19. HEAD OF LAW - PLANNING APPEALS (A.1536/AMC)

1. **APPEALS LODGED**

There were 4 Appeals lodged during this month.

Reference	<u>Details</u>	Method of Appeal	Committee/ Delegated
NP/DDD/0615/0606 3136775	Erection of lambing shed at Thornbridge Hall, Ashford-in- the-Water, DE45 1NE	Written Representations	Delegated
NP/GDO/0215/0090 3137811	Erection of a portal-framed farm building next to existing modern farm building at Upper Green Farm, Onecote	Written Representations	Delegated
NP/DDD/0715/0636 3138412	Retrospective application for extension, alteration and a garage at Braeside, Mill Lane, Stoney Middleton	Householder	Delegated
NP/HPK/0715/0612 3134661	Agricultural Workers Dwelling at Heys Farm, Highgate Road Hayfield	Written Representations	Delegated

2. **APPEALS WITHDRAWN**

There have been no appeals withdrawn during this month.

3. APPEALS DECIDED

There were 2 appeals decided during this month.

Reference	<u>Details</u>	Method of Appeal	<u>Decision</u>	Committee/ Delegated
NP/S/1214/1273 3081096	Erection of two agricultural buildings at Cliffe House Farm, Loxley Road, High Bradfield, S6 6LJ	Written Representations	Dismissed	Committee

The Inspector considered that the development would have failed to conserve or enhance the natural beauty of the National Park, and its statutory purposes. It would have also conflicted with the relevant polices of the Local Plan and the Development Framework as it was major development and the need for it to be sited in the National Park had not been justified. The large scale, mass and height of the proposed buildings would have appeared dominant, intrusive and incongruous in the pastoral landscape of the countryside when viewed from the public footpath and from the road to the north, and the proposal would have affected the setting of a nearby Grade II Listed Building at Fair Flatts Farm. Taking all that into consideration, the Inspector dismissed the appeal.

NP/DDD/0415/0271 Domestic garage at Householder Dismissed Delegated 3131600 Swallow Cottage,
Pilhough Road, Rowsley,
DE4 2NE

The Inspector in considering the appeal felt that the development would have been visible from the public highway and would have looked out of place in the landscape. He also considered that the proposal would have had a significant harmful impact on the character and appearance of the area, and on its special qualities that contribute to the valued characteristics of the National Park. The appeal was therefore dismissed.

4. **RECOMMENDATION**:

That the report be received.