit of affordable housing can be transferred to other parts of the National Park by use of a financial mechanism. Policy HC1C (IV) sets out the principle: the mechanics of which (for example whether such benefit should be used as locally as possible and scales off-site developer contributions) will be set out in a subsequent development management policy document. Policy GSP2 defines the nature of conservation and enhancement that might provide a primary justification for new housing in such circumstances. More complex schemes may allow for community infrastructure other than housing but the primary justification will still be conservation and enhancement. Policy E1 makes specific provision for this at E1D, addressing a situation that has become more common in recent years: when employment sites need to be comprehensively redeveloped.
- 12.19 Housing providers have to consider a variety and range of needs for different sizes, types and tenure of home (such as those for key workers, care homes for the elderly or infirm, single people or young families). This is an important part of assessing need<sup>100</sup>, and in developments of 3 or more affordable homes providers will need to show that they have taken into account the views of the housing authority in this respect. Any

---

<sup>97</sup> PPS 3 states that "affordable housing" comprises both social rented and intermediate housing. It is "Housing at prices and rents above those of social rent, but below market price or rents" and "can include shared equity products (eg Home Buy), other low cost homes for sale and intermediate rent..." This definition encompasses the "more affordable" homes in the National Park which are covered by legal agreements that restrict spatial markets (and thereby value).

<sup>98</sup> Need arising within the National Park

<sup>99</sup> See footnote 91

<sup>100</sup> DCLG (2006) Planning Policy Statement 3: Housing



open market developments of 3 or more homes will also need to reflect the needs of the area for sizes and types of home that help extend the local range of choice. Other than where justified by conservation and enhancement, developments of less than 3 affordable homes will tend to be from private developers aiming to satisfy a particular individual need which will still have to be justified. Wherever appropriate and viable, new homes should be built to 'lifetime home' standards (where the needs of the elderly and infirm for stair-lifts, bath lifts or wheel chairs can be more easily met), and existing homes improved to the same standards.

12.20 The National Park Authority will work with the Homes and Communities Agency, housing authorities and rural housing enablers, local communities, housing providers and other developers to help implement housing policies: delivering housing for the benefit of residents and, where appropriate, the conservation and enhancement of valued characteristics. Much of this cooperation will be to further the Local Investment Plans agreed with the Homes and Communities Agency. Delivery will be monitored and reported on annually. This will enable the National Park Authority to openly review each year how effective policy is; taking into account changing circumstances in housing finance and delivery; taking into account improved information about the capacity to accommodate development; and considering whether changes are necessary in order to secure the necessary amounts of locally needed affordable. If appropriate, the Authority will bring forward a partial review of the Core Strategy with the potential to change policy to this end. It will also review and continue to make use of Development Management Policies, Supplementary Planning Documents and legal agreements to provide a comprehensive framework within which affordable housing can be secured in perpetuity.

12.21 The special measures necessary in protected landscapes suggest that affordable homes do not always need to be newly built<sup>101</sup>. Instead, both their number and proportion might sometimes be increased by buying suitable existing open market homes. This would not conflict with national park purposes and landscape conservation, would offer an opportunity to modernise existing homes and reduce their carbon footprints, and could benefit places where there is no capacity to build new homes. Since planning permission is unlikely to be required, housing providers and authorities would exercise their own discretion about the eligibility of occupants. The National Park Authority will work with its partners to further explore the potential for this approach and the benefits that it might bring over time.

#### **HC1: New housing**

Provision will not be made for housing solely to meet open market demand. Housing land will not be allocated in the development plan. Exceptionally, new housing (whether newly built or from re-use of an existing building) can be accepted where:

A. It addresses eligible local needs:

- I. for homes that remain affordable with occupation restricted to local people in perpetuity; or

---

<sup>101</sup> This was recognized in the evidence base, examination and conclusions of the former East Midlands Regional Plan. It is consistent with national policy for empty property strategy, renewal of stock and the need to integrate affordable housing into existing communities (DCLG (2006) Planning Policy Statement 3: Housing).

- II. for aged persons' assisted accommodation including residential institutions offering care, where adequate care or assistance cannot be provided within the existing housing stock. In such cases, sufficient flexibility will be allowed in determining the local residential qualification to take into account their short term business needs whilst maintaining local residency restrictions for the long term.
- B. It provides for key workers in agriculture, forestry or other rural enterprises in accordance with core policy HC2.
- C. In accordance with core policies GSP1 and GSP2:
- I. it is required in order to achieve conservation and/or enhancement of valued vernacular or listed buildings; or
  - II. it is required in order to achieve conservation or enhancement in settlements listed in core policy DS1.
- Any scheme proposed under CI or CII that is able to accommodate more than one dwelling unit, must also address identified eligible local need and be affordable with occupation restricted to local people in perpetuity, unless:
- III. it is not financially viable, although the intention will still be to maximise the proportion of affordable homes within viability constraints; or
  - IV. it would provide more affordable homes than are needed in the parish and the adjacent parishes, now and in the near future: in which case (also subject to viability considerations), a financial contribution<sup>102</sup> will be required towards affordable housing needed elsewhere in the National Park.

### **Housing for key workers, including those employed in agriculture, forestry or other rural enterprises**

- 12.22 Government advocates the provision of affordable housing for key workers<sup>103</sup>. The Housing Needs Survey (2007) includes this within its general assessment of need. However, housing key workers in agriculture, forestry or other full-time enterprises which require a rural location, can sometimes justify a new home in open countryside in addition to the general provision. PPS7 sets out criteria applicable to new homes for agricultural and other essential workers<sup>104</sup> and Government advocates careful scrutiny to prevent abuse of these criteria. A rural enterprise must be acceptable in its location before a new home is even considered. It will often be more sustainable for workers to live in nearby towns or villages or in suitable existing buildings. Justifying a new home outside of a settlement depends on essential functional and financially sound needs of an enterprise for full-time employees and not on personal preferences or circumstances.
- 12.23 Applications to remove key worker occupancy restrictions must be carefully assessed. When the exceptional need for this type of home no longer exists it can contribute to the

<sup>102</sup> Known as "commuted sums", these contributions will be determined in legal agreements on a case by case basis until requirements are further clarified in development management documents

<sup>103</sup> DCLG (2006) Planning Policy Statement 3: Housing; and Defra (2010) English National Parks and the Broads: UK Government Vision and Circular.

<sup>104</sup> DCLG (2004) Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas

provision of intermediate “more affordable” housing or tourist accommodation to meet the aims of other policies. This is a particular issue in the National Park on which the Development Management document will elaborate.

### **HC2: Housing for key workers in agriculture, forestry or other rural enterprises**

- A. New housing for key workers in agriculture, forestry or other rural enterprises must be justified by functional and financial tests.
- B. Wherever possible it must be provided by re-using traditional buildings that are no longer required for their previous use.
- C. It will be tied to the land holding or rural enterprise for which it is declared to be needed.

## **Sites for gypsies, travellers or travelling showpeople**

12.24 National policy requires planning authorities to address the accommodation needs of gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople<sup>105</sup>. This Derbyshire Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment 2008 did not identify any need for pitches in the National Park, although it did identify a need to accommodate 2 pitches elsewhere in Derbyshire Dales district<sup>106</sup>. Nevertheless, this Core Strategy retains an approach which accepts that exceptional circumstances might justify small scale provision. This is unlikely to exceed 1 or 2 pitches. Planning permission will not be permanent, and need for a site will be kept under review. The key criteria to be met by all development are set out in policy GSP3. With caravans and mobile homes, landscape impact is a key concern and assessment of this will need to take into account the variations in tree and hedgerow cover throughout the seasons.

### **HC3: Sites for gypsies, travellers or travelling showpeople**

Gypsy, traveller or travelling showpeople's caravan or mobile home sites may be permitted only where there are exceptional circumstances of proven need for a small site that can be met without compromising national park purposes.

## **Provision and retention of community services and facilities**

12.25 The aim of the following policy is to enable or retain various forms of community-focused services. Such services include those listed in the Use Classes Order D1 and D2<sup>107</sup> such as clinics, health centres, day centres, playgrounds, playing fields and sports facilities, children's nurseries and schools, village halls and church centres. These are essential elements in maintaining vibrant and sustainable communities, which can benefit both local people and visitors. The National Park Management Plan recognises

<sup>105</sup> DCLG (2006) Planning Policy Statement 3: Housing; and Circular 04/2007: Planning for Travelling Showpeople

<sup>106</sup> This was recognised in the evidence base, examination and conclusions of the East Midlands Regional Plan

<sup>107</sup> The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes Order) 1987

the need for better and more accessible services across the National Park, reflecting many of the Sustainable Community Strategies of its constituent authorities.

- 12.26 For sustainability reasons, new or improved community facilities should be located within the settlements listed in policy DS1. However, in smaller villages new facilities may be provided by changing the use of buildings or via enhancement schemes. A clear need must be demonstrated. Parish Councils may wish to work with the National Park Authority on parish plans (see spatial and development strategy paragraph 8.24). The Authority will encourage sharing of new or existing buildings between user groups, such as operating a community gym within the village hall, or using a public house for a pre-school group. Clusters of smaller settlements could jointly develop community facilities in the most sustainable location.
- 12.27 There has been a decline in community services over the last ten years, particularly of shops, post offices, healthcare facilities and public houses. The Authority will continue to strongly resist the loss of any facility or service which meets an essential community need that is not available or reasonably accessible elsewhere. In all cases, another beneficial community use should be sought before permission is granted for removal of these facilities. Clear evidence of non-viability will be required, such as marketing the building or facility for a period of time to test whether another community interest, operator or owner could be found. Detailed requirements will be included in Development Management policy.
- 12.28 Taking into account the National Park's rural nature and the facilities in surrounding towns and cities, the current provision of sport and recreation facilities available to National Park communities is as good as can be expected. The difficulties of accessing facilities in such a rural area could be addressed by improving public transport links to surrounding towns and cities, but existing provision must be safeguarded. Development that would lead to the loss of a community recreation site or sports facility will not be permitted unless a satisfactory replacement is provided in advance. This must be located in or on the edge of the settlement in question.

#### **HC4: Provision and retention of community services and facilities**

- A. The provision or improvement of community facilities and services will be encouraged within settlements listed in core policy DS1, or on their edges if no suitable site is available within. Proposals must demonstrate evidence of community need. Preference will be given to the change of use of an existing traditional building, but a replacement building may be acceptable if enhancement can be achieved in accordance with policy GSP2. Shared or mixed use with other uses and community facilities will be encouraged.
- B. Elsewhere, proposals to provide community facilities and services involving a change of use of traditional buildings or a replacement building which achieves enhancement, will be encouraged.
- C. Proposals to change the use of buildings or sites which provide community services and facilities including shops and financial and professional services to non-community uses must demonstrate that the service or facility is:
- I. no longer needed; or
  - II. available elsewhere in the settlement; or
  - III. can no longer be viable.

Wherever possible, the new use must either meet another community need or offer alternative community benefit such as social housing. Evidence of reasonable attempts to secure such a use must be provided before any other use is permitted.

- D. The redevelopment of a community recreation site or sports facility for other uses will not be permitted until a satisfactory replacement site or facility has been provided, or it can be demonstrated that the facility is no longer required.

### **Shops, professional services and related activities**

- 12.29 The following policy supports retail premises and related activities within named settlements in policy DS1. This includes all other uses within Use Classes A1-5, such as financial services, restaurants and cafes, pubs and hot food takeaways. In Bakewell, the Central Shopping Area will be retained, to continue to consolidate shopping facilities in the town centre. The only exception to the focus on towns and villages is to allow small scale retail provision which is ancillary to a business or relates directly to a recreation or tourism activity, where this is appropriate to the sensitivity of its countryside location. Elsewhere, retail development will not be permitted.
- 12.30 The sequential approach in PPS4 (see paragraph 12.13 above) requires that proposals for new retail development must consider edge-of-centre and then out-of-centre locations where sites cannot be identified within the town centre. The National Park Authority will define in the Development Management Policies document the impacts on town and village centres which must be tested. However, in the case of Bakewell in particular, evidence in the Sub-regional Retail and Town Centre Study<sup>108</sup> already advises that development outside the town centre would harm its vitality and viability. Bakewell has a very diverse and attractive town centre, largely due to the mix of national retailers and small independent convenience shops, and many tourist-oriented shops offering gifts and higher quality niche shopping. The town's role as a market town, its important visitor trade, and the existence of many community facilities and services would be jeopardised if this retail offer was diminished. Proposals for edge- or out-of-centre developments would be unlikely to satisfy the impact tests.
- 12.31 Proposals for farm shops on farmsteads in the countryside may be acceptable if the majority of the goods for sale are produced on that farm. However, a shop selling other goods from the local area and beyond will be better located in a nearby town or village, for sustainability reasons and to protect the countryside from unnecessary development. Policy for business development in the countryside is set out in policy E2.
- 12.32 The National Park Authority will strongly resist changes of use of village shops which provide a valuable service to the community, and are (or could be) viable, under policy HC5. However, if non-viability is satisfactorily proven, attempts must be made to secure an alternative community use in its place before other uses are permitted.

---

<sup>108</sup> GVA Grimley (2009) Peak sub-Region Retail and Town Centre Study

## **HC5: Shops, professional services and related activities**

### In towns and villages:

- A. Shops, professional services and related activities must:
- I. be located within the Bakewell Central Shopping Area, or in or on the edge of named settlements listed in policy DS1; and
  - II. be of appropriate scale to serve the needs of the local community and the settlement's visitor capacity.
- B. Significant out of centre retail developments will not be permitted.
- C. Related activities such as professional services, and premises for the sale and consumption of food and drink, will be permitted provided that there is no harm to living conditions or to the role or character of the area, including its vitality and viability.

### In the countryside:

- D. Farm shops may be acceptable provided that they principally sell goods grown, produced or processed on the farm.
- E. Other retail use in the countryside will only be acceptable where proposals are small scale, and it must:
- I. be ancillary to a business acceptable under policy E2; or
  - II. relate directly to and be ancillary to recreation and tourism facilities; and
  - III. take account of impact on local centres.

# 13. Supporting economic development



## 13. Supporting economic development

---

### Introduction

- 13.1 The National Park Authority wishes to see a diverse and prosperous local economy, with opportunities for people who have a wide range of skills and aspirations. The National Park Management Plan (NPMP) aims for improved prosperity, with businesses, social enterprise and the public sector working together to raise productivity. A sustainable economy will capitalise on its location in a special and distinctive environment. Spatial policies will support key programmes flowing from the NPMP and those delivered by partners, by enabling business development in the most sustainable locations, centred on activities most suited to the high quality environments of the National Park.
- 13.2 Economic profile:
- Economic activity rates in the Peak District are higher than the national average.
  - Unemployment is lower than the national average, but the trend is upwards in line with national trends.
  - Businesses tend to have fewer employees than regionally or nationally.
  - Wages in sectors that offer seasonal or relatively unskilled work tend to be lower than regional or national average and there is a constant issue in raising skills and wage levels across the working age population.
  - The rural economy is interdependent with the urban employment centres nearby.
  - About half of the working population travels to jobs outside the National Park.
  - Non-residents fill about 4 out of 10 jobs in the National Park.
  - The commuting distance for most people is below average because of the close proximity of large employment centres such as Manchester and Sheffield.
  - The majority of National Park businesses are within the service sector but most people are employed in manufacturing, electricity, gas and water supply.
  - Agriculture accounts for 19% of businesses.
  - Hotels and restaurants account for 19% of businesses but only 10% of jobs.
- 13.3 In line with general spatial policies and other core themes in the plan, this chapter covers some of the key strategic issues for the Peak District economy. Core policies encourage a range of business opportunities, with scope for new sites and buildings providing jobs and generating income within the named villages of policy DS1. There are opportunities for economic development in smaller settlements and on farmsteads, particularly through the re-use of traditional buildings of historic or vernacular merit, e.g. where this supplements farm incomes and helps sustain the valued landscapes and characteristics of the National Park. Policy also safeguards an appropriate range and spread of employment sites and buildings across the National Park, giving scope for less suitable sites to be redeveloped to provide for other important local purposes. The important contribution of tourism to the local economy is recognised: policies enabling the development of tourist businesses, visitor facilities, holiday accommodation and camping and caravan sites are covered within Recreation and Tourism chapter.
- 13.4 Core Strategy policy essentially maintains the 1994 former Structure Plan approach. The policy allows business development within towns and villages, but with more flexibility for businesses in the countryside, so that other people who contribute to maintaining the landscape can benefit from additional income.



- 13.5 A central principle of the Authority's spatial policies is the connection between people and communities, the local economy, and the special environment of the Peak District. An emda study of the National Park economy<sup>109</sup> highlighted the beneficial impact that the Peak District landscape has on business performance. Conserving and enhancing the high quality environment of the area is important for the local economy. Spatial policies will assist the delivery of programmes which encourage employment that promotes links with the environment, such as Live & Work Rural, the Environmental Quality Mark, and the Sustainable Development Fund. Agencies such as Business Link, and the National Park Authority offer significant resource and advisory functions to support existing and new business enterprise.

### **National policy context**

- 13.6 The Environment Act 1995 gives National Park Authorities a duty to foster the economic and social well-being of local communities in carrying out national park purposes. This implies that we should plan for the needs of our communities, and not seek to accommodate new jobs intended mainly for people who live beyond the National Park. This is a key sustainability principle underpinning the Core Strategy. The National Park Circular 2010<sup>110</sup> promotes growth, development and investment of an appropriate scale, to broaden the economic base and bring higher value local employment opportunities. Planning policies should foster improvements in productivity and incomes.
- 13.7 National policy and guidance requires plans to provide suitable and appropriate land for economic development to meet current and future needs, and to be flexible enough to allow for changes in the economy. It seeks to locate most development in or on the edge of centres where employment, housing services etc can be provided close together, and advises a strict control of economic development in open countryside. Farm diversification is supported where it is consistent in scale and impact with its rural location.

### **Contribution to spatial outcomes**

- 13.8 The spatial outcome for the economy is that by 2026 the rural economy will be stronger and more sustainable, with more businesses contributing positively to conservation and enhancement of the valued characteristics of the National Park whilst providing high quality jobs for local people. Economy policies will play a key role in supporting agriculture and land and estate management, and developing small businesses. A positive approach, with a focus on villages and the land-based economy, will help our commitment to achieving a network of sustainable rural communities.
- 13.9 Core Policies for economy will contribute to the following spatial outcomes:

Across all parts of the National Park policies will:

- Support agricultural and land management businesses that conserve and enhance the valued characteristics of the landscape;
- Support diversification of agriculture and land management businesses;
- Encourage the effective re-use of traditional buildings.

Across the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes:

- No specific area outcomes

---

<sup>109</sup> SQW Consulting (2008) Contribution of the Peak District National Park to the economy of the East Midlands

<sup>110</sup> Defra (2010) English National Parks and the Broads: UK government Vision and Circular

Across the White Peak and Derwent Valley policies will:

- Support business start-up and development particularly where it creates high skill - high wage jobs in the named villages in Policy DS1 and shown on the key diagram;
- Retain and enhance the role of Bakewell as an agricultural market town and centre for business;
- Protect employment sites in sustainable locations such as Bakewell, Tideswell and through the Hope Valley, but consider redevelopment of lower quality employment sites in less sustainable locations for other uses including mixed use.

Across the South West Peak policies will

- Seek to retain an appropriate range of employment sites in sustainable locations such as Longnor and Warslow.

### **The policies**

E1 – Business development in towns and villages

E2 – Businesses in the countryside

### **Business development in towns and villages**

- 13.10 National policy and guidance requires plans to be positive and flexible towards economic development, particularly in or on the edge of service centres. The intention of the following policy is to enable the Peak District economy to become stronger and more sustainable as envisaged by the National Park Management Plan. The Peak Sub-Region Employment Land Review<sup>111</sup> highlighted that there is no need for additional employment land. However, policy will allow small businesses to set up within or on the edge of named settlements listed in policy DS1, at a level appropriate for the needs of people living in the immediate local area. Town or village locations are more likely to be served by public transport and allow workers easy access to services and facilities. People will continue to be able to work from home, provided that they do not adversely affect their neighbours or the surrounding area. The towns and cities around the Peak District provide many employment opportunities for residents who travel beyond the National Park boundary, and the policy does not interfere with other authorities' aspirations for economic regeneration.
- 13.11 Businesses should preferably be located in existing traditional buildings of historic or vernacular merit or where they can achieve enhancement, such as on previously-developed sites. The construction of a new building for employment uses will also be possible in some circumstances.
- 13.12 The National Park Authority wishes to keep the best business sites and buildings from other development pressures. It will also be important to retain some lower quality sites to offer a range of opportunities for business start-up and growth. The Employment Land Review will be used, together with the consideration of other factors, to assess needs and opportunities and identify the best existing sites to meet the needs of people living in the local area.

---

<sup>111</sup> Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners (2008) Peak Sub-Region Employment Land Review

- 13.13 To grasp the opportunities for growth, the Authority and its partners will encourage high-spec, high tech and creative businesses to set up in the National Park, by promoting its attractive location and skilled workforce. Appropriate site infrastructure and other improvements such as broadband will be encouraged.

### **E1: Business development in towns and villages**

Proposals for business development in Bakewell and settlements named in policy DS1 must take account of the following principles:

- A. New sites and buildings for business development will be permitted within or on the edge of the named settlements in policy DS1. Proposals must be of a scale that is consistent with the needs of the local population. Wherever possible, proposals must re-use existing traditional buildings of historic or vernacular merit or previously developed sites, and take up opportunities for enhancement. Where this is not possible, new buildings may be permitted.
- B. Appropriate improvements to make existing employment sites more attractive to businesses will be welcomed.
- C. Home working will be encouraged provided that it is at an appropriate scale.
- D. The National Park Authority will safeguard existing business land or buildings, particularly those which are of high quality and in a suitable location. Where the location, premises, activities or operations of an employment site are considered by the Authority to no longer be appropriate, opportunities for enhancement will be sought, which may include redevelopment to provide affordable housing or community uses.

### **Businesses in the countryside**

- 13.14 Government policy recognises the role of agriculture in maintaining and managing the countryside and valued landscapes. Policy E2 seeks to broaden the opportunity for rural business, and offers scope for business enterprise by making positive use of traditional buildings of historic or vernacular merit or modern buildings in some circumstances. Alongside policy E1 this policy gives spatial guidance to direct business development to the best locations and conserve the more sensitive areas. This policy applies to all areas of the National Park outside the Natural Zone and named settlements (see policy DS1). The intention of this policy is to encourage small scale business development within any smaller settlement, on farmsteads, and in groups of buildings in sustainable locations. It will foster rural enterprise and allow farmers and land managers to diversify their income, helping them to maintain their land and buildings sustainably and conform to core policies to protect the valued characteristics of the area.
- 13.15 A further element of the policy is particularly aimed at farms and estates. In these cases, it will be vital to achieve long-term security of the link between the primary business and the ownership and control of the site and building through legal agreements, to ensure that income will be returned to management of the landscape and valued traditional buildings. Businesses need not necessarily be related in type to the produce of the farm, but activities could include the development of new agricultural opportunities or adding value to primary produce.

- 13.16 Businesses are encouraged to re-use existing traditional buildings of historic or vernacular merit wherever possible. However, in line with national policy statements, more modern agricultural buildings may be re-used if development management criteria are satisfied. It may be possible to replace an existing building with a smaller new building, if siting and design can achieve enhancement. However, business use in existing or new isolated buildings in more remote areas of the countryside will not be permitted. Decisions will take full account of factors including the character of the surrounding landscape, the degree of separation from other buildings or settlements, and the nature of road access. Proposals to redevelop a business site or building in the open countryside for other uses are not likely to be acceptable unless enhancement can be achieved (see policy GSP2).
- 13.17 Although a particular level of business activity may be acceptable in a countryside location, its growth and intensification could have a more significant impact on the appearance and character of landscapes. Successful businesses may require an increased scale of operation which may not be in keeping with the character of the National Park. A small scale business may be established on a farm, but as it grows and increases employees, deliveries etc it should consider moving to a more sustainable location in an appropriate town or village.
- 13.18 Additional housing related to business use is covered by policy HC2. Home working is included in policy E1. Other developments in the countryside are covered elsewhere in the Core Strategy: recreation, environmental education and interpretation development (policy RT1), visitor accommodation and camping and caravan sites (policies RT2 and RT3), and retail operations (policy HC5).
- 13.19 Through its activities and programmes, the National Park Authority promotes potential links between the economy and valued landscape characteristics, and encourages businesses to develop new agricultural opportunities or add value to primary produce.

## **E2: Businesses in the countryside**

Proposals for business development in the countryside outside the Natural Zone and the named settlements in policy DS1, must take account of the following principles:

- A. Businesses should be located in existing traditional buildings of historic or vernacular merit in smaller settlements, on farmsteads, and in groups of buildings in sustainable locations. However where no suitable traditional building exists, the reuse of modern buildings may be acceptable provided that there is no scope for further enhancement through a more appropriate replacement building.
- B. On farmsteads, or groups of estate buildings, small scale business development will be permitted provided that it supports an existing agricultural or other primary business responsible for estate or land management. The primary business must retain ownership and control of the site and building, to ensure that income will be returned to appropriate management of the landscape.
- C. Business use in an isolated existing or new building in the open countryside will not be permitted.
- D. Proposals to accommodate growth and intensification of existing businesses will be considered carefully in terms of their impact on the appearance and character of landscapes.

E. Ancillary retail operations must be small scale and principally offering for sale goods which are produced at the premises (see also policy HC5).

Beyond this policy and policies RT1, RT2 and RT3, there is no scope for setting up new businesses in the countryside.



# 14. Minerals



## 14. Minerals

---

### Introduction

- 14.1 The landscape, scenic beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage features of the National Park are a unique asset for the people who live and work in the area and for the nation. The geology of the area has not only influenced the creation of these features, but has been exploited due to the type and extent of the minerals available and the proximity to markets. Mineral extraction within the National Park is one of the most contentious activities, since it conflicts with national park purposes set out in the Environment Act 1995.

### National policy context

- 14.2 To protect the National Park, overarching government policy in MPS1<sup>112</sup> and PPS7<sup>113</sup> does not allow major mineral development other than in exceptional circumstances. Consideration of such proposals must assess the need for the development, the availability of alternatives, the environmental effects, and the impact on local economy of permitting or refusing the development. The regional policy has sought for many years to constrain mineral development within the National Park, particularly aggregates extraction, by progressively reducing the proportion and amounts of aggregates and other land won minerals<sup>114</sup>. This Core Strategy now seeks to continue this policy legacy. Mineral working proposals not considered 'major' are subject to lesser but still significant tests. Due to national park designation, and other internationally important wildlife areas and other constraints, there is a need for careful assessment of all schemes. Minerals development will need to take account of the need to protect and enhance the environment, recognising the limits to the environment's capacity to accept further development without irreversible damage.
- 14.3 National planning policy in MPS1 and in its accompanying Good Practice Guide<sup>115</sup> set out the requirement for the National Park Authority to consider the issue of mineral safeguarding. Other Government policy and guidance set out a raft of guidance on specific mineral types and mineral issues, such as restoration<sup>116</sup>. In addition, updated guidance is set out in the new National Park Circular 2010<sup>117</sup> which indicates that "*Applications for all major developments should be subject to the most rigorous examination and proposals should be demonstrated to be in the public interest before being allowed to proceed. The criteria for assessment of such applications are currently set out in paragraph 14 of MPS1 and paragraph 22 of PPS7. The Government expects all public authorities with responsibility for the regulation of development in the Parks to apply the test rigorously...*"
- 14.4 The Core Strategy is focussed on working towards the continued gradual reduction of aggregates and other land-won minerals within the National Park; however the ability to achieve this policy aim is limited by the high level of extant permitted mineral reserves within the National Park. The Core Strategy seeks to implement this approach by not allowing new sites or extensions to existing sites, however the National Park Authority proposes an exception with regard to fluorspar where other factors indicate that a permissive approach

---

<sup>112</sup> DCLG (2009) Minerals Policy Statement 1: Planning and Minerals: Paragraph 14 indicates that developments should be subject to the most rigorous examination, with demonstration that development is in the public interest before being allowed to proceed. An assessment for development should include: i) the need for the development, including in terms of national considerations of mineral supply and the impact of permitting it, or refusing it, upon the local economy; ii) the cost of, and scope for making available an alternative supply from outside the designated area, or meeting the need for it in some other way; iii) any detrimental effect on the environment, the landscape and recreational opportunities and the extent to which that could be moderated.

<sup>113</sup> ODPM (2004) Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas

<sup>114</sup> This was recognised in the evidence base, examination and conclusions of the East Midlands Regional Plan

<sup>115</sup> DCLG (2006) Minerals and Planning: Practice Guide

<sup>116</sup> ODPM (1996) Minerals Planning Guidance 7: Reclamation of Mineral Workings

<sup>117</sup> Defra (2010) English National Parks and the Broads: UK Government Vision and Circular



towards environmentally acceptable proposals should continue to be permitted across the plan period. Fluorspar is an important locally distinctive issue to the Peak District because of its scarcity in the UK.

## Aggregates

- 14.5 There is extensive national policy on the provision of aggregate minerals. Much of this is set out in MPS1. The main requirements affecting the Peak District are in respect of:
- not permitting major mineral developments in national parks other than in exceptional circumstances;
  - making provision for defined quantities of aggregates over specific periods;
  - maintaining a 'landbank' of permitted reserves (to allow the ordered development of permitted workings) from outside national parks as far as is practicable;
  - giving "great weight" to the conservation of landscape and countryside, wildlife and heritage, and avoidance of impacts on recreation, in assessing non-major mineral development in national parks.
- 14.6 There remains no case for granting major planning permissions for aggregates working in the National Park. Existing permissions will allow significant output for many years in any event. As sites are worked out or become time-expired, there is likely to be a gradual rundown in output in line with regional policy, whilst retaining sufficient permitted reserves to meet the aggregates apportionment figure set out for the National Park at a regional level. There are enormous permitted reserves of rock suitable for crushing for aggregates outside the National Park, primarily in Derbyshire. Over time the likelihood is that these will progressively substitute for production within the National Park. This process is supported by Derbyshire County Council and the Regional Aggregates Working Party (RAWP), who are recognising this policy shift in the aggregates apportionment figures developed for the period 2005 to 2020. Although there will be redistribution of the location of workings over time, there does not appear to be any risk to overall regional or national supply.

## Cement

- 14.7 There is considerable Government policy on the provision of cement-making materials set out in MPG10<sup>118</sup>, to which the Authority policy generally conforms. An overview on raw materials used in the cement industry has been provided by the British Geological Survey<sup>119</sup>.
- 14.8 The operator of Hope Cement Works (Lafarge) has four operational plants in mainland UK; Hope has the highest production capacity, with Cauldon just outside the National Park in Staffordshire a close second. Tarmac has concentrated its cement production at Tunstead, which again lies just outside the National Park boundary in Derbyshire. Meanwhile, many of the cement works listed in MPG10 have since closed, whilst not a single new site has been developed (though permission has been given for one on a greenfield site at Snodland in Kent). The result is that the quarrying of cement-making materials has been concentrated in the Peak District National Park, and cement manufacture in and around it. This is considered to be the opposite of the long term outcome envisaged by planning policy.
- 14.9 The Authority considers the major limestone and shale quarrying and cement making at Hope in principle to be fundamentally incompatible with national park purposes. The current approach to cement making at hope is to commit to assisting the owners to retain modern and efficient operations there until the current consents expire. The decision on future extraction and operation of Hope Cement works will be based around a consideration of many factors including need for cement, economic impact, National Park purposes and relevant planning policies. It will be necessary to address these long-term decisions beyond the current

---

<sup>118</sup> ODPM (1991) Minerals Planning Guidance 10: Provision of raw materials for the cement industry

<sup>119</sup> British Geological Survey (2008) Mineral Planning Factsheet: Cement.

consents also in relation to other alternatives outside of the National Park. Subsequent reviews of the Core Strategy will be the appropriate time to start to consider an issue that will then be pertinent to future strategic planning time horizons. The Authority is keen to deal with the future of Hope through the plan led system and considers that all interested parties can usefully develop their thought processes on this issue now in anticipation of subsequent reviews.

### Industrial limestone

14.10 This is not specifically mentioned in national policy and is noted only in passing in the accompanying Good Practice Guide as one of a number of industrial minerals required in England in substantial quantities.

### Fluorspar

14.11 There is no national policy specifically on fluorspar working. The general policies of national policy apply, requiring exceptional circumstances to justify major mineral working in the National Park. It has a policy on supply which has particular relevance to fluorspar. It aims to: *“source mineral supplies indigenously, to avoid exporting potential environmental damage, whilst recognising the primary role that market conditions play”*<sup>120</sup>.

### Building and roofing stone

14.12 There is considerable Government policy on planning for building and roofing stone, set out in MPS1 Annex 3. This particularly encourages the recognition of the special attributes of quarries for building and roofing stone in plan preparation and decisions on planning applications.

14.13 Regional policy indicated that *“whilst locally won building and roofing stone is needed for use in heritage protection this must be carefully balanced against the important requirement to protect the natural environment, particularly where this coincides with environmentally sensitive areas like the Peak District National Park”*. This long-standing balance between competing factors is an issue this Core Strategy now seeks to balance.

### Safeguarding

14.14 The national policy background to safeguarding minerals from sterilisation is set out in MPS1, which states that an objective of national minerals policy is *“to safeguard mineral resources as far as possible”*. Authorities should:

- define Mineral Safeguarding Areas (MSAs), in order that proven resources are not needlessly sterilised by non-mineral development, although there is no presumption that resources defined in MSAs will be worked;
- encourage the prior extraction of minerals, where practicable, if it is necessary for non-mineral development to take place in MSAs;
- in unitary planning areas, define MSAs in LDDs<sup>121</sup> to alert prospective applicants for non-minerals development to the existence of valuable mineral resources.

### Restoration

14.15 An overview of national policy on restoration is provided in MPS1. Authorities must take account of the opportunities for enhancing the overall quality of the environment and the wider benefits that sites may offer, including nature and geological conservation and increased public accessibility. This may be achieved by sensitive design and appropriate and timely

---

<sup>120</sup> DCLG (2006) Minerals Policy Statement 1: Planning and Minerals

<sup>121</sup> LDDs are Local Development Documents which can be separate documents but form part of the LDF

restoration. They must also consider the opportunities for developing new woodland areas and providing networks of habitats. More extensive policy on restoration and its practicalities is in MPG7<sup>122</sup>, which defines the scope of after-uses of surface mineral workings as including agriculture, forestry and amenity (including nature conservation).

### **Contribution to spatial outcomes**

14.16 Our overall spatial outcome for minerals is that by 2026 the overall scale and impact of mineral extraction operations within the National Park will have been progressively reduced. However, safeguarding policies will be applied to certain mineral types that are considered to have proven resources and be of current or future economic importance. Those operations that remain, or are subsequently allowed, must be worked to modern operating conditions that minimise the detrimental impacts on the National Park. There should be a focus on restoration primarily to amenity after-uses when the activity ceases, given the need to conserve and enhance the National Park and promote its enjoyment by the public.

14.17 The core policies for minerals will further contribute to spatial outcomes as follows:

Across the whole National Park policies will:

- Resist further proposals for the working of minerals for aggregates; limestone and shale for cement manufacture; large scale building and roofing stone; and limestone for industrial and chemical products;
- Not allow the development of small-scale building and roofing stone quarries other than in the exceptional circumstance that the material cannot be sourced from elsewhere and is essential to effect the conservation of buildings in the National Park or nationally important buildings or structures outside the National Park;
- Encourage appropriate site restoration.

Across the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringe:

- No specific outcomes for this area.

Across the White Peak and Derwent Valley policies will:

- Allow the underground working of fluorspar ore from Watersaw and Milldam mines whilst resisting proposals for fluorspar working by opencast methods.

Across the South West Peak:

- No specific outcomes for this area.

### **The policies**

- MIN1 – Minerals development
- MIN2 – Fluorspar proposals
- MIN3 – Local small-scale building and roofing stone
- MIN4 – Mineral safeguarding

### **Minerals development**

14.18 The overall minerals strategy for the National Park needs to reflect national policy. It also needs to reflect the potential flexibility required over the plan period to allow proposals where the relevant exceptional circumstances exist. The policy approach also needs to be flexible enough to allow positive environmental enhancement through exchanges of historical consents

---

<sup>122</sup> ODPM (1996) Minerals Planning Guidance: Reclamation of Mineral Workings

which may be unacceptable in modern planning terms for alternatives at other more suitable locations. This should still encompass the objective of working towards the gradual reduction of aggregates and other land-won minerals within the National Park. Sites where such circumstances may arise over the plan period are Topley Pike, Birchover and New Pilhough quarries.

- 14.19 The policies are generally restrictive, not allocating any further land or allowing working of mineral for aggregates, limestone and shale for cement manufacture, or limestone for industrial and chemical products. Since sufficient permitted reserves are available in the National Park and reasonable alternatives are available elsewhere, the National Park can be protected from further mineral development of this nature.
- 14.20 The National Park also contains a number of other minerals including coal, silica sand, barites and lead. However as there is no existing or known likely future interest in exploiting these minerals, no specific mention of these is made in the Core Strategy; if any proposals do come forward they will be dealt with under policy MIN1. No licences have been issued in the National Park for new mineral-related technologies such as coal bed methane extraction or underground coal gasification. If any future proposal is made for such emerging technologies, any surface development required will be assessed against the major development and landscape policies in the Core Strategy.
- 14.21 Proposals for fluorspar will be considered against policy MIN2. Policies do not allocate land for fluorspar or building stone, and further clarify that working is not permitted for major proposals for building and roofing stone. Proposals for local small-scale building and roofing stone will be determined against the criteria in policy MIN3.
- 14.22 Regional policy sets out an aggregates apportionment for the Peak District National Park of 66.9mt for the period 2001 to 2016. Following publication of new national and regional figures, the East Midlands Regional Aggregates Working Party (RAWP) have subsequently recommended that the National Park figure should be 65.0mt for the period 2005 to 2020 (16 years)
- 14.23 The National Park Authority will continue working with others to seek a further reduction in the apportionment figure suggested for the National Park at the relevant future review stages in the RAWP process or its successor processes. The Authority will also work with its neighbouring Authorities including Derbyshire to plan for the implementation of the regional policy aim to seek a progressive reduction in the level of aggregates coming from the Peak District National Park.
- 14.24 Current permitted reserves of limestone for aggregate purposes amounted to 111mt as at 31 December 2008, sufficient for about 27 years' supply. Permitted reserves of sandstone for aggregate purposes amounted to 2.68mt (combined with Derbyshire) at 31 December 2008, sufficient for 20 years based on the current apportionment figure<sup>123</sup>.
- 14.25 Crushed rock aggregates output from the National Park has exceeded the apportionment requirement but has been declining gradually over the years, as it has elsewhere, and will decline further as existing sites are worked out or their permissions expire. The impact of existing sites going out of production, or the rate of output changing in anticipation of this, is difficult to predict exactly. The reduction in apportionment to 3.2mt per annum is based on the loss of the known aggregates sites whose permissions are time limited and operationally expire during the plan period. Limestone reserves are unevenly distributed amongst the quarries within the National Park. Aggregates output could be increased from a number of existing quarries: they generally had higher outputs in the 1980s and 1990s. Consequently there is the capacity available within existing permissions for the National Park to satisfy the

---

<sup>123</sup> East Midlands Working Party on Aggregates (2009) Survey and Annual Monitoring Report for calendar year 2008

suggested RAWP apportionment requirement for the remainder of the current apportionment period from 2009 to 2020 if the market chooses.

- 14.26 The principal knock-on effect of a gradual rundown in aggregates output from the National Park over the next 30 years is likely to be to increase supplies from Derbyshire instead (unless overall demand declines significantly). Derbyshire has very substantial permitted reserves, including at sites which straddle the National Park boundary (Doveholes, Hillhead and Tunstead quarries). These amounted to 760mt at the end of 2007 – sufficient for well over 80 years at the 2007 rate of supply.
- 14.27 Hope Cement Works currently has permitted reserves of about 44mt of limestone and 13.6mt of shale. These reserves of limestone are estimated by Lafarge as sufficient to sustain output at recent rates of about 1.4mt pa until around 2038, and shale reserves are sufficient to sustain output at recent rates until between 2018 and 2058 dependant on factors including sulphur content of the shale and the replacement with some or all shale with pulverised fuel ash (PFA) from coal fired power stations. PFA is currently taken to the site and used as an additive to the cement. Tunstead and Caudon cement works in Derbyshire and Staffordshire respectively both have substantial permitted reserves.
- 14.28 There are significant permitted reserves in the National Park and nearby Derbyshire for limestone for industrial and chemical purposes. There is therefore no case for identifying additional sites for these purposes. Prospective applications for planning permission are not expected to be able to demonstrate that this approach to identifying sites is unjustified.
- 14.29 The two main quarries in the National Park supplying industrial limestone are Ballidon and the Old Moor extension to Tunstead. Both have substantial reserves and their permissions will last until 2041 and 2040 respectively. There are working quarries within Derbyshire, outside the National Park, which supply industrial limestone from the same geological resources. These quarries outside the National Park have long-life permissions and very substantial permitted reserves considered to be well in excess of 250mt in Derbyshire alone.
- 14.30 The National Park Authority's policy on building and roofing stone is informed by competing environmental and economic considerations and the Authority will only support local small-scale proposals under policy MIN3. This policy approach is designed to only support sites that meet the specific needs of the National Park, for example where this would help repair traditional buildings of local distinctiveness, historic buildings or Conservation Areas. Other proposals will be addressed under this policy and will only be permitted where the exceptional circumstances set out in MPS1<sup>124</sup> are met.
- 14.31 The southern Peak District around Stanton Moor is an area of key importance for the supply of Carboniferous Millstone Grit. Here there is a concentration of active sites (Birchover, Dale View and New Pilhough quarries) and intermittently worked sites (Stanton Moor and Wattscliff quarries), collectively with a significant output of sandstone in a variety of hues and textures. The large majority is sold for use outside the National Park rather than for repair and maintenance of vernacular structures in the locality. There is a range of other sandstone quarries around the National Park producing building stone, with active quarries at Chinley Moor (Hayfield), Shire Hill (Charlesworth), Stoke Hall (Grindleford), Wimberry Moss (Rainow) and Canyards Hill (Bradfield). All serve a variety of local and more remote markets. The range of sites reflects the range of gritstone varieties. Total sandstone output for building stone was nearly 100,000 tonnes in 2007. Sandstone reserves are in theory 7.25mt, though these are unevenly distributed: for example, more than half the total is at Shire Hill.
- 14.32 Building and walling stone is also obtained from the Carboniferous Limestone at the small Once-a-week quarry (Ashford), though this has planning permission only until 2011, and at Hazlebadge quarry (Bradwell) permitted to 2017. Natural stone is also obtained as a minor

---

<sup>124</sup> DCLG (2006) Mineral Policy Statement 1: Planning and Minerals

product from selected major limestone quarries, notably Ballidon which serves the industrial limestone and aggregates markets. Total limestone output for building stone was about 1,500 tonnes in 2007.

- 14.33 The restoration of mineral workings is a significant opportunity to achieve National Park Authority outcomes for achieving amenity (nature conservation) after-use for the sites, enhancing landscape and biodiversity and providing recreational opportunities, as well as the objectives of landowners, mineral companies and local people. The National Park Management Plan observes that restored sites may provide opportunities for increased biodiversity, geodiversity and cultural interest.

#### **MIN1: Minerals development**

- A. Proposals for new mineral extraction or extensions to existing mineral operations (other than fluorspar proposals and local small-scale building and roofing stone which are covered by MIN2 and MIN3 respectively) will not be permitted other than in exceptional circumstances in accordance with the criteria set out in National Planning Policy in MPS1.
- B. Restoration schemes will be required for each new minerals proposal or where existing sites are subject to mineral review procedures. Where practicable, restoration will be expected to contribute to the spatial outcomes of the Plan (either generally or for the constituent landscape character areas of the National Park). These outcomes will focus mainly, but not exclusively, on amenity (nature conservation) after-uses rather than agriculture or forestry, and should include a combination of wildlife and landscape enhancement, recreation, and recognition of cultural heritage and industrial archaeological features.

### **Fluorspar**

- 14.34 Most of the higher grade fluorspar ore in the Peak District that is capable of being worked by opencast methods in environmentally acceptable locations, has either been extracted or has the benefit of extant consent or resolutions to grant consent pending legal agreements to ensure their environmental acceptability. Following a long history of extraction, the National Park Authority considers that many of the major veins are depleted as sources of open pit fluorspar. This conclusion accords with that of the British Geological Survey (BGS) mineral resource report of 1999. However the 2010 BGS Factsheet<sup>125</sup> notes that such extraction had continued. If the fluorspar ore industry in England, and the fluorine industries which rely on it, are to survive, there must be a transition to predominantly underground working. The current main fluorspar operator has already discussed with the Authority its intention to progressively increase the proportion of fluorspar sourced from underground reserves.
- 14.35 The Watersaw Mine on Longstone Edge, and especially the Milldam Mine at Great Hucklow, give access to considerable resources of high grade fluorspar ore, both of which can be operated in an environmentally acceptable way. This Core Strategy therefore aims to oversee the transition from an industry which in the past decade has operated principally by opencast working to one which will rely heavily on underground mining. The underground fluorspar resource contained in the vein structures of these two underground mines is to be safeguarded under policy MIN4.
- 14.36 Opencast mining of fluorspar ore will in future be resisted unless the exceptional circumstances tests set out in MPS1 can be demonstrated (see footnote to policy MIN1 for the

<sup>125</sup> British Geological Survey (2010) Mineral Planning Factsheet: Fluorspar

detail of the exceptional circumstances criteria). Based upon the understanding of where surface resources are located it is considered to be unlikely that proposals in those locations will be able to comply with all the exceptional circumstances, due firstly to the availability of the option of underground mining which could be expected to have less environmental impact, and secondly to the considerable foreseeable difficulty of working likely sites in an environmentally acceptable manner.

- 14.37 The Authority acknowledges that major proposals for underground fluorspar ore mining may be able to demonstrate some of the exceptional circumstances in terms of policy in MPS1, in view of the limited availability of alternative sites in England, and the importance of fluorspar to the English economy. The importance of the fluorochemical industry may well be considered sufficiently exceptional to continue to supply fluorspar ore, as a departure from the overall strategy to run down the supply of minerals from the National Park, provided that individual schemes can be developed underground.
- 14.38 As fluorspar is increasingly worked out, and lower grades of mineral in the ground are targeted, there is a challenge to find this quantity of mineral and particularly to obtain it in an environmentally acceptable way. The Authority doubts that the quantity of fluorspar required by the fluorochemical industry can be obtained from opencast workings in the National Park in an environmentally acceptable way on an ongoing basis. The only sources capable of this are underground mines, topped up by limited quantities supplied from other incidental sources. Fortunately, the rising world price of fluorspar (which doubled in the five years prior to the recession) provides a financial buffer to obtaining the mineral from more costly underground sources.

#### **MIN2: Fluorspar proposals**

In order to secure an appropriate supply of fluorspar, the National Park Authority will:

- A. Encourage and support the continued extraction of fluorspar ore by underground mining at locations where economically workable deposits have been proven in advance and where the environmental impacts can be appropriately mitigated. This will include the already permitted Milldam and Watersaw Mines;
- B. Support proposals for recycling tailings from existing lagoons where the environmental impacts can be appropriately mitigated;
- C. Support proposals for the retention and continued operation of existing tailing lagoons associated with the Cavendish Mill Plant, where the environmental impact can be appropriately mitigated and where it can be demonstrated that no realistic and viable alternative method of treatment is available; and
- D. Not permit proposals for the opencast mining of fluorspar ore.

#### **Local small-scale building and roofing stone**

- 14.39 A shortage has been identified in the availability of sandstone roofing slates and to a lesser extent certain types of local building stone<sup>126</sup>. It is important to ensure a long term supply of these materials from suitable sources to sustain the vernacular built environment heritage of the National Park. At the same time, there are numerous building stone operations in the National Park, but the larger ones serve regional and national markets more than local ones. There is an unfortunate legacy of old permissions causing environmental problems, some of

<sup>126</sup> ODPM (2006) Planning for the supply of natural building and roofing stone in England and Wales, TSO

which remain very difficult to resolve. Proposals for other building and roofing stone operations will be considered against the criteria set out in policy MIN1. Policy MIN4 sets out a commitment to look at the potential and options for safeguarding building and roofing stone resources for local conservation purposes.

- 14.40 A significant aspect of the landscape quality of the National Park is the use of traditional stone materials in the built environment. The use of local materials and building methods gives each place its special vernacular characteristics, and this distinctiveness will be sustained as long as repair, maintenance, extensions and new buildings continue to use sympathetic stone building materials. However, matching currently available materials to those used in older buildings is a challenge because of the larger number of quarries and the variety of stone types originally used. In 1996 the National Park Authority commissioned a major research project into the potential to re-establish the roofing slate industry, the results of which remain the most comprehensive analysis of known sources of these sandstones<sup>127</sup>.
- 14.41 A further national project on sources of building and roofing stone is being spearheaded by English Heritage, with Derbyshire as a key initial area for study; the results of the Strategic Stone Study are expected to be released on an on-going basis over the next few years<sup>128</sup>.
- 14.42 The most scarce natural building stone is sandstone roofing slates, known collectively in the southern Pennines as grey slates. There is a wide variety of types of stone slate and therefore potentially a demand for opening a selection of sites. The only site permitted for stone slate production in the National Park is Bretton quarry, near Foolow. An extension to this site was granted in 2007, though in practice the site has primarily supplied walling stone rather than stone slates. In exceptional circumstances there may be a need to supply small-scale building and roofing stone to conserve nationally important buildings and structures outside of the national park in line with Annexe 3 of MSP1. In such circumstances where that need cannot be met from elsewhere the Authority will consider whether consent may be granted as an exception to policy MIN3 if the material planning considerations outweigh the policy. This conservation need outside of the Park should not however be the sole reason for seeking to justify new proposals.
- 14.43 Identifying the scale of demand for local building and roofing stone can be difficult in advance of opening up a supply. There may be evidence that a demand ought to exist, such as traditional buildings patched with inappropriate materials from elsewhere, theft of stone products, and a stock of buildings which will require maintenance and repair over the years if it is to survive. However, the absence of an existing source of a stone discourages architects from specifying it for new buildings and even for repair work. The scale of the second-hand market may also be only a weak indicator: demand for recycled stone may be driven by availability rather than by independent measures of 'need'. Specifying the use of quarried rather than recycled stone can help to create a demand, and to deter interest in demolishing structures that ought to be maintained.

**MIN3: Local small-scale building and roofing stone**

- A. Proposals will only be permitted for the small-scale working of building and roofing stone where:
- I. they meet a demonstrable need within the National Park, which cannot be satisfied from existing permissions inside or outside the National Park; and
  - II. they will be confined to local use only on buildings and structures within the National Park; and

<sup>127</sup> PPJPB, English Heritage and Derbyshire County Council (1996) The grey slates of the South Pennines

<sup>128</sup> Website [www.englishstone.org.uk/documents/SSS.pdf](http://www.englishstone.org.uk/documents/SSS.pdf)



- III. the individual and cumulative impacts of working on the environment, amenity and communities can be appropriately mitigated.
- B. Any proposal should be supported by demonstrable evidence which proves that alternative sources of supply are not and cannot be made available.
- C. Proposals will need to be accompanied by a suitable legal agreement to ensure that the above policy objectives are met.

## **Mineral safeguarding**

- 14.44 The National Park Authority takes a mineral-by-mineral approach to safeguarding. The principle of safeguarding is a long-term planning policy which embeds a sustainable approach whilst preventing the unnecessary sterilisation of irreplaceable mineral resources. However, it can be difficult to implement where knowledge of the resource base is incomplete, as is the case with building and roofing stone in the Peak District. For this reason, appropriate evidence will be obtained and this issue will be considered in the forthcoming Development Management Policies Development Plan Document (DPD). Safeguarding may also be of limited value in areas like the National Park because little surface development is anticipated that would sterilise minerals and therefore the long term risk to the availability of mineral resources is not great. The minerals identified for safeguarding match the overall strategy for further extraction in the National Park, taking account of the economic importance of the minerals, together with the evidence of them being a proven resource. The Mineral Safeguarding Areas (Figure 9) have been defined in accordance with the guidance set out in the BGS Guide to Mineral Safeguarding<sup>129</sup>, and using the information contained in the BGS map 'The Mineral Resource Map for the Peak District National Park'<sup>130</sup> and BGS Fact Sheets, and also follow national policy in relation to safeguarding railheads.
- 14.45 In recognition of its strategic economic importance the Carboniferous Limestone resource, including the high purity limestone, will be safeguarded (but not implying that mineral working will be environmentally acceptable). The fluorspar resource proposed for safeguarding has been limited to the extant but time-limited planning permission areas, and the vein structures associated with Watersaw and Milldam mines, which are considered to be of current or future economic importance. Information on the rationale for safeguarding limestone of 98% calcium carbonate and fluorspar at Milldam and Watersaw Mines is contained in the Minerals Background Paper 2010. The limited remaining coal deposits are not considered viable for surface extraction in the future. Fireclay, silica sand, chert, mudstone and sandstone (except those limited areas considered for safeguarding for building stone/stone slate) are unlikely to be viable or of future economic interest and are consequently not included in this policy.
- 14.46 Existing railheads within the National Park for the distribution of minerals and mineral products will also be safeguarded. These are present only at Hope cement works and Topley Pike quarry, though Old Moor, Beelow and Hillhead quarries are rail-served by the connections to the main quarries to which they are attached within the Derbyshire County Council area, at Tunstead, Doveholes and Hillhead respectively.

---

<sup>129</sup> British Geological Survey (2007) A Guide to Mineral Safeguarding in England

<sup>130</sup> British Geological Survey & DoE (1994) Mineral Resource Map for the Peak District National Park 1994




Figure 9: Mineral Safeguarding Areas

14.47 The need to assess underlying minerals should be proportionate to the value of retaining access to the mineral in the national interest. There is also a case for requiring applicants for surface development to assess the underlying mineral interest where that development would grossly impede future extraction. Policy takes a pragmatic approach to implementing the safeguarding policy, with particular attention to two key issues in identifying safeguarding areas:

- the likelihood of each type of mineral being allowed to be worked in the National Park now or in the future; and
- the existence of sufficiently proven resources and the potential for sterilisation occurring to merit safeguarding.

It should be noted that all minerals are likely to be broadly safeguarded by virtue of other core strategy policies (which strongly resist surface development for other planning reasons) even if the resource fails one of the above two tests

14.48 Built development on the land surface is likely to sterilise any mineral under it or nearby but there is scope to move the location of surface development in light of the fixed geology beneath. The long term interest of the nation is therefore best served by endeavouring to adjust the location of surface development rather than sterilising mineral. This principle applies everywhere, including in National Parks. Where possible, the prior extraction of the mineral ahead of surface development should be considered, however this is less likely to be practical for the type and nature of mineral resources being safeguarded and the small scale of developments likely to be permitted within the National Park.

14.49 The advice supporting MPS1 suggests that the mineral resource information, such as that provided to each mineral planning authority by the British Geological Survey, can be a basis for mapping these safeguarding areas.

14.50 In relation to the application of this policy specifically, the term ‘major surface development’ means planning applications for “*major development*” as defined in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure)(England) Order 2010. Applications falling within ‘householder’ and ‘minor-other’ categories are not required to be considered under this proposal. This threshold has been chosen because prior extraction is unlikely to be viable for sites of a scale outside the ‘major’ category of development for the particular minerals being safeguarded.

#### **MIN4: Mineral safeguarding**

A. The following minerals will be safeguarded from sterilisation by non-mineral surface development through the definition of Mineral Safeguarding Areas covering:

- I. The limestone resource, including the very high purity resource containing at least 98% calcium carbonate;
- II. The mineralised vein structures relating to Milldam Mine and Watersaw Mine, for fluorspar.

B. When considering applications for major surface development in these safeguarded areas outside of existing settlements and the areas immediately adjacent to existing settlements, the prior extraction of minerals should be undertaken ahead of the non-mineral surface development where possible to prevent mineral sterilisation. Where prior extraction is not practical or

economically feasible, applicants will be required to demonstrate that either there is no mineral likely to be of current or future economic value that would be sterilised by the development, or that proceeding with the proposed development on that site would be of overriding importance in relation to the significance of the mineral resource.

- C. Existing railheads within the National Park for the distribution of minerals and mineral products will also be safeguarded.
- D. A selection of small individual areas for local small-scale building and roofing stone for conservation purposes will be considered for safeguarding through the forthcoming Development Management Policies DPD and Proposals Map.

## 15. Accessibility, travel and traffic



## 15. Accessibility, travel and traffic

---

### Introduction

- 15.1 Transport is important to all aspects of life within the National Park. It offers a means by which residents, visitors and businesses can contribute to life within the National Park and enjoy its valued characteristics. Transport policies are central to the delivery of the spatial outcomes for the National Park. Core policies have been developed to help contribute to the National Park Management Plan<sup>131</sup> and complement the new Sustainable Transport Action Plan for the National Park<sup>132</sup>. The Landscape Strategy and Action Plan<sup>133</sup> underpin local distinctiveness and will inform decisions on transport infrastructure.
- 15.2 As the population of the UK becomes increasingly mobile, greater accessibility and travel generally brings economic and social benefits. It also creates traffic-related problems such as increases in harmful greenhouse gases, other polluting emissions and congestion. Other concerns include the decreasing viability of rural public transport as car ownership increases, increased exclusion for those without access to a car when local services are lost, more visitor traffic congestion and the negative impacts of traffic on road safety and health. Recent predictions indicate that UK road traffic will continue to grow in the near future. The National Park Authority is keen to promote a more sustainable approach to transport because continuous growth will harm its valued characteristics.
- 15.3 The National Park Management Plan outcome for transport is that highways, transport infrastructure and services will be improved because they meet the needs of residents, visitors and surrounding areas; the proportion of visitors using modes of travel other than private cars will have increased; the adverse environmental impacts of travel on the valued characteristics of the National Park will have been reduced; and more sustainable travel patterns will have enabled a reduction in the levels of carbon dioxide emitted into the atmosphere, especially by supporting public transport use over car use.
- 15.4 The National Park Authority is not a Highway or Transport Authority, so it has no highway powers and is not responsible for the operation or maintenance of roads and public transport. Nevertheless, the Authority has always maintained a proactive position through influencing, negotiating and working in partnership with those who do have the power to affect transport in the National Park and surrounding areas. The Authority advocates, and therefore expects nothing less than, best practice in transport infrastructure and services for the National Park.
- 15.5 The Authority also plays a key role managing public rights of way, including footpaths and bridleways, but again the main responsibility lies with Highway Authorities. Rail transport is overseen by the Office of Rail Regulation, and new railways require a Transport and Works Order, which avoids the need for planning permission. Restrictions on aircraft movements are largely controlled by the Civil Aviation Authority, but planning legislation controls land used by aircraft. British Waterways is responsible for the sole canal within the National Park, part of the Huddersfield Narrow canal which is in a tunnel.

---

<sup>131</sup> PDNPA (2006) National Park Management Plan 2006-2011

<sup>132</sup> PDNPA (due to be published March 2012), Sustainable Transport Action Plan for the National Park

<sup>133</sup> PDNPA (2009) Landscape Strategy and Action Plan

---

- 15.6 Although planning permission is not always required for transport developments, all these bodies have a duty to have regard to national park purposes by virtue of Section 62 of the 1995 Environment Act<sup>134</sup>. The tests for major development apply to all major transport infrastructure proposals. Moreover, policy guidance seeks to integrate planning and transport at all levels and emphasises the importance of partnership working to achieve national park purposes<sup>135</sup>. Spatial planning now embodies this approach and can refer to other powers and mechanisms available, in addition to development management, to help achieve the agreed aims and objectives for an area. Importantly, the Development Plan is the starting point for decisions on all developments and other changes that have a spatial impact on the National Park<sup>136</sup>.
- 15.7 Because of the National Park's location it attracts large numbers of motor vehicles, both cross-park and those of visitors. Whilst the requirements of these differing types of journey may be different with regard to speed and familiarity with the road network, the routes used are often common to both types of user. When combined with local traffic, and the often demanding topography and weather conditions, this has led to some roads in the Peak District National Park, including some main cross park routes, being cited as amongst the most hazardous within the United Kingdom. It is intended that the policies within this chapter in combination with the emerging Sustainable Transport Action Plan will enable a partnership approach to the issue of road safety, and the utilisation of appropriate solutions where they are deemed necessary that meet the objectives of the LDF.

### **National policy context**

- 15.8 The nature of travel and the continued growth in road traffic is damaging the environment. National policies promote:
- more sustainable transport choices both for people and for moving freight;
  - improved accessibility to jobs, shopping, leisure facilities and services by public transport, walking and cycling; and
  - reduced need to travel, especially by car.

Consequently, there should be a more sustainable pattern of development in rural areas with most development in local service centres. Mechanisms should enable journeys to be made by more sustainable modes of transport. These include parking policies<sup>137</sup> and enforceable Travel Plans as a means of encouraging a change in travel behaviour, together with other ways to achieve a modal shift for car borne visitors<sup>138</sup>. All are viewed as compatible with the aim of improving accessibility in rural areas<sup>139</sup>.

- 15.9 National policies confer the highest status of protection on national parks as far as landscape and scenic beauty is concerned. There is a presumption against major transport developments within national parks other than in exceptional circumstances. Accordingly, care must be taken to avoid or minimise the environmental impact of new transport infrastructure projects, or improvements to existing infrastructure. Notably, the 2010 National Parks Circular explains that investment in trunk roads is directed towards developing routes for long distance traffic that avoid national parks. The primary criterion in planning road systems, designing alterations and managing traffic in

---

<sup>134</sup> Environment Act (1995), section 62

<sup>135</sup> DfT (2008) Delivering a Sustainable Transport System; DfT (2009) Guidance on Local Transport Plans

<sup>136</sup> ODPM (2006) Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development

<sup>137</sup> ODPM (2001) Planning Policy Guidance 13: Transport

<sup>138</sup> As recognised in the former East Midlands Regional Plan (GOEM 2009)

<sup>139</sup> DfT (2007) Manual for Streets

the National Park should be environmental quality, subject to safety considerations. The Circular gives support for a hierarchy of roads based on functions<sup>140</sup>.

- 15.10 Rural transport policies focus on promoting accessibility, especially by public transport. Regional policy and studies also seek improved transport linkages to the North West Region and the rest of the East Midlands, particularly by public transport. The environmental impact of freight should be reduced and a modal shift away from road transport is encouraged.
- 15.11 Policies aim to improve quality of life for transport and non-transport users, promote a healthy natural environment and tackle climate change. Sustainable travel patterns and greater use of traffic management measures to mitigate the negative effects of the car will help. Cycling and walking, via safe routes, encouraging convenient access to buildings and sufficient secure cycle parking in new developments will be encouraged. Within settlements, roads should not be merely transport corridors but should contribute positively to the public realm through local distinctiveness and sensitivity in design<sup>141</sup>.
- 15.12 The document titled 'Delivering a Sustainable Transport System'<sup>142</sup> and the third round of Local Transport Plans consider a wider agenda which supports economic growth; reduces carbon emissions; promotes equality of opportunity; contributes to better safety, security and health and improves quality of life and a healthy natural environment.
- 15.13 The National Parks Circular 2010 has an underlying expectation that in the National Park, Highways and Transport Authorities will work in partnership to deliver the wider aims of national and regional policies.

### **Contribution to spatial outcomes**

- 15.14 The spatial outcome for accessibility, travel and traffic is that by 2026, transport sustainability for residents, visitors and businesses will be improved in ways that have conserved and enhanced the valued characteristics of the National Park and met other national park purposes and duties. The policies in this section will also contribute to spatial outcomes for landscape and conservation, location of development, climate change, recreation and the economy.
- 15.15 The core policies for accessibility, travel and traffic will further contribute to spatial outcomes as follows:

Across the whole National Park policies will seek to ensure:

- Better and more equal opportunities for accessibility whilst minimising the negative impacts of transport;
- Long distance traffic will be routed around the Park and traffic management measures will deter unnecessary journeys across the Park;
- Motor traffic that does access or cross the National Park will be directed to strategic routes and away from others;
- Except in exceptional circumstances transport links, including new roads, which increase the amount of cross park traffic will be opposed;

---

<sup>140</sup> DEFRA (2010) English National Parks and the Broads: UK Government Vision and Circular 2010

<sup>141</sup> DfT (2007) Manual for Streets

<sup>142</sup> DfT (2008) Delivering a Sustainable Transport System; DfT (2009) Guidance on Local Transport Plans



- Appropriate traffic management measures will improve road safety for residents, visitors and businesses so that all highway users will feel safe whatever their mode of travel;
- Improved and well promoted sustainable access to jobs, essential goods, services and activities for all residents of the National Park;
- More visitors to the National Park will use sustainable integrated modes of transport;
- Gaps in the rights of way network will be filled;
- Local distinctiveness will be respected by better conservation and enhancement of the road environment;
- The impact of all modes of transport will be managed in accordance with national park purposes.
- Development will be focussed on settlements that support and retain existing public transport routes.
- There will be innovative and sustainable mechanisms, commensurate with national park purposes, of alleviating the adverse impacts of traffic in settlements. This includes villages along the A628, A515, A619/A623, and Bakewell.

Across the Dark Peak and Moorland Fringes policies will seek to ensure:

- The Woodhead route will be safeguarded but without accepting the principle of a new or reinstated railway;
- The A268 Tintwistle bypass route will not be protected;
- Opportunities will be taken to increase public transport, particularly if they are integrated with recreational and leisure activities;
- The TransPennine Trail will be retained.

Across the White Peak and Derwent Valley policies will seek to ensure:

- The line of the Bakewell relief road will not be safeguarded;
- Opportunities will be taken to enhance services on the Hope Valley Railway Line, particularly if they demonstrate a lasting decrease in private cars on adjacent roads;
- The Matlock- Buxton route will be safeguarded but without accepting the principle of a new or reinstated railway;
- The Monsal Trail will be retained.

Across the South West Peak policies will seek to ensure:

- Increasing sustainable access for residents and visitors to key services, facilities and visitor places of interest.

## **The policies**

- T1: Reducing the general need to travel and encouraging sustainable transport.
- T2: Reducing and directing traffic
- T3: Design of transport infrastructure
- T4: Managing the demand for freight transport
- T5: Managing the demand for rail, and reuse of former railway routes
- T6: Routes for walking, cycling and horse riding, and waterways
- T7: Minimising the adverse impact of motor vehicles and managing the demand for car and coach parks.

## Reducing the general need to travel and encouraging sustainable transport

- 15.16 Accessibility to, within and across the National Park requires travel and generates traffic. This in turn requires transport infrastructure which has to be managed for safe and effective use by road, public right of way, greenway, rail, water or air. Transport-related development must also be located and managed so that it reduces the need to travel, reduces carbon emissions<sup>143</sup> and, where possible, enhances the valued characteristics of the National Park<sup>144</sup>. There is an opportunity for the Authority to educate visitors to the National Park of the impact their travel choices have on the valued characteristics, to increase their understanding of the natural environment and promote healthy outdoor recreation. These opportunities will be undertaken within the principles of RT1A.
- 15.17 Policy T1 covers all modes of transport, reflecting the general intent of national and regional advice for development and traffic management. It will be achieved through strong and effective partnerships. The policy aims to deter traffic beyond that which is necessary for the needs of local residents, businesses and visitors. Traffic can harm the valued characteristics of the National Park through noise and gaseous emissions, disturbance and visual intrusion such as car parks. Cross-park traffic will be deterred, modal shift towards sustainable travel will be encouraged, and the impacts of traffic within environmentally sensitive locations will be minimised. There should also be good connectivity with and between sustainable modes of transport to support rural communities and their economy.

### **T1: Reducing the general need to travel and encouraging sustainable transport**

- A. Conserving and enhancing the National Park's valued characteristics will be the primary criterion in the planning and design of transport and its management.
- B. Cross-Park traffic will be deterred.
- C. Modal shift to sustainable transport will be encouraged.
- D. Improved connectivity between sustainable modes of travel will be sought.
- E. Impacts of traffic within environmentally sensitive locations will be minimised.
- F. Sustainable access for the quiet enjoyment of the National Park, that does not cause harm to the valued characteristics, will be promoted.
- G. Demand management and low carbon initiatives will be sought where appropriate.

<sup>143</sup> DfT (2008) Delivering a Sustainable Transport System

<sup>144</sup> DoE (1976) Circular 4/76

## **Reducing and directing traffic within the National Park**

- 15.18 The National Park lies between and adjoins expanding conurbations to the east and west. The National Park Authority recognises that there is a demand to improve transport linkages between these urban areas and to a lesser extent to National Park communities.
- 15.19 For road traffic, addressing known and induced demand through road building within the National Park would be difficult to achieve without harm to its valued characteristics. Consequently, government policies seek to route long distance road traffic around the National Park<sup>145</sup>. Nationally, it also aims to reduce the need to travel and to manage traffic growth, including road freight<sup>146</sup>. Additional road capacity will only be accepted as a last resort. Therefore other than in exceptional circumstances, the National Park Authority will oppose transport developments that increase the amount of cross-Park road traffic. Exceptional circumstances, as defined in policy GSP1, may justify a new road scheme but only after the most rigorous examination. The Authority considers that any exceptional circumstances would need to offer a clear net environmental benefit for the National Park and be in the public interest. It follows that transport developments outside the National Park will usually be opposed if they increase traffic on roads inside the National Park or have other adverse impacts on its setting and valued characteristics.
- 15.20 Confining road building to that necessary to serve new business and housing development is consistent with these aims. Otherwise it would merely fuel demand for improvements and additions to the road network. Therefore land for new road schemes contained within the existing Local Plan is not safeguarded. New roads that provide access to new business or residential development will normally be subject to a Transport Assessment. Environmental criteria will be used in the planning of the road including the management of traffic.
- 15.21 To minimise harm by essential road traffic, a hierarchy of roads will form a basis for spatial planning and any road improvements, traffic management schemes, and measures such as advisory route signing. Traffic will be guided first to the strategic road network and only to secondary and other roads as required, continuing the approach in the former Structure Plan. Partnership working is necessary to ensure that the hierarchy reflects not only expert knowledge on highway and traffic matters but also that of the National Park Authority on the character of the roads in terms of the natural features and recreational aspects of the Park<sup>147</sup>. In partnership with constituent Highway Authorities further detail will be brought forward in the Development Management Policies DPD and on the proposals map.
- 15.22 Sustainable transport patterns will direct most development to settlements which best meet a range of sustainability criteria. In doing so it improves accessibility and vitality in rural areas, and also helps to reduce journeys. Travel Plans are strongly endorsed nationally, as one method of encouraging behavioural change to achieve a reduction in the need to travel and to change public attitudes toward car usage and public transport, walking and cycling<sup>148</sup>. Existing and new developments will be required to reduce traffic movements and safeguard transport infrastructure. This will develop and enforce

---

<sup>145</sup> Department of the Environment (1976), Circular 4/76: Report of the National Park Policies Review Committee. Para 58

<sup>146</sup> DfT (2008) Delivering a Sustainable Transport System

<sup>147</sup> Defra (2010) English National Parks and the Broads UK Government Vision and Circular

<sup>148</sup> DfT (2004) The Future of Transport: A Network for 2030

Travel Plans and build on the policy approach in the former Structure Plan. Traffic management schemes should include demand management and low carbon initiatives.

## **T2: Reducing and directing traffic**

- A. Transport developments, including traffic management schemes, which reduce the amount of cross-Park traffic, will be supported if they can be accommodated without adverse impact on the National Park's valued characteristics. Transport developments which increase the amount of cross-Park traffic or have other adverse effects on its setting and character, amenity and enjoyment will be opposed.
- B. In exceptional circumstances, transport developments (including expansion of capacity, widening or a new route) that increase the amount of cross-Park traffic may be accepted where: there is a demonstrable long term net environmental benefit within the National Park;
- C. No new road schemes will be permitted unless they provide access to new businesses or housing development or there are exceptional circumstances. Those road schemes (including improvements) that fall outside of the Planning Authority's direct jurisdiction will be strongly resisted except in exceptional circumstances.
- D. For spatial planning purposes, the road hierarchy will comprise:
  - I. the Strategic Road Network, including the majority of A class roads;
  - II. the Secondary Network: including links between the Strategic Road Network and industrial sites, settlements and recreation areas; and
  - III. all other roads.
- E. Road traffic which must enter or cross the National Park will be directed first towards the Strategic Road Network, and only to the other two levels of the hierarchy as required locally. The third level of the hierarchy will be only for light traffic.
- F. Sustainable transport patterns will be sought that complement the development strategy (DS1). Travel Plans will be used to encourage behavioural change to achieve a reduction in the need to travel, and to change public attitudes toward car usage and public transport, walking and cycling. Travel Plans to reduce traffic movements and safeguard transport infrastructure will be required on appropriate new developments and encouraged on existing developments.

### **Design of transport infrastructure**

- 15.23 Roads, and to a much lesser extent rail, provide the principal access points for people travelling through, in and around the National Park. Transport infrastructure is often an integral part of the historic landscape character and urban environment of settlements and people's enjoyment of them. It can affect biodiversity by being a barrier or corridor for wildlife.

- 15.24 A high standard of design is needed to ensure that the appearance and maintenance of transport infrastructure, including traffic management measures respects the valued characteristics of the National Park. Specifically, care must be taken to avoid or minimise the environmental impact of new transport infrastructure projects, or improvements to existing infrastructure<sup>149</sup>. Transport should also aim to improve the quality of life and retain a healthy natural environment in terms of the natural and historic features and recreational aspects.
- 15.25 The Landscape Strategy and the Design Guide<sup>150</sup> give a design context for infrastructure projects and complement the Manual for Streets<sup>151</sup> for settlements. Streets should be places where people want to live and spend time, rather than just being transport corridors. Nationally, high standards of urban design are expected in towns and villages with transport infrastructure contributing positively to the quality of the street scene. In a national park nothing less is acceptable. The National Park Authority will consider bringing forward a Park-wide design code for to give further guidance on this issue, and support commitments to quickly remove unnecessary signage and clutter.
- 15.26 Conservation of wildlife routes is endorsed in the Biodiversity Action Plan<sup>152</sup>. In addition to any statutory obligations for wildlife conservation<sup>153</sup>, it is a reasonable expectation that where new infrastructure is provided wildlife corridors are protected. Where there is severance, it must be remedied by the use of appropriate and sympathetic mitigation measures including 'wild bridges' or cut-and-cover tunnels.

### **T3: Design of transport infrastructure**

- A. Transport infrastructure, including roads, bridges, lighting, signing, other street furniture and public transport infrastructure, will be carefully designed and maintained to take full account of the valued characteristics of the National Park.
- B. Particular attention will be given to using the minimum infrastructure necessary and also to make transport interchanges welcoming and safe.
- C. Mitigation measures will be provided where transport infrastructure severs wildlife routes.

## **Managing the demand for freight transport**

- 15.27 Successive former Structure Plans<sup>154 155</sup> have sought to minimise the harmful impact of lorry traffic on the National Park and to encourage rail freight. In part this is because the road system is largely a product of 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> century turnpikes, not designed to carry large vehicles. Government guidance and studies seek better links with the North West with a modal shift away from road transport. Nonetheless, the Regional Transport

<sup>149</sup> ODPM (2001) Planning Policy Guidance 13: Transport

<sup>150</sup> PDNPA (2007) Design Guide

<sup>151</sup> DfT (2007) Manual for Streets

<sup>152</sup> PDNPA (2000) Biodiversity Action Plan

<sup>153</sup> OPSI (1991) Wildlife and Countryside Act

<sup>154</sup> PPJPB (1978) Structure Plan

<sup>155</sup> PPJPB (1994) Structure Plan

Strategy<sup>156</sup> acknowledged that even with a significant modal shift there will be an increase in freight over the coming years. Consequently there is a need for active control and management to prevent further harm to the valued characteristics of the National Park, whilst having regard to the needs of local communities and the rural economy.

- 15.28 The sources of freight traffic are cross-Park, service and locally-generated, particularly minerals, and most is via road. Policies T1 and T2 address strategic routing around, across, and to destinations within the National Park. Recent rail improvements have led to a significant modal shift away from road at the Hope Valley cement works. However there appears to be limited scope to achieve a modal shift for other freight without harm to the National Park.
- 15.29 There is not likely to be any significant change in service freight, because the National Park population is static and there is a presumption against large developments. Setting aside the route hierarchy there are remaining issues of location and routing of freight. The National Park is a convenient base for haulage operations, but they should be located elsewhere unless they service only National Park based industries. Similarly, developments requiring access by Large Goods Vehicles in excess of 7.5 tonnes gross laden weight, including road haulage operating centres, should not be permitted unless they are readily accessible to the Strategic or Secondary Road Network. Weight restriction orders will be sought where it is necessary to influence the routing of Large Goods Vehicles to avoid negative environmental impacts.
- 15.30 Inland waterway freight facilities are supported nationally but are unlikely to be developed here because the only canal is in a tunnel. For the avoidance of doubt, any proposals should conserve and enhance the landscape and all valued characteristics of the National Park.

#### **T4: Managing the demand for freight transport**

- A. Freight facilities should be related to the needs of National Park-based businesses and should be located to avoid harm to the valued characteristics of the National Park or compromise to the routes which are subject to weight restriction orders.
- B. Infrastructure developments that enable the transfer of road freight, including minerals, to rail will be supported where appropriate.
- C. Developments requiring access by Large Goods Vehicles must be located on and or readily accessible to the Strategic or Secondary Road Network.
- D. Weight restriction orders will be sought where necessary to influence the routing of Large Goods Vehicles to avoid negative environmental impacts.

---

<sup>156</sup> GOEM (2009) East Midlands Regional Plan

## Managing the demand for rail, and reuse of former railway routes

- 15.31 Existing and former rail routes link the East Midlands to the North West. Evidence suggests a medium term need for improvements to the Hope Valley line<sup>157</sup> and, in the long term, further improvements or re-opening of the Matlock-Buxton line<sup>158</sup>. The business case for the Matlock-Buxton route alone is long term. Re-opening the Woodhead railway is also cited as a long-term option, although its benefits would be reduced cross-Pennine road congestion, rather than the solving of rail network issues. It is appropriate to safeguard land for these purposes, although national policies presume against major transport developments within national parks other than in exceptional circumstances<sup>159</sup>. As with the current approach, the safeguarding of land does not imply in principle support for any rail scheme. Any proposal will be assessed on its own merits, and will need to demonstrate the ability to provide a net positive effect on the National Park environment.
- 15.32 Walking, cycling and horse riding are appropriate and important uses for former railway routes. Consequently if rail were reinstated, an alternative continuous route would be needed for any displaced recreational trail (see policy T6). The National Park Authority believes that if the tunnels along the Monsal Trail are re-opened to give a continuous trail, recreational uses will not prevent future reinstatement to rail.

### **T5: Managing the demand for rail, and reuse of former railway routes**

- A. Land, tunnels and bridges will be safeguarded for future rail use (including heavy rail, light rail and guided bus) for the following schemes:
- I. Enhancement of the Hope Valley line;
  - II. Re-instatement of the former Woodhead and Matlock – Buxton railways.
- B. Irrespective of the safeguarding of land for schemes, none are accepted in principle. All proposals will be assessed on their merits and will be subject of rigorous examination including the continuity of the Trans Pennine Trail and Monsal Trail as required by policy T6.

## Routes for walking, cycling and horse riding, and waterways

- 15.33 In accordance with national policies for modal shift and healthier living, developments should have cycle and footpath connections to existing rights of way and to settlements where services and transport interchanges are more likely to be found<sup>160</sup>. Where a development proposal affects a right of way, every effort should be made to accommodate the route, or if this is not possible, to provide an equally good alternative.
- 15.34 Where appropriate, all former rail routes will be used for walking, cycling and equestrian use. The Manifold, Tissington, and High Peak Trails will be protected from

<sup>157</sup> Network Rail (2009) Yorkshire and Humber Route Utilisation Strategy

<sup>158</sup> Scott Wilson (2004) Derby to Manchester Railway: Matlock to Buxton/Chinley Link Study Main Report

<sup>159</sup> ODPM (2004) Policy Planning Statement 7: Sustainable development in rural areas

<sup>160</sup> DfT (2008) DaSTS (Delivering a Sustainable Transport System) goals put strong emphasis on modal shift & healthier living in production of Local Transport Plans

developments that would conflict with their use as multi-user trails. The continuity of the Trans-Pennine Trail and the Monsal Trail will be retained, irrespective of any future rail use, by realignment if required. Alternative routes for the Trans-Pennine Trail and the Monsal Trail will be safeguarded, if appropriate alignments can be identified when detailed Development Management policies are developed. Other long distance routes will be safeguarded and given improved connectivity where appropriate.

- 15.35 Highway Authorities have a duty to publish a Rights of Way Improvement Plan, assessing the quality of the area's rights of way network, and establishing a strategy for its improvement<sup>161</sup>. The National Park Authority will work with partners to increase recreational use and enjoyment of public rights of way and improve their connectivity. It will promote smarter choices and encourage highway authorities to give priority to sustainable modes of travel, including safer road margins between Trails and Rights of Way. This is a proactive approach that encourages use of modes of transport other than cars and could include the provision of bus priority measures, cycle lanes, quiet lanes or additional footpaths and bridleways which connect to transport interchanges beyond the National Park.
- 15.36 'Waterways for Tomorrow'<sup>162</sup> sets out the Government's policy for navigable inland waterways, which is to encourage people to make use of the inland waterways for leisure and recreation, tourism and sport. The Huddersfield narrow canal, despite being in a tunnel should be protected as a recreational route. Any other waterway developments are unlikely, but would be assessed on their merits.

#### **T6: Routes for walking, cycling and horse riding, and waterways**

- A. The Rights of Way network will be safeguarded from development, and wherever appropriate enhanced to improve connectivity, accessibility and access to transport interchanges. This may include facilitating attractive safe pedestrian and cycle routes between new residential or industrial developments and the centre of settlements. Where a development proposal affects a Right of Way, every effort will be made to accommodate the definitive route or provide an equally good or better alternative.
- B. The Manifold, Tissington and High Peak Trails, and other long distance routes, will be protected from development that conflicts with their purpose. The continuity of the Trans Pennine Trail and the Monsal Trail will be retained, irrespective of any future rail use, by realignment if required.
- C. Wherever appropriate, disused railway lines will be used for walking, cycling and equestrian use until such time as a railway scheme is granted.
- D. The Huddersfield Narrow Canal will be protected as an inland waterway.

---

<sup>161</sup> HMSO (2000) Countryside and Rights of Way Act

<sup>162</sup> Defra (2000) Waterways for Tomorrow



## Minimising the adverse impact of motor vehicles and managing the demand for car and coach parks

- 15.37 Policy T1 amplifies national guidance on reducing the need to travel. However, travelling within the National Park by motor vehicle is a source of great enjoyment for many visitors. Unfortunately without restrictions on access, either on or off road, the cumulative impact of motor vehicles, especially the private car, would harm the very national park qualities which visitors wish to experience. The National Park Authority will define parking standards for the National Park within the Development Management document.
- 15.38 Working in partnership, the National Park Authority intends to build on the success of the current traffic management schemes, and modify them to meet the demands of changing visitor travel patterns. This approach will inform future traffic management schemes in environmentally sensitive areas, where travel patterns, including those of visitors, have a clear negative impact on the environment, both natural and built. Care will be required to avoid displacing impact to other sensitive areas and nearby settlements, or creating visitor use beyond environmental carrying capacity even where they use sustainable transport. We will seek to ensure income generated by these schemes will be reinvested to provide maintenance, additional facilities and alternative means of access<sup>163</sup>. All schemes must make the best use of the road network to improve road safety, environmental and traffic conditions, and to reduce conflicts between various user groups.
- 15.39 Chapter 10: Recreation and Tourism covers the principles of such developments and also off-road use of Public Rights of Way by motor vehicles and motor sports.
- 15.40 Managing the demand for parking can help to mitigate the more harmful impacts of motor vehicles whilst having regard to the needs of local communities and businesses. Successive local policies<sup>164</sup> have kept operational parking and parking in housing developments to a minimum, and restricted non-operational parking to discourage car use. This principle is retained and amplifies guidance on park and ride. The policy is consistent with regional parking policies, and other planning and transport measures, promoting sustainable transport choices and reducing reliance on the car for work and other journeys. In order to manage demand, coach parking spaces should not be used by cars.
- 15.41 National Park residents' access to private cars is greater than the national average. This is a consequence of the area's rural character, limited public transport, attractiveness of the nearby conurbations for employment and services, and relative affluence. The availability of parking is a key determinant of car use; therefore, operational and non-residential parking provision need only follow national standards. All must be fit for purpose taking into account the needs of rural vehicles and future requirements. The same applies to service vehicles and coaches. Additionally, there must be adequate service and parking provision at transport interchanges to attract potential users.
- 15.42 Where appropriate, a modal shift of visitors to coaches from cars is desirable. In particular, park and ride schemes will be encouraged to serve the main visitor areas if there is a net environmental improvement to the National Park. Working in cooperation

---

<sup>163</sup> ODPM (2001) Planning Policy Guidance 13: Transport

<sup>164</sup> PPJPB (1994) Peak National Park Structure Plan and PDNPA (2001) Peak District National Park Local Plan

with Transport Authorities and companies, the National Park Authority will ensure coach parking and drop off points are managed to ensure vehicles are neither intrusive in the landscape nor harm the amenity of settlements.

**T7: Minimising the adverse impact of motor vehicles and managing the demand for car and coach parks**

- A. Existing traffic management schemes will be reviewed in partnership with the relevant Highway Authorities, to ensure that they accord with policy T1, encouraging a modal shift away from motor vehicles. Within environmentally sensitive locations, additional traffic management schemes will be undertaken where there is a demonstrable need.
- B. Residential parking and operational parking for service and delivery vehicles will be the minimum required for operational purposes, taking into account environmental constraints and future requirements.
- C. Non-residential parking will be restricted in order to discourage car use, and will be managed to ensure that the location and nature of car and coach parking does not exceed environmental capacity. New non-operational parking will normally be matched by a reduction of related parking spaces elsewhere, and wherever possible it will be made available for public use.
- D. Park and ride schemes will be encouraged to the main visitor areas, where they can be accommodated without harm to the valued characteristics of the area and will provide a net environmental benefit to the National Park.





## **Appendix 1 - Implementation and monitoring framework**

---

### **1. Delivery in the context of the National Park**

- 1.1 The Core Strategy proposes relatively limited delivery in terms of the amount of new buildings and infrastructure expected in the National Park.
- 1.2 For compatibility with the evidence base underpinning the former East Midlands Regional Plan and with Derbyshire Dales and High Peak joint LDF Core Strategy, the National Park Core Strategy and Delivery Plan use 2006 as a base date for delivery with an overall time span of 20 years. The Delivery Plan points out the different levels of certainty in the way the Authority's plans and those of its partners may be delivered, particularly since strategies and funding streams will vary through the life of the Plan.
- 1.3 The achievement and delivery of statutory National Park purposes places a strategic brake on most forms of built development. Socio-economic regeneration must recognise this and find ways to work alongside the conservation and enhancement of natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the area, underpinned by concepts of sustainable development and partnership working. In this way, access to jobs, services, homes, leisure and communications will be maintained and improved in a manner compatible with protected landscape designation. Detailed consideration of siting and design will always be essential to ensure acceptability and high standards.
- 1.4 The National Park is designated for the purposes of conserving and enhancing its valued characteristics and promoting opportunities for the understanding and enjoyment of the National Park. Within this context only a handful of larger scale schemes may require significant preparation for infrastructure provision, and most development anticipated by the strategy will be realised on a relatively piecemeal site-by-site basis, incorporating any required infrastructure as part of each approved scheme. Nevertheless, it is necessary to work with the relevant agencies to ensure that there is sufficient confidence regarding finance, project management, build-rates and longer term management to ensure that the Core Strategy is deliverable.

### **2. Working in partnership**

- 2.1 Delivery of the Core Strategy depends on a wide range of individuals and bodies. Partnership and collaboration in policy making and implementation is an established characteristic of planning, management and delivery for the National Park because of its constitutional context.
- 2.2 The National Park Authority is responsible for National Park management and planning and so plays a crucial role managing the use of land in accordance with the Core Strategy. It can secure the delivery of national park purposes by attaching suitable conditions when development is permitted. The National Park Authority is also able to make use of legal agreements (see section on general spatial policies) to add resources for affordable housing and some service provision.
- 2.3 11 Local Authorities (including 2 Counties, 4 Districts and 5 Unitary Authorities) have complementary local government powers and responsibilities. These authorities, rather than the National Park Authority, deliver local services including housing, highway authorities, waste collection, leisure, education, social services and public transport. Many local authorities have already engaged with the National Park Authority in

collaboration on previous land-use plans and the National Park Management Plan (NPMP).

- 2.4 In addition to local authorities, a wide range of other partnerships contribute to delivery of National Park Purposes and the spatial strategy. They change over time and are subject to regular review. They currently include, for example, the Sheffield City Region Partnership; the Homes and Communities Agency's Single Conversation Investment Plans Partnership; the Planning Sub-regional Partnership with High Peak Borough Council and Derbyshire Dales District Council; and the High Peak and Derbyshire Dales Accessibility Partnership.
- 2.5 Collaboration with stakeholders and partners has contributed to the development of this Delivery Plan, improving consistency of evidence sources and compatibility between policies across boundaries, including across the 10 Local Strategic Partnerships and 8 Local Area Agreements (LAAs)<sup>165</sup> covering the National Park (see Appendix 1). In addition to delivering National Park purposes and setting the context for social, economic and green infrastructure for local communities, the National Park Authority makes significant contributions to LAA priorities in Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Kirklees and Cheshire. In Derbyshire this includes supporting the growth of businesses and improving access to services by public transport, walking and cycling, and adapting to climate change. In both Derbyshire and Staffordshire it contributes to the reduction in Carbon Dioxide emissions and increasing the number of affordable homes; in Kirklees to adult participation in sport and recreation and, in Cheshire East, to the number of homes provided.
- 2.6 An increasing proportion of government funding is now combined in a single Area Based Grant (ABG) for each LAA. This is an element of mainstream budget used to support the achievement of locally identified 'improvement targets'. In addition, the Homes and Communities Agency is organising its funding via 'Single Conversation Investment Plans', although the mismatch between Housing Market Areas and regional housing funding streams means that there will be 8 'single conversations' that affect the National Park and determine rates of delivery. These are currently at various stages of development.
- 2.7 As a large proportion of development in the National Park will also be in Derbyshire Dales and High Peak Districts, joint working has taken place on the development of the Core Strategies and their delivery with the respective local authorities. A joint workshop was held in June 2009, to bring together key delivery partners to explain the role of the respective Core Strategies, consider whether their plans for delivery and investment are compatible, and identify opportunities for improvements and efficiencies in service and infrastructure delivery. Further joint workshops were held with key delivery bodies in November 2009, to discuss future development options in the 2 evolving Core Strategies and to elicit views on delivery. The findings from the workshops, and other information provided by partners operating across the National Park<sup>166</sup> and the wider area (including

---

<sup>165</sup> Local Area Agreements are statutory three-year agreements (currently for 2008/09 to 2010/2011), developed by local councils with their partners in a Local Strategic Partnership (LSP). They bring together public, private and voluntary sectors to deliver the Sustainable Community Strategies for the Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Cheshire East, Oldham, Kirklees, Barnsley and Sheffield areas of the Park (see Appendix C Page 21).

<sup>166</sup> For example on specific issues, such as with (i) the Derbyshire Dales and High Peak Local Strategic Partnership Affordable Housing Action Group and the Homes and Communities Agency on housing, and (ii) with minerals companies during the preparation of the Core Strategy and the related Minerals Strategic Action Plan adopted by the National Park Authority in May 2009.

useful discussion on their respective roles), have enabled preparation of this Delivery Plan. The discussions indicated that anticipated levels of development in the National Park would not require a scale of supporting infrastructure that would cause problems for infrastructure and service providers.

- 2.8 The Coalition Government now encourages the establishment of Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs) as a key means of replacing the work of the former Regional Development Agencies. Formal partnership arrangements are being agreed and the key issue for the National Park Authority is to consider which LEP option offers the best means of aligning and delivering on the Authority's priorities and ultimately the legal purposes and duty of the National Park. Options include being a partner in several LEPs overlapping the National Park area, and the creation of a more focussed LEP comprising key business leaders in the area specifically addressing issues affecting the Peak District.
- 2.9 The National Park's relationship with the wider area has included an acceptance by surrounding local authorities of the need to provide for a scale and type of development that helps reduce pressures in the National Park itself. This has for example been seen in nearby market towns, changing expectations on mineral extraction, and the provision and promotion of alternative recreation attractions and linking features such as visitor centres, long distance trails and recreational or heritage landscapes such as the Derwent Valley World Heritage Site and Carsington reservoir in the south, Dovestone reservoir to the north west, the array of reservoirs and managed land on the Yorkshire Fringe, and the Churnet Valley and Tittesworth reservoir to the south west. The National Park Authority welcomes these constructive approaches to supporting the management of the wider Peak District. In this way other bodies are able to meet their obligations under Section 62 of the Environment Act.
- 2.10 Appendix 1 provides a summary of key partners and how they will assist the delivery of the spatial policies to achieve the shared priorities for the National Park.

### **3. Monitoring and review**

- 3.1 The LDF will be monitored and kept under review in line with government guidance through the Annual Monitoring Reports (AMRs). Throughout the life of the plan, information will be shared with key stakeholders and delivery bodies to:
- monitor changes in needs, opportunities and delivery;
  - report significant issues through annual monitoring reports; and
  - review policies and/or trigger contingencies where necessary.
- 3.2 Key partners are consulted each year to consider how core policies are working towards the achievement of spatial aims and objectives, and their views are incorporated. The AMR reflects national requirements and policy changes, and wherever possible aligns with the monitoring of Sustainable Community Strategies and Local Area Agreements<sup>167</sup>. It will reflect both long term trends and matters stemming from periodic review of the evidence base<sup>168</sup> including, for example, the findings of the 2011 Census review and updates on local needs and land availability.

---

<sup>167</sup> This will not always be possible. For example a community strategy priority may not have spatial consequences relevant to the development plan, or data problems may prevent cost effective monitoring for the National Park.

<sup>168</sup> It is desirable to continue the joint working with local constituent authorities on the strategic evidence studies needed to underpin the Core Strategy, such as Strategic Housing Needs Surveys and Employment

- 3.3 Complementary monitoring in the form of regular updates to the State of the Park report, the National Park Management Plan Annual Report (NPMP AMR), and the 5-yearly reviews of the Plans and National Park Management Plan Strategies, will also contribute to the monitoring and review of the LDF.
- 3.4 Monitoring includes land availability, the proportion of applications granted by type, the results of appeals, and the scale, nature and distribution of development. It draws attention to applications that raise significant policy issues or granted contrary to policy. Results are published in the LDF AMR. In addition, liaison and joint working with the responsible authorities keeps matters such as housing need or accessibility improvements under review.
- 3.5 The current indicators in the LDF AMR will be updated to reflect new policies and outcomes. Monitoring in future will make use of the proposed new indicators listed in Appendix 2.

---

Land Reviews. A schedule of review for key evidence sources will be prepared to support any future need for reviews.

---



## Implementation Schedule

### All policies

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S))</b>
All policies	National Park Management Plan	PDNPA and partners	2011	S
	Annual Monitoring Reports	PDNPA	annually	S
	Development Management Policies DPD	PDNPA	2013	S
	State of the Park Report	PDNPA	2011	S

### 7: General spatial policies

GSP1: Securing national park purposes and sustainable development

GSP2: Achieving enhancement of the National Park

GSP3: Development management principles

GSP4: Planning conditions and legal agreements

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S))</b>
GSP1	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, land owners and managers	2019	P
GSP1	Peak District National Park Climate Change Action Plan 2009 - 2011	PDNPA and partners	2011 and ongoing	N
GSP1	Cultural Heritage Strategy Action Plan and others (AIT)	PDNPA	reviewed 2011 and ongoing	P
GSP1	Peak District Biodiversity Action Plan Mid Term Review (AIT)	PDNPA and BAP partners	2020	P
GSP1	SPG for Energy, Renewables and Conservation and follow up SPD	PDNPA	ongoing with updated version to be adopted 2011	S

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
GSP2	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	P
GSP2	Cultural Heritage Strategy Action Plan and others (AIT)	PDNPA	reviewed 2011 and ongoing	P
GSP2	Peak District Biodiversity Action Plan Mid Term Review (AIT)	PDNPA and BAP partners	2020	P
GSP2	Conservation Area appraisals	PDNPA	ongoing	S
GSP2	Use of Stop and Enforcement Notice	PDNPA	ongoing	P
GSP2	Section 215 Notices	PDNPA	ongoing	P
GSP2	Site briefs	PDNPA	ongoing as necessary	P
GSP2	Planning permissions monitoring work	PDNPA	ongoing	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
GSP3	Development Management Document (AIT)	PDNPA	from 2012 and ongoing	S
GSP3	Design Guide	PDNPA	ongoing	S
GSP3	SPG for Energy, Renewables and Conservation and follow up SPD	PDNPA	ongoing with updated version to be adopted 2011	S
GSP3	Peak District National Park Climate Change Action Plan 2009 - 2011	PDNPA and partners	ongoing	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
GSP4	Charging Schedule SPD	PDNPA and constituent authorities	2013	P

## **8: The development strategy**

DS1: The development strategy

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S))</b>
DS1	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	P
DS1	Cultural Heritage Strategy Action Plan and others (AIT)	PDNPA	reviewed 2011 and ongoing	P
DS1	Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment	PDNPA, constituent housing authorities, RSLs	2010-14 2014-26 using annual monitoring	P
DS1	Live Work Rural Programme	PDNPA, Derbyshire Economic Partnership, emda	Until April 2012	S
DS1	District Housing Needs Surveys		ongoing	S
DS1	Parish Housing Needs Surveys	Constituent housing authorities through Parish Councils and Rural Housing Enabler	4-8 p.a. ongoing	S
DS1	Parish site search work	RHE with PDNPA planners and RSLs	c 6 p.a. ongoing	S

## **9: Landscapes and conservation**

L1: Landscape character and valued characteristics

L2: Sites of biodiversity or geodiversity importance

L3: Cultural heritage assets of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic significance

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S))</b>
L1	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S
L1	Section 62 of the Environment Act. Scanning policy and planning applications from around the Park	PDNPA	ongoing	S

L1	Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPD	PDNPA, constituent authorities, RSLs, businesses and householders	to be adopted late 2011	S
----	---	---	-------------------------	---

Policy	Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])	Delivery partners	By when	Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S))
L2	Peak District Biodiversity Action Plan Mid Term Review (AIT)	PDNPA, BAP partners, other landowners and managers	2020	P
L2	ELS and HLS farm payments	PDNPA and Natural England	ongoing	S
L2	Moors for the Future programme	PDNPA, National Trust, RSPB, Natural England, Yorkshire Water, Severn Trent Water, Environment Agency, United Utilities, Derbyshire County Council	ongoing	S
L2	Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPD	PDNPA, constituent authorities, RSLs, businesses and householders	to be adopted late 2011	S

Policy	Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])	Delivery partners	By when	Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S))
L3	Cultural Heritage Strategy Action Plan and others (AIT)	PDNPA	reviewed 2011 and ongoing	P
L3	Householder Planning Application Guidance Notes and Planning Application Validation Guidance	PDNPA	ongoing	S
L3	Conservation Area Management Plans	PDNPA	ongoing	S
L3	Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPD	PDNPA, constituent authorities, RSLs, businesses and householders	to be adopted late 2011	S

### **10: Recreation and tourism**

RT1: Recreation, environmental education and interpretation

RT2: Hotels, bed and breakfast and self catering accommodation

RT3: Caravans and camping

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
RT1	Recreation Strategy: Active in the Outdoors (AIT)	PDNPA and the Recreation Partnership	2020	P
RT1	Resident and visitor surveys	PDNPA	ongoing	P
RT1	Working with People and Communities Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA and partners	ongoing	P
RT1	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
RT2	Sustainable Tourism Strategy to be developed	Destination Management Organisation for the Peak District (format and partners as yet unknown)	strategy being developed	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
RT3	Sustainable Tourism Strategy to be developed	Destination Management Organisation for the Peak District (format and partners as yet unknown)	strategy being developed	P
RT3	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S

### **11: Climate Change and Sustainable Building**

CC1: Climate change mitigation and adaptation

CC2: Low carbon and renewable energy development

CC3: Waste Management - domestic, industrial and commercial waste

CC4: Waste management - on-farm anaerobic digestion of agricultural manure and slurry

CC5: Flood risk and water conservation

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
CC1	Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPD	PDNPA, constituent authorities, RSLs, businesses and householders	to be adopted late 2011	S
CC1	Code for Sustainable Homes	PDNPA and constituent authorities and Registered Social Landlords	ongoing	N
CC1	Planning conditions	PDNPA	ongoing	S
CC1	Section 106 agreements	PDNPA	ongoing	S
CC1	Single Conversation Delivery Plans	PDNPA and constituent authorities	ongoing	N
CC1	Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan	PDNPA and organisations with section 62(2) duties.	ongoing	N
CC1	Application of Building Emissions Rate standard	PDNPA	ongoing	N
CC1	Sustainable Development Fund grant aid	PDNPA	ongoing	S
CC1	Moors for the Future Programme	PDNPA, National Trust, RSPB, Natural England, Yorkshire Water, Severn Trent Water, Environment Agency, United Utilities, Derbyshire County Council	ongoing	S

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
CC2	Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPD	PDNPA, constituent authorities, RSLs, businesses and householders	to be adopted late 2011	S
CC2	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S
CC2	Householder Planning Application Guidance Notes and Planning Application Validation Guidance	PDNPA	ongoing	S

CC2	Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan	PDNPA and organisations with section 62(2) duties	ongoing	N
CC2	Sustainable Development Fund grant aid	PDNPA	ongoing	S

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
CC3	Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPD	PDNPA, constituent authorities, RSLs, businesses and householders	to be adopted late 2011	S
CC3	Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy	PDNPA, Derbyshire and constituent district authorities	ongoing	N
CC3	Sustainable Development Fund grant aid	PDNPA	ongoing	S
CC3	Peak District Biodiversity Action Plan Mid Term Review (AIT)	PDNPA and BAP partners	2020	P
CC3	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
CC4	Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPD	PDNPA, constituent authorities, RSLs, businesses and householders	ongoing	S
CC4	Sustainable Development Fund grant aid	PDNPA	ongoing	S
CC4	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S
CC4	Peak District Biodiversity Action Plan Mid Term Review (AIT)	PDNPA and BAP partners	2020	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
CC5	Climate Change and Sustainable Building SPG	PDNPA, constituent authorities, RSLs, businesses and householders	to be adopted late 2011	S
CC5	Catchment Flood Management Plans covering the Park	Environment Agency	ongoing	N

## **12: Homes, shops and community facilities**

HC1: New housing

HC2: Housing for key workers in agriculture, forestry or other rural enterprises

HC3: Sites for gypsies, travellers or travelling showpeople

HC4: Provision and retention of community services and facilities

HC5: Shops, professional services and related activities

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
HC1	Housing Investment Plans for Derbyshire Dales and High Peak, Staffordshire Moorlands, Sheffield	HCA, Constituent housing authorities, Registered Social Landlords	to 2015	P
HC1	Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment	PDNPA and constituent housing authorities	First SHLAA completed 2009 to cover periods 2010-14 2014-26 using annual monitoring	P
HC1	District Housing Needs Surveys	Constituent housing authorities	ongoing review as required	S
HC1	Parish Housing Needs Surveys	Constituent housing authorities through Parish Councils and Rural Housing Enabler	4-8 p.a. ongoing	S
HC1	Parish site search work	RHE with PDNPA planners	c 6 p.a. ongoing	S
HC1	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S



HC1	Conservation Area Management Plans	PDNPA	ongoing	S
HC1	Affordable Housing SPG	PDNPA	Adopted 2003 and ongoing	N

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
HC2	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S
HC2	Conservation Area Management Plans	PDNPA	ongoing	S

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
HC3	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S
HC3	Conservation Area Management Plans	PDNPA	ongoing	S

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
HC4	Planning conditions to prevent loss of particular use class for buildings	PDNPA	ongoing	S
HC4	Conservation Area Management Plans	PDNPA	ongoing	S
HC4	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
H5	Planning conditions to prevent loss of particular use class for buildings	PDNPA	ongoing	S

H5	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S
H5	Conservation Area Management Plans	PDNPA	ongoing	S

### **13: Supporting Economic Development**

E1: Business development in towns and villages

E2: Businesses in the countryside

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
E1	Conservation Area Management Plans	PDNPA	ongoing	S
E1	Sustainable Tourism Strategy to be developed	Destination Management Organisation for the Peak District	strategy being developed	P
E1	Live Work Rural Programme	PDNPA, Derbyshire Economic Partnership, emda.	until April 2012	S
E1	Leader Plus Project	Derbyshire Economic Partnership	2013	S
E1	Commercial business plans	various but unknown	ongoing	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
E2	Landscape Strategy (AIT)	PDNPA, Natural England, Land owners and managers	2019	S
E2	Cultural Heritage Strategy Action Plan and others (AIT)	PDNPA	reviewed 2011 and ongoing	P
E2	Live Work Rural Programme	PDNPA, Derbyshire Economic Partnership, emda.	until April 2012	S
E2	Sustainable Tourism Strategy to be developed	Destination Management Organisation for the Peak District (format and partners as yet unknown)	strategy being developed	P
E2	Leader Plus Project	Derbyshire Economic Partnership	2013	S

E2	Commercial business plans	Private business	ongoing	P
E2	Farm Buildings SPG	PDNPA	Adopted 2003 and ongoing	N

#### **14: Minerals**

MIN 1: Minerals development

MIN 2: Fluorspar

MIN 3: Local small-scale building and roofing stone

MIN 4: Mineral safeguarding

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
MIN 1	Minerals Action Plan	PDNPA and partners	2009 onwards	P
MIN 1	Regionally agreed apportionment figures for mineral extraction	East Midlands RAWP and operators	2020	N

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
MIN 2	Minerals Action Plan	PDNPA, English Heritage and Mineral operating companies	2009 onwards	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
MIN 3	Minerals Action Plan	PDNPA, English Heritage and Mineral operating companies	2009 onwards	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
MIN 4	Minerals Action Plan	PDNPA	2009 onwards	P

## **15: Accessibility, Travel and Traffic**

T1: Reducing the general need to travel and encouraging sustainable transport

T2: Reducing and directing traffic

T3: Design and Transport infrastructure

T4: Managing the demand for freight transport

T5: Managing the demand for rail, and re-use of former railway routes

T6: Routes for walking cycling and horse riding, and waterways

T7: Minimising the adverse impact of motor vehicles and managing the demand for car and coach parks

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
T1	Peak District National Park Sustainable Transport Action Plan (delivering NPMP and LDF outcomes, and Constituent Authority LTP outcomes)	PDNPA, Highways Authorities (Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Sheffield, Cheshire East, Kirklees, Barnsley, Oldham ) plus public transport operators	ongoing for lifespan of LDF	P
T1	Local Transport Plans 3rd round	Highways Authorities	5 years from adoption	P
T1	Project based work	PDNPA and partners	ongoing	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
T2	Peak District National Park Sustainable Transport Action Plan (delivering NPMP and LDF outcomes, and Constituent Authority LTP outcomes)	PDNPA, Highways Authorities (Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Sheffield, Cheshire East, Kirklees, Barnsley, Oldham ) plus public transport operators	ongoing for lifespan of LDF	P
T2	Local Transport Plans 3rd round	Highways Authorities	5 years from adoption	P
T2	Project based work	PDNPA and partners	ongoing	P
T2	Travel plan condition on planning permissions	PDNPA	ongoing as necessary	N

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
T3	Peak District National Park Sustainable Transport Action Plan (delivering NPMP and LDF outcomes, and Constituent Authority LTP outcomes)	PDNPA, Highways Authorities (Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Sheffield, Cheshire East, Kirklees, Barnsley, Oldham )	ongoing for lifespan of LDF	P
T3	Local Transport Plans 3rd round	Highways Authorities	5 years from adoption	P
T3	Project based work	PDNPA and partners	ongoing	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
T4	Peak District National Park Sustainable Transport Action Plan (delivering NPMP and LDF outcomes, and Constituent Authority LTP outcomes)	PDNPA, Highways Authorities (Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Sheffield, Cheshire East, Kirklees, Barnsley, Oldham ) plus public transport operators	ongoing for lifespan of LDF	P
T4	Local Transport Plans 3rd round	Highways Authorities	5 years from adoption	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
T5	Peak District National Park Sustainable Transport Action Plan (delivering NPMP and LDF outcomes, and Constituent Authority LTP outcomes)	PDNPA, Highways Authorities (Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Sheffield, Cheshire East, Kirklees, Barnsley, Oldham) plus rail operators	ongoing for lifespan of LDF	P
T5	Local Transport Plans 3rd round	Highways Authorities	5 years from adoption	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
T6	Peak District National Park Sustainable Transport Action Plan (delivering NPMP and LDF outcomes, and Constituent Authority LTP outcomes)	PDNPA, Highways Authorities (Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Sheffield, Cheshire East, Kirklees, Barnsley, Oldham ) plus recreation organisations	ongoing for lifespan of LDF	P
T6	Local Transport Plans 3rd round	Highways Authorities	5 years from adoption	P
T6	Project based work	PDNPA and partners	ongoing	P

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Implementation tools (with action plan and/or indicators and/or targets [AIT])</b>	<b>Delivery partners</b>	<b>By when</b>	<b>Funding needed Not needed (N) Potentially available (P) Secured (S)</b>
T7	Peak District National Park Sustainable Transport Action Plan (delivering NPMP and LDF outcomes, and Constituent Authority LTP outcomes)	PDNPA, Highways Authorities (Derbyshire, Staffordshire, Sheffield, Cheshire East, Kirklees, Barnsley, Oldham ) plus public transport operators	ongoing for lifespan of LDF	P
T7	Local Transport Plans 3rd round	Highways Authorities	5 years from adoption	P
T7	Project based work	PDNPA and partners	ongoing	P
T7	Traffic Regulation Orders	PDNPA, Highways Authorities, Police, Ramblers, Recreational users	ongoing	
T7	Strategy to Manage Recreational Vehicular Use of Unsurfaced Highways and Off-road	PDNPA, Highways Authorities, Police, Ramblers, Recreational users	ongoing	

## **Peak District National Park Core Strategy Monitoring Framework**

NB: To be supported by a range of other contextual indicators collected and used to monitor the State of the Park for the National Park Management Plan (e.g. from Census, IMD, NOMIS, DEFRA, English Heritage, Environment Agency, STEAM, constituent councils, etc). The Authority has an on-going commitment to improving data quality, e.g. accuracy, completeness, etc.

Owing to the small numbers of development anticipated in the National Park, a proportionate approach to monitoring is anticipated, with some matters considered more appropriate to be monitored on a 3 yearly basis. Indeed some indicators will observe the degree circumstances have been maintained (or conserved and enhanced in the terms of National. This will also give an opportunity to compare spatial planning data to contextual state of the park data gathered for the National Park Management Plan. This will allow an overall view to be made on the achievement of high level outcomes.

The first full monitoring year is anticipated to be 2013/14, so for the purposes of developing a baseline the 2011/12 year will be considered as year 1. As such the table shows a commitment to develop baseline information during 2011/12.

The Authority is aware that on-going changes to permitted development mean that it is not possible to gather all data relating to physical change to the National Park, only through cases captured through the planning system.

Contributing to all spatial outcomes						
Policy	Indicator(s)	Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)	Baseline	Data Source	Target/Direction of travel	Frequency
DS1 – Development Strategy	Proportion of net housing development permissions in named settlements	Local	To be developed during 2011/12		80-90% of housing development to be in named settlements	3 yearly
	Applications granted contrary to policy	Local	AMR – 2009/10 - 1 AMR – 2008/9 - 1 AMR – 2007/8 - 3	PDNPA Planning records AMR	Reducing with tolerance of 3	Annual
	Applications that have raised significant policy issues	Local	AMR – 2009/10 - 11 AMR – 2008/9 - 12 AMR – 2007/8 - 7	PDNPA Planning records AMR	Reducing with tolerance of 10	Annual
	Proportion of development approved in named settlements	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	80-90% of development to be in named settlements	Annual
	Overall number and range of services across named settlements	Local	Information contained on settlement matrix	PDNPA Planning records	Maintain current numbers/range	3 yearly
	Access to specified services and facilities within target times by public transport (including bank/building society; GP surgery; NHS dentist; petrol station; post office; primary & secondary school; supermarket; hospital)	Contextual	Information contained on settlement matrix	Rural services data series (CRC)	Maintain current level	3 yearly



<b>Contributing to all Spatial Outcomes</b>						
<b>Policy</b>	<b>Indicator(s)</b>	<b>Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Target/Direction of Travel</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
GSP1 – Securing National Park purposes and sustainable development	Number of major developments completed (number and text) (e.g. housing over 10 dwellings; development over 1000sqm; all minerals and waste proposals)	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	Maintain current levels	Annual
	Applications granted contrary to policy (text)	Local	AMR – 2009/10 - 1 AMR – 2008/9 - 1 AMR – 2007/8 - 3	PDNPA Planning records AMR	Reducing	Annual
	Applications that have raised significant policy issues (text)	Local	AMR – 2009/10 - 11 AMR – 2008/9 - 12 AMR – 2007/8 - 7	PDNPA Planning records AMR	Reducing	Annual
GSP2 – Enhancing the National Park	Number of permissions approved on enhancement grounds	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	100% of proposals for enhancement demonstrate they offer significant overall benefit to the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the area.	Annual

	Cases where non-conforming uses have been removed through planning permissions completed (text)	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	100% of cases involving non-conforming uses	Annual
GSP3 – Development management principles	Applications granted contrary to specialist (internal advice) and statutory consultee advice	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	Reducing with tolerance of 25%	Annual
GSP4 – Planning conditions and legal agreements	Number and type of Section 106 agreements or infrastructure secured through other mechanisms including any introduced Community Infrastructure Levy	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	Using all appropriate mechanisms to increase the capacity to facilitate National Park purposes and duty through development and enable appropriate contributions to infrastructure development	Annual

<b>Contributing to Spatial Outcome for Landscapes and Conservation</b>						
<b>Policy</b>	<b>Indicator(s)</b>	<b>Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Target/ Direction of travel</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
L1 – Landscape character and valued characteristics	Number of planning permissions for development in the Natural Zone	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	No development in the Natural Zone other than that justified by exceptional circumstances	Annual and 3 yearly

	Number of permissions granted with conditions to incorporate conservation or habitat creation, landscape treatment and provision of other features which enhance valued characteristics	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	An increasing number	Annual
L2 – Sites of biodiversity and geo-diversity importance	Loss in areas of biodiversity importance as listed in policy	Local (formerly core)	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records  Protected species forms as part of validation requirements	No losses. 100% of development must conserve and enhance sites, features or species of biodiversity importance or their setting	3 yearly
L3 – Cultural Heritage assets of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic significance	Losses to designated cultural heritage assets of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic significance	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	No loss of designated cultural heritage features	3 yearly

<b>Contributing to Spatial Outcome for Recreation and Tourism</b>						
<b>Policy</b>	<b>Indicator(s)</b>	<b>Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Target/ Direction of travel</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
RT1 – Recreation, environmental education and	Number of applications granted and completions for development to promote understanding	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	An increasing number	Annual

interpretation	Number of applications granted and completions for development to promote recreation	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	An increasing number	Annual
	Proportion of permissions and completions for development to promote understanding or recreation which are in/on the edge of named settlements	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	At least 75%	Annual
RT2 – Hotels, bed and breakfast and self catering accommodation	Applications granted and completions of holiday accommodation by type (gross and net)	Local	Hotel demand study	PDNPA Planning records	An increased number	Annual
RT3 – Caravans and camping	Applications granted for and completions of new sites and improvements to existing camping and caravan sites	Local	Audit of caravan and camping sites	PDNPA Planning records	An increased number	Annual

#### Contributing to Spatial Climate Change and Sustainable Building

Policy	Indicator(s)	Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)	Baseline	Data Source	Target / Direction of travel	Frequency
CC1 – Climate change mitigation and adaptation	Proportion of new residential development meeting the standard required by government for affordable housing provided by Registered Social Landlords in the Code for Sustainable Homes	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	An increasing number	Annual

	Proportion of new non-residential development with a Building Emissions Rate less than Target Emissions Rate (restricted to development of more than 1000m2)	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	100% of development captured by policy achieve Building Emissions rate at least 10% less than Target Emissions Rate	Annual
	Housing quality – number and proportion of new build completions on housing sites reaching standard ratings on Building for Life Assessments	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	An increasing number	Annual
	Fabric First improvements in existing building stock and extensions as part of a planning application	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	An increasing number	Annual
CC2 – Low carbon and renewable energy development	Applications granted and completed for renewable energy generation	Local	Peak Sub region energy study	PDNPA Planning records Design and access statements	An increased number	Annual
	Applications granted and completed for other low carbon developments	Local	Peak Sub region energy study	PDNPA Planning records Design and access statements	An increased number	Annual
CC3 – Waste management	Number of small-scale community waste management facilities granted (excluding on-farm manure and slurry development (see CC4))	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	An increased number of additional small-scale community schemes permitted over the whole plan period up to 2026	Annual

CC4 – On-farm anaerobic digestion of agricultural manure and slurry	Number of new on-farm anaerobic digestion waste management facilities permitted	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	An increased number of additional on-farm AD facilities permitted over the whole plan period up to 2026	Annual
CC5 – Flood risk and water conservation	Strategic Flood Risk Assessment	contextual	Baseline survey maps available from SFRA	PDNPA/Partnership research	No development in mapped zone 2 and 3 flood risk areas	Related to plan review. Partnership approach with constituent authorities
	Number of planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency advice on flood risk and water quality grounds	Local (formerly Core)	To be developed during 2011/12	Environment Agency/PDNPA Planning records	none	Annual
	Number and proportion of applications granted and completed with Sustainable Urban Drainage	Local (formerly regional)	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	An increasing number	Annual

<b>Contributing to Spatial Outcome for Homes, Shops and Community Facilities</b>						
<b>Policy</b>	<b>Indicator(s)</b>	<b>Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Target / Direction of travel</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
HC1 – New housing	Housing stock by type	Contextual (formerly Core)		PDNPA Planning records and Census of Population (ONS)	N/A	3 yearly

	Level and nature of local housing need (and stock)	Contextual	Strategic understanding of need established through SHNS and advice from Housing Authorities	Future Reviews of Need Surveys PDNPA Planning Records and constituent councils	Decreasing	3 yearly
	Change to housing stock ie: Number of dwellings permitted and completed (gross and net) by type (including open market, affordable, key workers, grant assisted, new-build, change of use)	Local	none	PDNPA planning records	Increasing (NB: whilst there is no numerical target, monitoring will take account of the estimates in the Core Strategy / Delivery Plan)	Annual
HC2 – Housing for key workers in agriculture, forestry or other rural enterprises	Number of dwellings permitted and completed tied to S106 occupancy restriction (gross and net)	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA planning records	An increasing number	Annual
	Number of dwellings which have the Section 106 occupancy restriction agreement removed, by type of restriction	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA planning records	decreasing	Annual
HC3 – Sites for gypsies, travellers or travelling showpeople	Number of gypsy and travellers' pitches available	Contextual	Baseline data available in Gypsy and Traveller study	PDNPA	N/A	3 yearly

**Contributing to Spatial Outcome for Homes, Shops and Community Facilities**

Policy	Indicator(s)	Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)	Baseline	Data Source	Target / Direction of travel	Frequency
HC4 – Provision and retention of community services and facilities	Losses of community facilities through change of use, by settlement and overall	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA planning records	No net change overall and aiming for no individual settlement to be left with no service/facility	Annual
	Applications granted/completed for community facilities or shops by type of provision and by type of development (new build, conversion, change of use) (floorspace)	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA planning records	An increasing number	Annual
	Proportion of floorspace developed for community facilities & shops which is in /on the edge of named settlements by type of provision	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA planning records	increasing	Annual
HC5 – Shops, professional services and activities	Amount of floorspace developed within Use Class A; and proportion within/on the edge of named settlements	Local	Retail study	PDNPA planning records	An increasing number	Annual

**Contributing to Spatial Outcome for Supporting Economic Development**

Policy	Indicator(s)	Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)	Baseline	Data Source	Target / Direction of travel	Frequency
E1 – Business development in towns and	Amount of floorspace permitted and completed by Use Class (gross and net)	Local	Employment Land Review	PDNPA and constituent councils	No net decline	Annual



villages	Proportion of floorspace permitted and completed in/on the edge of named settlements by Use Class	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA planning records	increasing	Annual
	Losses of employment floorspace to alternative uses	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA planning records	decreasing	Annual
E2 – Businesses in the countryside	Net amount of employment floorspace permitted and completed outside named settlements by Use Class	Local	Employment land review	PDNPA and constituent councils	No net decline	Annual
	Number of permissions in isolated open countryside locations	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA planning records	None.	Annual

<b>Contributing to Spatial Outcome for Minerals</b>						
<b>Policy</b>	<b>Indicator(s)</b>	<b>Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Target / Direction of travel</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
MIN1 – Minerals development	Production of primary land-won aggregates (million tonnes)	Contextual	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA planning records	Progressive Reduction in Output	Annual
	Aggregates apportionment in the National Park	Contextual	To be developed during 2011/12	Aggregates Working Party	Progressive reduction reaching 3.2 million tonnes per annum by 2026	Annual
	Number and area of quarries in the National Park: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• active</li> <li>• dormant</li> </ul>	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA	Progressive reduction in number and area	Annual
	Number of permissions granted for extraction by type	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA	decreasing	3 yearly

MIN2 – Fluorspar proposals	Number of new permissions for fluorspar extraction by surface mining granted (not including from tailings dams)	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA	None	Annual
	Percentage of fluorspar extraction by underground methods as part of the total output of fluorspar from the National Park	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA	Increasing	Annual
MIN3 – Local small-scale building and roofing stone	Proportion of permissions for new local small-scale additional workings subject to appropriate end-use controls to restrict use to buildings within the National Park	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA	100%	Annual
MIN4 – Mineral safeguarding	Extent of Minerals Safeguarding Area	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA	maintained	Annual
	Number of major non-mineral developments granted in the Mineral Safeguarding Areas where safeguarded minerals have been unnecessarily sterilised	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA	None	Annual

Contributing to Spatial Outcome for Accessibility, Travel and Traffic						
Policy	Indicator(s)	Type of Indicator (Local/Contextual)	Baseline	Data Source	Target / Direction of travel	Frequency
T1 – Reducing the general need to travel and encouraging sustainable transport	Average annual daily traffic flows	Contextual	2009/10 data	Constituent highways authorities	No >2% increase pa	Annual
T2 – Reducing and directing traffic	Changes to road traffic network (text)	Contextual	2010/11 road network	Constituent highway authorities	This is a text indicator that seeks to comment on the number and significance of changes to the road traffic network	Annual
T3 – Design of transport infrastructure	Sympathetic design (taking account of valued characteristics) and decluttering of infrastructure	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	Constituent highway authorities	Increase in proportion of new infrastructure sympathetically designed	Annual
		Local	To be developed during 2011/12	Constituent highway authorities	This is a text indicator that will comment on whether anywhere has been decluttered from transport infrastructure	Annual
T4 – Managing the demand for freight transport	Applications granted contrary to policy	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records AMR	0	Annual
	Indicator for T1 will provide an indication of freight movements					

T5 – Managing the demand for rail, and reuse of former railway routes	Changes reported in safeguarded rail routes (text)	Contextual	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA	This is a text indicator that will comment on changes in safeguarded rail routes	Annual
T6 – Routes for walking, cycling and horse riding, and waterways	Change in length of network of permissive routes and statutory routes	Local	2007/08	PDNPA	Opportunities are taken as they arise, so no specific target identified	Annual
T7 – Minimising the adverse impact of motor vehicles and managing the demand for car and coach parks	Report changes to traffic management arrangements (text)	Contextual	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA	This is a text indicator that will comment on changes in traffic management arrangements	Annual
	Number of new off-street parking spaces provided, and proportion that replaces on-street parking	Local	To be developed during 2011/12	PDNPA Planning records	A target is not appropriate	Annual





## Appendix 2 – Schedule of policies

The Core Strategy replaces the Structure Plan and some Local Plan policies.

Where partial overlap remains between the Core Strategy and any saved Local Plan policies the Core Strategy will take precedence. Until such time as consultation has taken place on the future needs for the Development Management Policies DPD, these policies are also saved and listed below.

<b>Core Strategy Policy</b>	<b>Saved Local Plan policies with direct link</b>	<b>Saved Local Plan policies to be replaced by the Core Strategy</b>	<b>Existing unsaved Local Plan policies</b>
GSP1: Securing national park purposes and sustainable development	LC1	none	none
GSP2: Enhancing the National Park	none	none	none
GSP3: Development management principles	LC4, LH4, LH5, LH6	none	none
GSP4: Planning conditions and legal agreements	none	none	none
DS1: Development strategy	LC3, LC13 , LC14, LC21, LC24, LC25, LH4, LU1, LU2, LU3, LU5, LU6, LB1, LB2	LC2	none
L1: Landscape character and valued characteristics	LC1, LC20, LC21	none	none
L2: Sites of biodiversity or geo-diversity importance	LC17, LC18, LC19, LC20, LC21	none	none
L3: Cultural heritage assets of archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic significance	LC5, LC6, LC7, LC8, LC9, LC10, LC11, LC15, LC16	none	none
RT1: Recreation, environmental education and interpretation	LR7	LR1	none
RT2: Hotels, bed and breakfast and self catering accommodation	LR6	none	none

RT3: Caravans and camping	LR5, LR3	LR4	none
CC1: Climate change mitigation and adaptation	none	none	none
CC2: Low carbon and renewable energy development	LU4, LC4	none	none
CC3: Waste management	LW2,	LW3, LW4, LW5, LW6, LW7, LW8, LW9	LW1
CC4: On-farm anaerobic digestion of agricultural manure and slurry	LC13, LC14, LW2,	LW3, LW4, LW5, LW6, LW7, LW8, LW9	LW1
CC5: Flood risk and water conservation	LC21 LC22	LC23	none
HC1: New dwellings	LH1, LH2, LH5, LH6	none	none
HC2: housing for key workers in agriculture, forestry, or other rural enterprises	LH3, LC12	none	none
HC3: Sites for gypsies, travellers or travelling showpeople	none	LH7	none
HC4: Provision and retention of community services and facilities	LS5, LB11	LR2, LS4,	none
HC5: Shops, professional services and related activities	LS1, LS2, LS3, LB9	LB10	none
E1: Business development in towns and villages	LC13, LC14, LE2, LE3, LE4, LE5, LE6, LB6, LB7, LB8	LE1,	none
E2: Businesses in the countryside	LC13, LC14, LE2, LE3, LE4, LE6	LE1	none
MIN1: Minerals development	LM1, LM9	LM2, LM10	LM3, LM4, LM5, LM6
MIN2: Fluorspar proposals	LM8	LM7	none
MIN3: Local small-scale building and roofing	none	none	none



stone			
MIN4: Mineral safeguarding	none	none	none
T1: Reducing the general need to travel and encouraging sustainable transport	LT5, LT7, LT8, LT23, LB3, LB5	none	none
T2: Reducing and directing traffic	LT1, LT2, LT3, LT13	LT4	none
T3: Design of transport infrastructure	LT18, LT19, LT20, LT21, LT22, LB3	none	none
T4: Managing the demand for freight transport	LT9	none	none
T5: Managing the demand for rail, and reuse of former railway routes	LT3, LT6	none	none
T6: Routes for walking, cycling, and horse riding, and waterways	LT17, LT20, LT21	none	none
T7: Minimising the adverse impact of motor vehicles and managing the demand for car and coach parks	LT10, LT11, LT12, LT13, LT14, LT15, LT16, LB4	none	none

### Proposals Map

The only major strategic policy change that affects the information shown on the Local Plan Proposals Map is the removal of Recreation Zones 1, 2 and 3 (relevant under replaced Local Plan policy LR1) Other information shown on the map, such as flood zones and Natural Zone, is still relevant although boundaries will be revised in a subsequent proposals map. This will be produced alongside a subsequent Development Management Policies DPD.



### Appendix 3 – Amended settlement matrix (updated based on parish response to Authority in Nov and Dec 2010)

Town/ Village <sup>169</sup>	Convenience food shop	Post Office	Primary school	Community Hall	Playground (PG) and playing field (PF)	Industrial units	Distance to GP (miles)	Reasonable road width & within 1 mile of A or B road	Good public Transport service	Public House	Post box	Church	Potential to develop without harm to valued characteristics of settlement and its landscape setting
Alstonefield	x	✓	x	✓	✓	x	3.2	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Ashford	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	1.5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Bakewell	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Some potential for housing schemes identified by site search with Town Council and Rural Housing Enabler late 2009 following need survey in Autumn 2009.
Bamford	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	2.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Baslow and Bubnell	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Beeley	x	✓	x	✓	x	x	2.9	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Biggin	x	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	0.8	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes

<sup>169</sup> The places listed are the main settlement. In some cases they include places that retain separate names, but adjoin. For the purposes of this planning policy only they are considered part of the same settlement

Town/ Village <sup>170</sup>	Convenience food shop	Post Office	Primary school	Community Hall	Playground (PG) and playing field (PF)	Industrial units	Distance to GP (miles)	Reasonable road width & within 1 mile of A or B road	Good public Transport service	Public House	Post box	Church	Potential to develop without harm to valued characteristics of settlement and its landscape setting
Birchover	✓	x	x	✓	✓	x	0.9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Bradwell	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Potential on site of old engineering works to meet need of Parish and adjoining Parishes for this plan period. Some limited potential beyond this.
Butterton	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	X	1.7	✓	x	x	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Calton	x	x	x	x	x	x	1.6	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Very little potential other than very limited affordable housing.
Calver	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1.6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Castleton	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	1.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Chelmorton	x	x	x		PG only	x	3	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Limited potential to address affordable housing need evidenced by site search on back of parish HNS in 2009.

<sup>170</sup> The places listed are the main settlement. In some cases they include places that retain separate names, but adjoin. For the purposes of this planning policy only they are considered part of the same settlement

Town/ Village <sup>171</sup>	Convenience food shop	Post Office	Primary school	Community Hall	Playground (PG) and playing field (PF)	Industrial units	Distance to GP (miles)	Reasonable road width & within 1 mile of A or B road	Good public Transport service	Public House	Post box	Church	Potential to develop without harm to valued characteristics of settlement and its landscape setting
Curbar	x	x	✓	x	PG only	x	1.6	✓	x	x	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Earl Sterndale	✓	✓	✓	x	✓	x	1.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Edale (Grinds- brook) <sup>172</sup>	x	x	✓	✓	✓	x	3.2	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Edensor	✓	x	x	✓	x	x	1.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Elton	✓	✓	x	x	✓	x	1.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Eyam	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Fenny Bentley	x	x	✓	x	✓	x	2.5	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.

<sup>171</sup> The places listed are the main settlement. In some cases they include places that retain separate names, but adjoin. For the purposes of this planning policy only they are considered part of the same settlement

<sup>172</sup> Edale comprises a number of separate settlements named Booths. For the purposes of this policy only, Grindsbrook is the main settlement.

Town/ Village <sup>173</sup>	Convenience food shop	Post Office	Primary school	Community Hall	Playground (PG) and playing field (PF)	Industrial units	Distance to GP (miles)	Reasonable road width & within 1 mile of A or B road	Good public Transport service	Public House	Post box	Church	Potential to develop without harm to valued characteristics of settlement and its landscape setting
Flagg	x	x	x	✓	x	x		x	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Flash	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	3.7	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Foolow	x	x	x	✓	x	x	1.6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Froggatt	x	x	x	✓	x	x	0.7	✓	x	x	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Great Hucklow	x	x	✓	x	PG only	x	1.6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Great Longstone	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	✓	2.5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Some capacity to meet its own affordable housing needs and those of adjoining parishes.
Grindleford and Nether Padley	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Some potential to meet its own affordable housing needs and those of adjoining parishes

<sup>173</sup> The places listed are the main settlement. In some cases they include places that retain separate names, but adjoin. For the purposes of this planning policy only they are considered part of the same settlement

Town/ Village <sup>174</sup>	Convenience food shop	Post Office	Primary school	Community Hall	Playground (PG) and playing field (PF)	Industrial units	Distance to GP (miles)	Reasonable road width & within 1 mile of A or B road	Good public Transport service	Public House	Post box	Church	Potential to develop without harm to valued characteristics of settlement and its landscape setting
Grindon	x	x	x	✓	PG	x	2	✓	x	x	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Hartington	✓	✓	✓	✓	PG	x	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Some potential to meet its own affordable housing needs and those of adjoining parishes.
Hathersage with Outseats	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Hayfield	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Some potential inside and outside the Park to address housing need.
High Bradfield	x	x	x	x	x	x	2.3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Holme	x	x	✓	✓	✓	x	2.9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Hope	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Potential identified for schemes to meet affordable housing need.

<sup>174</sup> The places listed are the main settlement. In some cases they include places that retain separate names, but adjoin. For the purposes of this planning policy only they are considered part of the same settlement

Town/ Village <sup>169</sup>	Convenience food shop	Post Office	Primary school	Community Hall	Playground (PG) and/or playing field (PF)	Industrial units	Distance to GP (miles)	Reasonable road width & within 1 mile of A or B road	Good public Transport service	Public House	Post box	Church	Potential to develop without harm to valued characteristics of settlement and its landscape setting
Kettles- hulme	x	x	✓	✓	PF	x	2.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Little Hayfield	x	x	x	x	x	x	0.8	✓	✓	x	✓	x	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Litton	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	x	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Longnor	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Low Bradfield	✓	✓	x	x	x	x	2.7	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Middleton by Youlgrave	x	x	x	✓	x	x	1.3	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Monyash	x	x	✓	✓	PG	x	3.9	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Over Haddon	x	x	x	✓	x	✓	1.4	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.



Town/ Village <sup>169</sup>	Convenience food shop	Post Office	Primary school	Community Hall	Playground (PG) and/or playing field (PF)	Industrial units	Distance to GP (miles)	Reasonable road width & within 1 mile of A or B road	Good public Transport service	Public House	Post box	Church	Potential to develop without harm to valued characteristics of settlement and its landscape setting
Parwich	x (other than in the pub)	x	✓	✓	✓	x	5	✓	x	✓ Incl. shop	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Peak Forest	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	3.3	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Pilsley	✓	✓	✓	x	x	x	1.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Rainow	x	x	x	x	x	x	1.9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Rowsley	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	2.2	✓	✓	✓ (out- side Park bound- ary)	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Sheen	x	x	x	✓	x	x	1.1	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Stanton in Peak	x	x	✓	✓	PF	x	1.9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes

Town/ Village <sup>169</sup>	Convenience food shop	Post Office	Primary school	Community Hall	Playground (PG) and/or playing field (PF)	Industrial units	Distance to GP (miles)	Reasonable road width & within 1 mile of A or B road	Good public Transport service	Public House	Post box	Church	Potential to develop without harm to valued characteristics of settlement and its landscape setting
Stoney Middleton	x	x	✓	✓	✓	✓	0.8	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Taddington	✓ (p/t in pub)	x	✓	✓	x	x	3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Thorpe	x	x	x	✓	x	x	2.9	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Tideswell	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Some potential to address its own affordable housing need and those of surrounding parishes.
Tintwistle	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Some potential to address its own affordable housing need and those of surrounding parishes.
Tissington	x	x	x	✓	PG	x	3.5	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Wardlow	x	x	x	✓	x	x	1.1	✓	✓	✓ (p/t at Ward- low mires)	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes

Town/ Village <sup>169</sup>	Convenience food shop	Post Office	Primary school	Community Hall	Playground (PG) and/or playing field (PF)	Industrial units	Distance to GP (miles)	Reasonable road width & within 1 mile of A or B road	Good public Transport service	Public House	Post box	Church	Potential to develop without harm to valued characteristics of settlement and its landscape setting
Warslow	x	x	✓	✓	x	✓	2.9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Water-houses	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Some potential to address affordable housing need inside and outside the boundary.
Wensley	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	x	1.2	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Wetton	x	x	x	✓	✓	x	3.2	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes
Winster	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Little potential other than very limited affordable housing for parish and adjoining parishes.
Youlgrave	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	0	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Some evidence of potential to address affordable housing need.



## **Appendix 4 - Glossary and abbreviations**

### **Annual Housing Report (AHR)**

Updates information on housing development, land availability, and contribution of this form of development towards addressing eligible local housing needs.

### **Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)**

Monitors the implementation of the Local Development Scheme and the extent to which policies in the Local Development Documents are being achieved.

### **Accessibility**

The ability of people to reach jobs, services, and recreational opportunities, either by travelling to those services or by having services brought to them.

### **Affordable Housing**

Includes social rented and intermediate housing, provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. It is regarded as outside the main housing market and excludes low cost market housing. Eligibility to occupy it is controlled so that it meets needs that arise in the local area. This market restriction reduces its value if and when it is sold.

### **Biodiversity**

A shorthand term for biological diversity – the variety of life on earth. It includes the variety of different ecosystems, habitats and species, the genetic variation within species, and the way all of these species interact with their environment and each other (ecological processes).

### **Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP)**

Work to identify local priorities and to determine the contribution they can make to the delivery of the UK BAP.

### **Catchment Flood Management Plans (CFMPs)**

Give an overview of the flood risk across each river catchment and estuary and recommend ways of managing those risks now and over the next 50-100 years.

### **Community Land Trusts (CLT)**

Established in communities to acquire fixed assets and then hold them in perpetuity for local use. They work on a non-profit basis, raising money from new sources and unlocking other resources, to provide and manage housing, workspace, community buildings and green spaces (including allotments). They work by enabling occupiers to pay for the use of buildings and services at prices they can afford, while the value of land, subsidies, planning gain and other equity benefits are permanently locked in, on behalf of them and future occupiers.

### **Compulsory Purchase Order (CPO)**

This is a legal function that allows certain bodies to obtain land or property without the consent of the owner. The Authority must demonstrate that the taking of the land is necessary and there is a "*compelling case in the public interest*".

### **Conservation Area (CA)**

A designation applied to areas of special architectural or historic interest, in accordance with the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, with the intent of preserving or enhancing their character or appearance.

## **Cultural Heritage**

Those parts of the historic environment that have significance to this and future generations because of their historic, archaeological, architectural or artistic interest ('parts' being called 'assets' in PPS5: Planning for the Historic Environment). 'Significance' means the value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest (PPS5). Some assets possess a level of significance that justifies formal designation, such as World Heritage Site, Scheduled Monument, Listed Building, Registered Park and Garden, or Conservation Area. Many other heritage assets are not designated, but also have heritage significance. These are plentiful in this National Park and are therefore (in accordance with PPS5) also considered for the purposes of the core strategy to be material planning considerations.

## **Cultural Heritage Strategy (CHS)**

A strategy produced by the National Park Authority and stakeholders to guide the future management of the cultural heritage of the National Park.

## **Development**

Defined in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as "...the carrying out of building, engineering, mining or other operations in, on, over or under land, or the making of any material change in the use of any building or land."

## **Development Management**

A process of managing development through the determination of planning applications. It was previously known as development control.

## **Diversification**

Used in the context of economic development this means the development of additional business, usually to support farm enterprises, often by adding value to farm produce.

## **Dwelling**

A unit of accommodation where all rooms are behind a door that is inaccessible to others. A dwelling where two households share a kitchen or toilet within the same building is classed as one dwelling with two household spaces.

## **Eligible –need / local need / affordable housing need (etc)**

That proportion of identified need that complies with the criteria in Local Plan Policy LH2 (including the requirement for 10 years or 10 within 20 years residency in the National Park).

## **Employment Land Review**

A review of employment land in a planning authority area or a wider strategic area. It is a necessary piece of evidence in advance of identifying employment land requirements and developing strategic policies.

## **English Heritage**

The Government Agency that seeks to protect and promote England's historic environment and ensure that its past is researched and understood.

## **Environment Agency**

The Government agency responsible for conserving and managing water resources, river pollution control, flood defence, water conservation and recreation.

## **Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)**

The procedure for drawing together in a systematic way an assessment of the likely significant environmental effects of a development project, as required under the European Directive 97/11/EC.

Enacted in England through the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations 1999.

### **European Landscape Convention (ELC)**

Devoted exclusively to the protection, management and planning of all landscapes in Europe. It became binding on the UK from 1 March 2007.

### **Greenway**

A network of largely off-road routes connecting people to facilities and open spaces in and around towns, cities and the countryside. They are for use by people of all abilities on foot, bike or horseback, for commuting, play or leisure (source: Natural England).

### **Geodiversity**

Encompasses the variety of natural landforms (geomorphology). Rocks and soils which underpin the landscape, and associated features such as fossils and minerals. It includes the physical processes of the natural environment (e.g. erosion, deposition, river channel formation) and the visible (and underground) signs of these (e.g. river meanders, caves, limestone pavement, tors, cliffs and scree).

### **Highway Authority**

The organisation responsible for the maintenance of public roads and public rights of way and keeping them free from obstruction. In the National Park this responsibility is shared between seven local authorities.

### **Holiday home**

The definition used by the National Park Authority is “*a development with planning permission for a maximum occupation of 28 days per year by any one person*”. The 2001 Census definition is “*any dwelling rented out for the purposes of holiday provision*”.

### **Household**

A single person or group of people living together at the same address with common housekeeping.

### **Housing Authority**

A local authority (typically district, borough or city councils) with responsibilities under the Housing Act 2004.

### **Housing Market Area (HMA)**

A geographical area defined by household demand and preferences for housing. HMAs reflect the key functional linkages between places where people live and work.

### **Housing Needs Survey**

Usually carried out by the housing authority to assess housing needs, including eligible needs that are not currently met by the market or by social housing providers.

### **Living Conditions**

Term used by Planning Inspectorate to relate to issues of residential amenity (light, space privacy, etc).

### **Local Access Forum**

A requirement of Section 94 and 95 of the Countryside and Rights of Way (CROW) Act 2000. The main function is to advise their appointing authority, (either a local highway authority or a national park authority for their area, as to the improvement of public access to land in that area for the purposes of open-air recreation and the enjoyment of the area.

### **Local Area Agreement (LAA)**

Sets out the priorities for a local area agreed between central government, the local authority, Local Strategic Partnership and other key partners at the local level. LAAs simplify some central funding, help join up public services more effectively, and allow greater flexibility for local solutions to local circumstances.

### **Local Strategic Partnership (LSP)**

Local, non-statutory partnerships which bring together the public, private and business communities and voluntary sectors, so that different initiatives and services support each other and work together. They provide a single overarching co-ordination framework within which other partnerships can operate. Responsible for developing and driving the implementation of Community Strategies (SCSs) and Local Area Agreements (LAAs).

### **Local Transport Plan (LTP)**

Produced by every transport authority, setting out five yearly priorities for transport and the actions it will take to pursue them. The LTP is a suite of documents including a Bus Strategy, an Accessibility Strategy and a Rights of Way Improvement Plan. Current LTPs run from 2006 – 2011, but future LTPs will run for a longer period of time to be determined by the transport authority.

### **Major Development**

The definition of major development is set out in the Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) (Amendment) (England) Order 2006. This clarifies that “major development” means development involving any one or more of the following:

- a) the winning and working of minerals or the use of land for mineral-working deposits;
- b) waste development;
- c) the provision of dwelling houses where:
  - i. the number of dwelling houses to be provided is more ;or
  - ii. the development is to be carried out on a site having an area of 0.5 hectares or more and is not known whether the development falls within paragraph (c)(1);
- d) development carried out on a site having an area of 1 hectare or more.

### **Mineral Planning Guidance (MPG) and Mineral Policy Statements (MPS)**

These set out the government’s policy on minerals and planning issues, providing advice and guidance to local authorities and the minerals industry on policies and the operation of the planning system with regard to minerals.

### **Modal Shift**

A change in the popularity of transport forms (or modes); usually used to describe a change from less sustainable modes to those which are more sustainable e.g. from driving to cycling.

### **National Nature Reserve (NNR)**

An area which is among the best examples of a particular habitat. NNRs are of national importance. In many cases owned and managed by the statutory authority (e.g. Natural England), but not always. Must be managed appropriately to retain its special status.

### **Natural England (NE)**

The body formed by bringing together English Nature, parts of the Countryside Agency and the Rural Development Service. It works to conserve and enhance biodiversity, landscapes and wildlife in rural, urban, coastal and marine areas. It conserves and enhances the natural environment for its intrinsic value, the well-being and enjoyment of people, and the economic prosperity that it brings.



## **Natural Heritage**

Includes the legacy of natural objects and intangible attributes encompassing the countryside and natural environment, including flora, fauna and habitats (scientifically known as biodiversity), and geology and landforms (geodiversity). The associated intangible attributes include natural, ecological and geological processes (e.g. peat and soil formation) and the benefits they provide to people (such as clean water and air and a sense of enjoyment and well-being), known as ecosystem services.

## **Non-operational parking**

Parking spaces allocated for vehicles that do not necessarily have to be parked within a development, including for shoppers, visitors to businesses, sightseers etc. Resident's vehicles and those servicing a development are not covered within this definition.

## **Peak Sub-area**

A sub-area of the East Midlands, as defined in the East Midlands Regional Plan, comprising the whole of the National Park together with the remaining areas of High Peak Borough and Derbyshire Dales District that fall outside the National Park.

## **Permitted Development**

Certain forms of development which can be carried out without the need to make an application to a local planning authority under the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995. Each Highway Authority has permitted development rights within the boundary of its highways.

## **Planning Policy Guidance (PPG)**

This was issued by the Government (in the form of guidance notes) to provide guidance on national policy and the operation of the planning system. The determination of planning applications must have regard to this guidance. Most PPGs have been superseded by Planning Policy Statements.

## **Planning Policy Statement (PPS)**

These are statements of Government policy issued under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. They explain statutory provisions and provide guidance to local authorities and others on planning policy and the operations of the planning system.

## **Public Rights of Way**

Routes over which, even where in private ownership, the public has a rights of passage. They comprise byways, which are open to any user; restricted byways, open to any user other than mechanically propelled vehicles; bridleways, which can be used by those on foot, horse or bicycle; and footpaths which are open to those on foot only.

## **Quiet Lane**

A designation given to roads with light traffic and low speeds, to show they are suitable for shared use by walkers, cyclists, horse riders and motorised users.

## **Red Data Book and Notable Species**

Lists of species whose continued existence is threatened.

## **Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Sites (RIGS)**

Identified by locally developed criteria, they are currently the most important places for geology and geomorphology outside statutorily protected land such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest.

## **Regional Strategy**

In relation to the National Park this is commonly known as the East Midlands Regional Plan. Sets out policies in relation to the development and use of land, and forms part of the Development Plan for local

planning authorities until such time as the Government implements its stated intent to abolish the regional planning process and revoke regional plans.

### **Registered Social Landlord**

Independent housing organisations registered with the Housing Corporation under the Housing Act 1996.

### **Review of Old Mineral Planning Permissions (ROMP)**

A requirement of the Environment Act 1995 Section 96 and Schedules 13 and 14, to undertake a programme of 'Initial Review' of all mineral planning permissions granted between 30 June 1948 and 22 February 1982, and, thereafter, to operate a programme of 'Periodic Review' of mineral planning permissions more than 15 years old to ensure that the conditions under which they operate are up to modern working standards.

### **Rural Housing Enabler**

Works with rural communities providing independent advice and support, acting as a facilitator on the complex process of providing affordable housing. Works with Community Councils, local landowners, planning officers, the National Park Authority, Housing Associations and other relevant individuals and organisations, helping to find practical solutions to meet the housing needs of rural communities.

### **Saved Local Plan Policies**

These are previously adopted policies that will be used until such time as they are either replaced by policies of this Core Strategy or subsequent Development Management policies; or until such time as Government directs that they must no longer remain part of the National Park Authority's planning policies.

### **Section 106 Agreement**

Legal agreements, named after Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Made between planning authorities and developers, without which planning permission would not be given. They address matters that cannot be dealt with adequately through planning conditions alone.

### **Settlement**

Used for the purposes of this Core Strategy to describe any group of buildings where people live, including, but not limited to, towns, villages and hamlets.

### **Single Conversation**

The Homes and Community Agency's process for agreeing and securing delivery at the local level in support of national objectives. The Agency works with local authorities and others to secure better outcomes for each place. The process leads to investment plans and agreements, and delivery plans.

### **Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)**

An area designated by Natural England under Section 28 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 which, by reason of their flora, fauna or geological or physiographic features, it is in the national interest to conserve. Some forms of permitted development rights may not be exercised in these areas.

### **Smarter Choices**

A term coined by the Department for Transport to describe a range of techniques for influencing people's travel behaviour towards more sustainable options, including travel planning, promotion of public transport, car sharing, teleworking and travel awareness campaigns.

### **Social Housing Provider**

Usually local housing authorities and registered social landlords providing social housing to meet locally identified need.

## **State of the Park Report**

A report produced by the National Park Authority on the state of the National Park as a result of actions and policies put in place to conserve and enhance it.

## **Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA)**

An assessment of the potential capacity of an area to accept new-build housing over the next 15 years (by identifying sites). A key part of LDF evidence base informing choices on scale and distribution of housing.

## **Strategic Housing Market Assessment**

An assessment of the way in which the housing market works and interacts with other social and economic factors. Carried out by planning and housing authorities for a wide area such as a Housing Market Area.

## **Supplementary Planning Guidance and Documents (SPG and SPD)**

Supplementary Planning Documents add further detail and guidance in respect of policies in Development Plan Documents. They do not form part of the Development Plan and are not subject to an independent examination, but they are the subject of a formal consultation process as specified in the Statement of Community Involvement. Formerly known as Supplementary Planning Guidance.

## **Sustainability Appraisal (SA)**

An appraisal tool to ensure that policies reflect sustainable development objectives (environmental, social and economic). Required to be undertaken for all Local Development Documents.

## **Traffic Management**

The process of influencing or controlling vehicular movements and parking, particularly through traffic regulation orders or alterations in road layout or parking arrangements.

## **Transport Authority**

An authority charged with subsidising socially necessary transport services and with producing a range of transport plans and strategies including Local Transport Plans, Bus Strategies and Accessibility Strategies.

## **Use Classes Order**

Classifies the use of land, as defined by the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 and amended by the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) (Amendment) (England) Order 2005.

## **Waste Hierarchy**

The Government's overall approach to sustainable waste management, where the management of waste should be considered through use of the following options in order of preference: prevention, preparing for reuse, recycling, other recovery, and disposing only as a last resort.

