## 16. PLANNING APPEALS (A.1536/AMC)

## 1. **APPEALS LODGED**

The following appeals have been lodged during this month.

Reference	Details	Method of Appeal	Committee/ Delegated
NP/CEC/1013/0906 2219959	Erection of dwelling with attached garage and office on land adjacent to Woodbine Cottage, Shrigley Road, Pott Shrigley	Written Representations	Committee
NP/HPK/0214/0204 2219873	Demolition of 2 storey side extension and erection of 2 storey side extension. Replacement of porch on south elevation. Change of Use of barn to domestic accommodation to east of property at Glebe Farm, Wormhill	Written Representations	Delegated
NP/DDD/1013/0873 2219352	Change of use of land from agricultural to manège; erection of stables; and formation of dressage arena and client car park at Hall Bank, Hartington	Written Representations	Delegated
NP/HPK/0114/0057 2219829	Retrospective application for erection of garage/shed at Rowan Close, Peak Forest	Householder Appeal Service	Committee
NP/SM/0713/0606 2220778	Conversion of former traditional agricultural barn to dwelling house at Clews Bank House, Butterton Moor, Butterton, Leek, ST13 7TQ	Written Representations	Delegated
NP/NED/0314/0249 2219273	Creation of horse (ménage) exercise area at Toll Bar Cottage, Owler Bar, Sheffield, S17 3BQ	Written Representations	Delegated

## 2. **APPEALS WITHDRAWN**

There were no appeals withdrawn during this month.

# 3. APPEALS DECIDED

The following appeals have been decided during this month.

Reference	Details	Method of Appeal	Decision	Committee/ Delegated
NP/DDD/1113/1028 2212694	Section 73 application for the removal of conditions 7 and 11 from application NP/DDD/0308/0229 at Ivy Cottage, Wensley Road, Winster	Written Representations	Allowed in part	Delegated

The Inspector has allowed the removal of condition 11 (retention of garaging for sole use of dwelling house) as, further to its refusal notice, the National Park Authority has stated that "the removal of condition No. 11 is appropriate". With regard to condition 7 (28 day holiday occupancy), the Inspector had seen no substantive evidence that the removal of condition 7 would result in a dwelling that would meet eligible local needs and/or provide for key worker accommodation. There would be a consequent failure to meet the requirements of Core Strategy policy HC1. In addition, the Inspector had observed during his site visit that the holiday cottage was an attractive building which enhanced the village of Winster and that no new dwelling was required to achieve this. He concluded that the removal of condition 7 would result in a situation whereby the resulting development would fail to meet the requirements of Core Strategy policy HC1.

The Inspector has not allowed the removal of Condition 3 (28 day holiday occupancy) from the 2012 permission to permit the independent and unrestricted occupation of the building, as the Inspector concluded this would conflict with the Authority's up to date housing policies, and would not achieve the aims of conservation or enhancement of valued vernacular buildings or the settlement required by SC Policy HC1 (C) (i) and (ii).

### 4. **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the report be received.